



# Schneider-Teitelbaum duality for locally profinite groups

Tomoki Mihara

**Abstract.** We define monoidal structures on several categories of linear topological modules over the valuation ring of a local field, and study module theory with respect to the monoidal structures. We extend the notion of the Iwasawa algebra to a locally profinite group as a monoid with respect to one of the monoidal structure, which does not necessarily form a topological algebra. This is one of the main reasons why we need monoidal structures. We extend Schneider–Teitelbaum duality to duality applicable to a locally profinite group through the module theory over the generalised Iwasawa algebra, and give a criterion of the irreducibility of a unitary Banach representation.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $k$  denote a non-Archimedean local field, and  $O_k \subset k$  the valuation ring of  $k$ . The paper is devoted to two topics. One topic is to give monoidal structures on several categories of linear topological  $O_k$ -modules. We are interested mainly in the closed symmetric monoidal category  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{CG}}$  of CG

---

*Keywords:* Iwasawa theory,  $p$ -adic, locally profinite group.

*Mathematics Subject Classification* [2010]: 11S80, 28C15, 16T05.

Received: 26 July 2019, Accepted: 29 September 2019.

ISSN: Print 2345-5853, Online 2345-5861.

© Shahid Beheshti University

linear topological  $O_k$ -modules. A CG linear topological  $O_k$ -module is a linear topological  $O_k$ -module given as the colimit of totally bounded  $O_k$ -submodules. By the definition, it is a module theoretic analogue of a compactly generated topological space. We show that every Banach  $k$ -vector space and every compact linear topological  $O_k$ -module are CG. Therefore  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}}$  contains both of the categories of Banach  $k$ -vector spaces and compact Hausdorff flat linear topological  $O_k$ -modules, which play the roles of the foundation in Schneider–Teitelbaum duality (cf. [13] Theorem 2.3).

The other topic is to define a generalised Iwasawa algebra  $O_k[[G]]$  associated to a locally profinite group  $G$ , and to extend Schneider–Teitelbaum duality, which is applicable to a profinite group, to duality applicable to  $G$  by using module theory over  $O_k[[G]]$ . We note that  $O_k[[G]]$  is defined as a monoid in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}}$ , and does not necessarily form a topological  $O_k$ -algebra. This is one of the main reasons why we need monoidal structures. As the classical Iwasawa algebra associated to a profinite group is naturally identified with the  $O_k$ -algebra of  $O_k$ -valued measures,  $O_k[[G]]$  is naturally identified with the  $O_k$ -algebra of  $O_k$ -valued measures on  $G$  satisfying a certain property called the normality. As the original Schneider–Teitelbaum duality is given by a module theoretic interpretation of a Banach  $k$ -linear representations through the integration of the action along measures (cf. [13] Corollary 2.2), the generalised Schneider–Teitelbaum duality is given by a module theoretic interpretation through the integration of the action of  $G$  by normal measures.

As applications, we establish a criterion of the irreducibility of a unitary Banach  $k$ -linear representation of  $G$ , and give a description of the continuous induction of a unitary Banach  $k$ -linear representation of a closed subgroup  $P \subset G$  such that the homogeneous space  $P \backslash G$  is compact. In particular, we give an explicit description of the continuous parabolic induction for the case  $G$  is an algebraic group over a local field so that the representation space of the continuous parabolic induction is independent of the choice of the action of  $P$ .

We explain the contents of this paper. In §2.1, we study several categories of linear topological  $O_k$ -modules. In §2.2, we introduce a notion of the normality of an  $O_k$ -valued measure on a topological space. In §3.1, we define monoidal structures on several categories of linear topological  $O_k$ -modules. In §3.2, we define a notion of a CGLT  $O_k$ -algebra as a monoid in

$\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}}$ , which is a counterpart of a topological  $O_k$ -algebra, and define  $O_k[[G]]$  as a CGLT  $O_k$ -algebra. In §3.3, we define a notion of a CGLT module over a CGLT  $O_k$ -algebra, which is a counterpart of a topological left module over a topological  $O_k$ -algebra. In §4.1, we recall a unitary Banach  $k$ -linear representation of  $G$  and interpret it in terms of a CGLT  $O_k[[G]]$ -module. In §4.2, we interpret a continuous action of  $G$  on a compact Hausdorff flat linear topological  $O_k$ -module in terms of a CGLT  $O_k[[G]]$ -module. In §4.3, we define a notion of the dual of a unitary Banach  $k$ -linear representation of  $G$ , and extend Schneider–Teitelbaum duality to duality applicable to  $G$ . In §5.1, we study the dual of several operations on Banach  $k$ -linear representations such as the continuous induction. In §5.2, we give an explicit description of the continuous parabolic induction in the case where  $G$  is an algebraic group.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $k$  denote a local field, that is, a complete discrete valuation field with finite residue field,  $O_k \subset k$  the valuation ring of  $k$ , and  $G$  a locally profinite group. We denote by  $\omega$  the set of natural numbers. For a set  $X$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{P}_{<\omega}(X)$  the set of finite subsets of  $X$ . Since we deal with many pairs, we abbreviate  $(\bullet_i)_{i=0}^1$  to  $(\bullet_i)$ ,  $\sum_{i=0}^1 \bullet_i$  to  $\sum \bullet_i$ , and  $\prod_{i=0}^1 \bullet_i$  to  $\prod \bullet_i$ .

Let  $\Theta$  be a category. We say that  $\Theta$  is  $\omega$ -cocomplete (respectively, *cocomplete*, *complete*) if it admits all small filtered colimits (respectively, colimits, limits), and is *bicocomplete* if it is cocomplete and complete. Let  $F$  be a functor. We say that  $F$  is  $\omega$ -cocontinuous (respectively, *cocontinuous*, *continuous*) if it commutes with all small filtered colimits (respectively, colimits, limits), and is *bicontinuous* if it is cocontinuous and continuous. We denote by  $\text{Set}$  the bicocomplete category of sets and maps, and by  $\text{Top}$  the bicocomplete category of topological spaces and continuous maps. We abbreviate  $\text{Hom}_{\text{Top}}$  to  $\text{C}$ .

**2.1 Linear topological modules** Let  $M$  be a topological  $O_k$ -module, and  $C \subset M$  a subset. We say that  $C$  is *pre-compact* (respectively, *complete*) if  $C$  is totally bounded (respectively, complete) with respect to the restriction of the uniform structure on  $M$  associated to the structure as a topological Abelian group to  $C$ . By the definition of the uniformity on  $M$ ,  $C$  is

totally bounded if and only if for any open neighbourhood  $U \subset M$  of  $0 \in M$ , there exists a finite subset  $C_0 \subset C$  such that  $C \subset \{m_0 + m_1 \mid (m_0, m_1) \in U \times C_0\}$ . The following are well-known facts (cf. [3] 8.3.2 Theorem, [4], and [3] 8.3.16 Theorem, respectively) on the pre-compactness:

**Proposition 2.1.** (i) *A  $C \subset M$  is pre-compact if and only if every subset of the closure of  $C$  in  $M$  is pre-compact.*

(ii) *A  $C \subset M$  is compact, that is, every open covering admits a finite subcovering, if and only if  $C$  is pre-compact and every Cauchy net in  $C$  is a convergent net in  $C$ .*

(iii) *A  $C \subset M$  is compact and Hausdorff if and only if  $C$  is pre-compact and complete.*

We denote by  $\mathcal{O}(M)$  the set of open  $O_k$ -submodules of  $M$ , and by  $\mathcal{K}(M)$  the set of pre-compact  $O_k$ -submodules of  $M$ . We say that  $M$  is *linear* if  $\mathcal{O}(M)$  forms a fundamental system of neighbourhoods of  $0 \in M$ . We have two examples of linear topological  $O_k$ -modules.

**Example 2.2.** (i) We denote by  $\overline{M}$  the underlying  $O_k$ -module of  $M$  equipped with the topology generated by  $\{m + L \mid (m, L) \in M \times \mathcal{O}(M), \#(M/L) < \infty\}$ . Then  $\overline{M}$  forms a pre-compact linear topological  $O_k$ -module, and the identity map  $\pi_M^c: M \rightarrow \overline{M}$  is continuous.

(ii) Let  $S$  be a set. A map  $f: S \rightarrow M$  is said to *vanish at infinity* if for any  $L \in \mathcal{O}(M)$ , there is an  $S_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{<\omega}(S)$  such that  $f(s) \in L$  for any  $s \in S \setminus S_0$ . We denote by  $C_0(S, M)$  the  $O_k$ -module of maps  $f: S \rightarrow M$  vanishing at infinity equipped with the topology generated by  $\{f + C_0(S, L) \mid (f, L) \in C_0(S, M) \times \mathcal{O}(M)\}$ . Then  $C_0(S, M)$  forms a linear topological  $O_k$ -module.

We denote by  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$  the  $O_k$ -linear category of linear topological  $O_k$ -modules and continuous  $O_k$ -linear homomorphisms. We abbreviate  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_\ell}$  to  $\mathcal{L}$ . Since the pre-image of an open  $O_k$ -submodule by a continuous  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism is an open  $O_k$ -submodule, the correspondence  $M \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{O}(M)$  gives a functor  $\mathcal{O}: \mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ . On the other hand, the correspondence  $M \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{K}(M)$  gives a functor  $\mathcal{K}: \mathcal{C}_\ell \rightarrow \text{Set}$  by the following:

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $(M_i) \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C}_\ell^2)$  and  $f \in \mathcal{L}((M_i))$ . For any pre-compact subset  $C_0 \subset M_0$ ,  $f(C_0) \subset M_1$  is pre-compact.*

*Proof.* The assertion follows from [3] p. 445 by the uniform continuity of  $f$ .  $\square$

We will use  $\mathcal{O}(M)$  and  $\mathcal{K}(M)$  as index sets of limits and colimits. They are filtered and cofiltered with respect to inclusions by Proposition 2.1 (i) and the following:

**Proposition 2.4.** *The sets  $\mathcal{O}(M)$  and  $\mathcal{K}(M)$  are closed under finite sum.*

*Proof.* The assertion for  $\mathcal{O}(M)$  immediately follows from [3] p. 433. The assertion for  $\mathcal{K}(M)$  immediately follows from Proposition 2.3 and [3] 8.3.3 Theorem, because  $\sum M_j$  is the image of the addition  $\prod M_i \rightarrow M$  for any  $(M_i) \in \mathcal{K}(M)^2$ .  $\square$

As a consequence, we obtain the following variant of [13] Lemma 1.5 i:

**Corollary 2.5.** *For any pre-compact subset  $C \subset M$ ,  $\sum_{m \in C} O_k m$  is pre-compact.*

*Proof.* Let  $L \in \mathcal{O}(M)$ . Take a  $C_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{<\omega}(C)$  satisfying  $C \subset \bigcup_{m \in C_0} (m + L)$ . We have  $O_k m \in \mathcal{K}(M)$  for any  $m \in M$  by Proposition 2.3, and hence  $\sum_{m \in C_0} O_k m \in \mathcal{K}(M)$  by Proposition 2.4. Take a  $K_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{<\omega}(\sum_{m \in C_0} O_k m)$  satisfying  $\sum_{m \in C_0} O_k m \subset \bigcup_{m \in K_0} (m + L)$ . We obtain

$$\sum_{m \in C} O_k m \subset \bigcup_{m \in C_0} O_k(m + L) = \bigcup_{m \in C_0} (O_k m + L) \subset \bigcup_{m \in K_0} (m + L).$$

It implies  $\sum_{m \in C} O_k m \in \mathcal{K}(M)$ .  $\square$

We denote by  $\mathcal{C}$  the category of  $O_k$ -modules and  $O_k$ -linear homomorphisms. We denote by  $\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{C}_\ell \rightarrow \text{Top}$  and  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C}_\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  the forgetful functors.

**Proposition 2.6.** *The category  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$  is bicomplete, and  $\mathcal{U}$  (respectively,  $\mathcal{F}$ ) is  $\omega$ -cocontinuous and continuous (respectively, bicontinuous).*

*Proof.* The completeness of  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$  and the continuity of  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  follow from the definition of the limits in  $\text{Top}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$ . The  $\omega$ -cocompleteness of  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$  and the  $\omega$ -cocontinuity of  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  follow from [6] Proposition 1.3. For any small family  $(M_s)_{s \in S}$  in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ ,  $\bigoplus_{s \in S} \mathcal{F}(M_s)$  forms a linear topological  $O_k$ -module with respect to the topology generated by  $\{m + \bigoplus_{s \in S} \mathcal{F}(L_s) \mid (m, (L_s)_{s \in S}) \in (\bigoplus_{s \in S} \mathcal{F}(M_s)) \times \prod_{s \in S} \mathcal{O}(M_s)\}$ , and satisfies the universality of the direct sum of  $(M_s)_{s \in S}$  in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ . Thus  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$  is cocomplete, and  $\mathcal{F}$  is cocontinuous.  $\square$

Since we will introduce several full subcategories of  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ , we prepare a convention for colimits (respectively, limits) in order to avoid the ambiguity of categories in which we consider the universality. Let  $(M_s)_{s \in S}$  be a small diagram in a full subcategory  $\Theta \subset \mathcal{C}_\ell$ . We always denote by  $\varinjlim_{s \in S} M_s$  (respectively,  $\varprojlim_{s \in S} M_s$ ) the colimit (respectively, limit) of  $(M_s)_{s \in S}$  in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$  but not in  $\Theta$ . As an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.6, we obtain the following:

**Corollary 2.7.** *Let  $(M_s)_{s \in S}$  be a small diagram in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ . For any subset  $U \subset \varinjlim_{s \in S} M_s$  (respectively,  $U \subset \varprojlim_{s \in S} M_i$ ),  $U$  is open if and only if the preimage of  $U$  in  $M_s$  is open for any  $s \in S$  (respectively, if and only if for any  $m \in U$ , there is an  $(L_s)_{s \in S} \in \prod_{s \in S} \mathcal{O}(M_s)$  satisfying  $\{s \in S \mid L_s \neq M_s\} \in \mathcal{P}_{<\omega}(S)$  and  $m + \prod_{s \in S} \mathcal{F}(L_s) \subset U$ ).*

We denote by  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^c \subset \mathcal{C}_\ell$  the full subcategory of pre-compact linear topological  $O_k$ -modules and by  $\mathcal{I}^c$  the inclusion  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^c \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_\ell$ . We put  $\mathcal{U}^c := \mathcal{U} \circ \mathcal{I}^c$  and  $\mathcal{F}^c := \mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{I}^c$ .

**Proposition 2.8.** (i) *The correspondence  $M \rightsquigarrow \overline{M}$  gives a functor  $\overline{(\bullet)}: \mathcal{C}_\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\ell^c$  left adjoint to  $\mathcal{I}^c$  such that the counit is given as a natural equivalence.*  
(ii) *The topological  $O_k$ -module  $M$  is linear and pre-compact if and only if  $\pi_M^c$  is an open map.*  
(iii) *The category  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^c$  is bicomplete, and the colimit of a small diagram  $(M_s)_{s \in S}$  in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^c$  is given by  $\varinjlim_{s \in S} \mathcal{I}^c(M_s)$ .*

*Proof.* The functoriality of  $\overline{(\bullet)}$  and the assertion (ii) immediately follow from the definition. The assertion (iii) immediately follows from the assertion (i) and Proposition 2.6. We show the assertion (i). We consider two functors  $F, G: \mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C}_\ell^c \rightarrow \text{Set}$  given as  $F := \mathcal{L}(\overline{\bullet_0}, \bullet_1)$  and  $G := \mathcal{L}(\bullet_0, \mathcal{I}^c(\bullet_1))$ . The correspondence  $M \rightsquigarrow \pi_M^c$  gives a unit  $\pi^c: \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}_\ell} \Rightarrow \mathcal{I}^c \circ \overline{(\bullet)}$ . We have a counit  $(\pi_{\mathcal{I}^c}^c)^{-1}: \overline{(\bullet)} \circ \mathcal{I}^c \Rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}_\ell^c}$ , which is a natural equivalence by the assertion (ii). For a  $K \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C}_\ell^c)$ , we consider maps  $T_{M,K}: F(M, K) \rightarrow G(M, K)$ ,  $f \mapsto f \circ \pi_M^c$  and  $T'_{M,K}: G(M, K) \rightarrow F(M, K)$ ,  $f \mapsto (\pi_{\mathcal{I}^c(K)}^c)^{-1} \circ \overline{f}$ . The correspondences  $(M, K) \rightsquigarrow T_{M,K}, T'_{M,K}$  give natural transformations  $T: F \Rightarrow G$  and  $T': G \Rightarrow F$  satisfying  $T \circ T' = \text{id}_G$  and  $T' \circ T = \text{id}_F$  by the bijectivity of values of  $\pi^c$ . We obtain adjunction data  $(\overline{(\bullet)}, \mathcal{I}^c, T, \pi^c, (\pi_{\mathcal{I}^c}^c)^{-1})$  between  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^c$  and  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ . It implies that  $\overline{(\bullet)}$  is left adjoint to  $\mathcal{I}^c$ .  $\square$

Suppose that  $M$  is linear in the following in this subsection. Then  $\mathcal{K}(M)$  forms a small filtered diagram in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$  by Proposition 2.4. We put  $M_{\mathcal{K}} := \varinjlim_{K \in \mathcal{K}(M)} K$ . By the universality of the colimit, the system of inclusions induces a continuous injective  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $\iota_M^{\text{cg}}: M_{\mathcal{K}} \rightarrow M$ . By Corollary 2.5,  $\iota_M^{\text{cg}}$  is bijective. We show that  $\iota_M^{\text{cg}}$  preserves the pre-compactness of  $O_k$ -submodules.

**Proposition 2.9.** *Let  $K \subset M$  be an  $O_k$ -submodule of  $M$ . Put  $K' := (\iota_M^{\text{cg}})^{-1}(K)$ .*

- (i) *If  $K$  is pre-compact, then  $\iota_M^{\text{cg}}|_{K'}$  is a homeomorphism onto  $K$ .*
- (ii) *The pre-compactness of  $K$  is equivalent to that of  $K'$ .*

*Proof.* The assertion (ii) follows from Proposition 2.3 and the assertion (i). We show the assertion (i). By  $K \in \mathcal{K}(M)$ , we have  $\iota_M^{\text{cg}}(K') = K$ . Let  $L \in \mathcal{O}(M_{\mathcal{K}})$ . By  $\iota_M^{\text{cg}}(K') = K$  and the injectivity of  $\iota_M^{\text{cg}}$ , we have  $\iota_M^{\text{cg}}(L \cap K') = \iota_M^{\text{cg}}(L) \cap K$ , and hence  $\iota_M^{\text{cg}}(L \cap K') \in \mathcal{O}(K)$ . It implies that  $\iota_M^{\text{cg}}|_{K'}$  is an open map onto  $K$ .  $\square$

We say that  $M$  is CG if  $\iota_M^{\text{cg}}$  is an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}} \subset \mathcal{C}_\ell$  the full subcategory of CG linear topological  $O_k$ -modules and by  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{cg}}$  the inclusion  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_\ell$ . We put  $\mathcal{U}^{\text{cg}} := \mathcal{U} \circ \mathcal{I}^{\text{cg}}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{cg}} := \mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{I}^{\text{cg}}$ . We study properties of  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}}$  analogous to those of the category of compactly generated topological spaces.

**Corollary 2.10.** (i) *The correspondence  $M \rightsquigarrow M_{\mathcal{K}}$  gives a functor  $(\bullet)_{\mathcal{K}}: \mathcal{C}_\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}}$  right adjoint to  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{cg}}$  such that the counit is given as a natural equivalence.*

(ii) *The category  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}}$  is bicomplete, and the colimit of a small diagram  $(M_s)_{s \in S}$  in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}}$  is given by  $(\varinjlim_{s \in S} \mathcal{I}^{\text{cg}}(M_s))_{\mathcal{K}}$ .*

*Proof.* To begin with, we show that  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}}$  is closed under small colimits in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ . Let  $(M_s)_{s \in S}$  be a small diagram in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}}$ . Put  $M := \varinjlim_{s \in S} \mathcal{I}^{\text{cg}}(M_s)$ . In order to verify that  $M$  is pre-compactly generated, it suffices to show  $\iota_M^{\text{cg}}(L) \in \mathcal{O}(M)$  for any  $L \in \mathcal{O}(M_{\mathcal{K}})$ . Let  $s \in S$ . We denote by  $L_s$  the preimage of  $\iota_M^{\text{cg}}(L)$  in  $M_s$ . Let  $K_0 \in \mathcal{K}(M_s)$ . We denote by  $K \subset M$  the image of  $K_0$ . By Proposition 2.3 and Proposition 2.9 (ii), we have  $(\iota_M^{\text{cg}})^{-1}(K) \in \mathcal{K}(M_{\mathcal{K}})$ . It ensures  $L \cap (\iota_M^{\text{cg}})^{-1}(K) \in \mathcal{O}((\iota_M^{\text{cg}})^{-1}(K))$ . By Proposition 2.9 (i), we obtain

$\iota_M^{\text{CG}}(L) \cap K \in \mathcal{O}(K)$  and hence  $L_s \cap K_0 \in \mathcal{O}(K_0)$ . It ensures  $L_s \in \mathcal{O}(M_s)$  because  $M_s$  is CG. It implies  $\iota_M^{\text{CG}}(L) \in \mathcal{O}(M)$  by Corollary 2.7.

We show the assertion (i). Since  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{CG}}$  is closed under small colimits in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ , the correspondence  $M \rightsquigarrow M_{\mathcal{X}}$  gives a functor  $(\bullet)_{\mathcal{X}}: \mathcal{C}_\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{CG}}$  by Proposition 2.3 and Proposition 2.9 (i). We consider two functors  $F, G: (\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{CG}})^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C}_\ell \rightarrow \text{Set}$  given as  $F := \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{I}^{\text{CG}}(\bullet_0), \bullet_1)$  and  $G := \mathcal{L}(\bullet_0, (\bullet_1)_{\mathcal{X}})$ . The correspondence  $M \rightsquigarrow \iota_M^{\text{CG}}$  gives a unit  $\iota^{\text{CG}}: \mathcal{I}^{\text{CG}} \circ (\bullet)_{\mathcal{X}} \Rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}_\ell}$ , and we also have a counit  $(\iota_{\mathcal{I}^{\text{CG}}}^{\text{CG}})^{-1}: \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{CG}}} \Rightarrow (\bullet)_{\mathcal{X}} \circ \mathcal{I}^{\text{CG}}$ , which is a natural equivalence by definition. For an  $(M_i) \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{CG}} \times \mathcal{C}_\ell)$ , we consider maps  $T_{(M_i)}: F((M_i)) \rightarrow G((M_i))$ ,  $f \mapsto f_{\mathcal{X}} \circ (\iota_{\mathcal{I}^{\text{CG}}(M_0)}^{\text{CG}})^{-1}$  and  $T'_{(M_i)}: G((M_i)) \rightarrow F((M_i))$ ,  $f \mapsto \iota_{M_1}^{\text{CG}} \circ f$ . The correspondences  $(M_i) \rightsquigarrow T_{(M_i)}, T'_{(M_i)}$  give natural transformations  $T: F \Rightarrow G$  and  $T': G \Rightarrow F$  satisfying  $T \circ T' = \text{id}_G$  and  $T' \circ T = \text{id}_F$  by the bijectivity of values of  $\iota$ . We obtain adjunction data  $(\mathcal{I}^{\text{CG}}, (\bullet)_{\mathcal{X}}, T, \iota^{\text{CG}}, (\iota_{\mathcal{I}^{\text{CG}}}^{\text{CG}})^{-1})$  between  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$  and  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{CG}}$ . It implies that  $(\bullet)_{\mathcal{X}}$  is right adjoint to  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{CG}}$ .

We show the assertion (ii). By the assertion (i),  $(\bullet)_{\mathcal{X}}$  is continuous and  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{CG}}$  is cocontinuous. Since the counit  $(\iota_{\mathcal{I}^{\text{CG}}}^{\text{CG}})^{-1}$  is a natural equivalence,  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{CG}}$  is complete by Proposition 2.6. Since we have already verified that  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{CG}}$  is closed under small colimits in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ , it implies the assertion (ii) by Proposition 2.6  $\square$

We have three criteria of CG linear topological  $O_k$ -modules.

**Proposition 2.11.** (i) *If  $M$  is CG, then so is every closed  $O_k$ -submodule of  $M$ .*

(ii) *If  $M$  is locally compact, then  $M$  is CG.*

(iii) *If  $M$  is first countable, then  $M$  is CG.*

*Proof.* The assertion (ii) follows from Proposition 2.1 (ii) and Proposition 2.9 (i), because  $M$  is locally compact if and only if  $M$  admits a compact clopen  $O_k$ -submodule. We verify the assertion (i). Let  $M_0 \subset M$  be a closed  $O_k$ -submodule. Since  $\iota_M^{\text{CG}}$  is an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ ,  $(\iota_M^{\text{CG}})^{-1}(M_0)$  is closed in  $M_{\mathcal{X}}$ . Therefore  $\iota_M^{\text{CG}}$  induces a homeomorphism  $\varinjlim_{K \in \mathcal{X}(M)} (\mathcal{U}^c(K) \cap \mathcal{U}(M_0)) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(M_0)$  by [6] Lemma 2.23. By Corollary 2.7, we obtain an isomorphism  $\varinjlim_{K \in \mathcal{X}(M)} (K \cap M_0) \rightarrow M_0$ . By Proposition 2.1 (i),  $K \cap M_0$  lies in  $\mathcal{X}(M_0)$  for any  $K \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ . It implies that  $M_0$  is CG by Corollary 2.10 (i).



We verify the assertion (iii). Let  $L \in \mathcal{O}(M_{\mathcal{X}})$ . We show  $\iota_M^{\text{cg}}(L) \in \mathcal{O}(M)$ . Assume  $\iota_M^{\text{cg}}(L) \notin \mathcal{O}(M)$ . Take an decreasing sequence  $(L_r)_{r \in \omega} \in \mathcal{O}(M)^\omega$  such that  $\{L_r \mid r \in \omega\}$  forms a fundamental system of neighbourhoods of  $0 \in M$ . By the assumption, we have  $L_r \setminus \iota_M^{\text{cg}}(L) \neq \emptyset$  for any  $r \in \omega$ . Take an  $(m_r)_{r \in \omega} \in \prod_{r \in \omega} (L_r \setminus \iota_M^{\text{cg}}(L))$ . Put  $C := \{m_r \mid r \in \omega\}$ . We have  $C = \bigcup_{h=0}^r (m_h + L_r)$  for any  $r \in \omega$ , and hence  $C$  is pre-compact. Put  $K := \sum_{m \in C} O_k m \subset M$ . By Corollary 2.5, we have  $K \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ . It ensures  $\iota_M^{\text{cg}}(L) \cap K \in \mathcal{O}(K)$ . By  $0 \in \iota_M^{\text{cg}}(L) \cap K$ , there is an  $r \in \omega$  such that  $L_r \cap K \subset \iota_M^{\text{cg}}(L) \cap K$ . We obtain  $m_r \in L_r \cap K \subset \iota_M^{\text{cg}}(L) \cap K$ , which contradicts  $m_r \notin \iota_M^{\text{cg}}(L)$ . It implies  $\iota_M^{\text{cg}}(L) \in \mathcal{O}(M)$ . Thus  $M$  is CG.  $\square$

We survey Schikhof duality (cf. [10] Theorem 4.6, [13] Theorem 1.2, and [7] Theorem 2.2). We follow the convention of Banach  $k$ -vector space in [7] §1.2. We denote by  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{ch}} \subset \mathcal{C}_{\text{fl}}$  the full subcategory of compact Hausdorff flat linear topological  $O_k$ -modules, by  $\text{Ban}(k)$  the  $k$ -linear category of Banach  $k$ -vector spaces and bounded  $k$ -linear homomorphisms, by  $\text{Ban}_{\leq}(k) \subset \text{Ban}(k)$  the  $O_k$ -linear subcategory of submetric  $k$ -linear homomorphisms, and by  $\text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k) \subset \text{Ban}_{\leq}(k)$  the full subcategory of unramified Banach  $k$ -vector spaces. By Proposition 2.1 (ii),  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{ch}}$  is a full subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{c}}$ . For a  $(V_i) \in \text{ob}(\text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k))^2$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{S}((V_i))$  the  $O_k$ -module  $\text{Hom}_{\text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k)}((V_i))$  equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence. For a  $V \in \text{ob}(\text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k))$ , we put  $V^{\text{Dd}} := \mathcal{S}(V, k)$ . For a  $K \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{ch}})$ , we denote by  $K^{\text{Dc}}$  the  $k$ -vector space  $\mathcal{L}(K, k)$  equipped with the supremum norm. The correspondence  $V \rightsquigarrow V^{\text{Dd}}$  gives a functor  $\text{Dd}: \text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{ch}}$ , and the correspondence  $K \rightsquigarrow K^{\text{Dc}}$  gives a functor  $\text{Dc}: \mathcal{C}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{ch}} \rightarrow \text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k)^{\text{op}}$ .

**Theorem 2.12** (Schikhof duality). *The pair  $(\text{Dd}, \text{Dc})$  is an  $O_k$ -linear equivalence between  $\text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k)^{\text{op}}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{ch}}$ .*

**2.2 Normal Measures** We study a non-Archimedean analogue of the normality of a measure. For this purpose, we introduce a convention of infinite sums. Let  $S$  be a set. For an  $f \in k^S$ , we denote by  $\sum_{s \in S} f(s)$  the limit of the net  $(\sum_{s \in S_0} f(s))_{S_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{<\omega}(S)}$ , where  $\mathcal{P}_{<\omega}(S)$  is directed by inclusions. It is elementary to show the following:

**Proposition 2.13.** *Let  $S$  be a set. For any  $f \in k^S$  (respectively,  $O_k^S$ ),  $\sum_{s \in S} f(s)$  converges in  $k$  (respectively,  $O_k$ ) if and only if  $f \in \text{C}_0(S, k)$  (respectively,  $\text{C}_0(S, O_k)$ ).*

Let  $X$  be a topological space. We denote by  $\text{CO}(X)$  the set of clopen subsets of  $X$ , and by  $\mathbb{P}(X)$  the set of subsets  $P \subset \text{CO}(X)$  satisfying  $X = \bigsqcup_{U \in P} U$ . An  $O_k$ -valued measure on  $X$  is a map  $\mu: \text{CO}(X) \rightarrow O_k$  such that  $\mu(U_0 \cup U_1) = \sum \mu(U_i)$  for any  $(U_i) \in \text{CO}(X)^2$  satisfying  $U_0 \cap U_1 = \emptyset$ . An  $O_k$ -valued measure  $\mu$  on  $X$  is said to be *normal* if  $\sum_{U' \in P} \mu(U')$  converges to  $\mu(U)$  for any  $U \in \text{CO}(X)$  and  $P \in \mathbb{P}(U)$ .

Let  $P \in \mathbb{P}(X)$ . For a subset  $U \subset X$ , we put  $P|_U := \{U' \in P \mid U' \subset U\}$ . We define a partial order  $P_0 \leq P_1$  on  $(P_i) \in \mathbb{P}(X)^2$  as  $(P_0|_U)_{U \in P_1} \in \prod_{U \in P_1} \mathbb{P}(U)$ . Let  $(P_i) \in \mathbb{P}(X)^2$ . Then  $\{U_0 \cap U_1 \mid (U_i) \in \prod P_i\} \in \mathbb{P}(X)$  forms the least upper bound of  $\{P_0, P_1\}$  with respect to  $\leq$ . In particular,  $\mathbb{P}(X)$  is directed with respect to  $\leq$ . Suppose  $P_0 \leq P_1$ . Let  $f \in C_0(P_0, O_k)$  and  $U \in P_1$ . By  $P_0|_U \subset P_0$  and Proposition 2.13,  $\tilde{f}(U) := \sum_{U' \in P_0|_U} f(U')$  is a converging sum. For any  $\epsilon \in (0, \infty)$ , there is a  $P'_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{<\omega}(P_0)$  such that  $|f(U')| < \epsilon$  for any  $U' \in P_0 \setminus P'_0$ , and hence  $P'_1 := \{U \in P_1 \mid P'_0 \cap (P_0|_U) \neq \emptyset\}$  is a finite set satisfying  $|\tilde{f}(U)| < \epsilon$  for any  $U \in P_1 \setminus P'_1$ . It implies that the map  $\tilde{f}: P_1 \rightarrow X$ ,  $U \mapsto \tilde{f}(U)$  lies in  $C_0(P_1, O_k)$ . We obtain a continuous  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $C_0(P_0, O_k) \rightarrow C_0(P_1, O_k)$ ,  $f \mapsto \tilde{f}$  for each  $(P_i) \in \mathbb{P}(X)^2$  satisfying  $P_0 \leq P_1$ , for which  $(C_0(P, O_k))_{P \in \mathbb{P}(X)}$  forms a cofiltered diagram in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ .

We put  $\mathbb{M}(X) := \varprojlim_{P \in \mathbb{P}(X)} C_0(P, O_k)$  and  $O_k[[X]] := \mathbb{M}(X)_{\mathcal{X}}$ . The abuse of the notation with the classical Iwasawa algebra is harmless, because we will show in Proposition 2.21 that  $O_k[[X]]$  is its generalisation. For a  $(\mu, U) \in \mathbb{M}(X) \times \text{CO}(X)$ , we denote by  $\mu(U)$  the image of  $\mu$  by the composite of the  $\{U, X \setminus U\}$ -th projection  $\mathbb{M}(X) \rightarrow C_0(\{U, X \setminus U\}, O_k)$  and the evaluation  $C_0(\{U, X \setminus U\}, O_k) \rightarrow O_k$  at  $U$ . For a  $(P, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{P}(X) \times (0, 1]$ , we set  $\mathbb{M}(X; P, \epsilon) := \{\mu \in \mathbb{M}(X) \mid \forall U \in P, |\mu(U)| < \epsilon\}$ . By Corollary 2.7 and the continuity of  $\iota_{\mathbb{M}(X)}^{\text{cg}}$ , we obtain the following:

**Proposition 2.14.** *The linear topological  $O_k$ -modules  $\mathbb{M}(X)$  and  $O_k[[X]]$  are Hausdorff, and the set  $\{\mathbb{M}(X; P, \epsilon) \mid (P, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{P}(X) \times (0, 1]\}$  forms a fundamental system of neighbourhoods of  $0 \in \mathbb{M}(X)$ .*

The evaluation map  $\mathbb{M}(X) \rightarrow O_k^{\text{CO}(X)}$ ,  $\mu \mapsto (\mu(U))_{U \in \text{CO}(X)}$  is injective. We identify  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{M}(X))$  with the  $O_k$ -module of normal  $O_k$ -valued measures on  $X$  through the evaluation map. For a  $U \in \text{CO}(X)$ , we denote by  $1_U: X \rightarrow k$  the characteristic function of  $U$ .

**Proposition 2.15.** *If  $X$  is compact, then  $\mathbb{M}(X)$  is a compact Hausdorff flat linear topological  $O_k$ -module, and the map  $C(X, k)^{\text{Dd}} \rightarrow O_k^{\text{CO}(X)}$ ,  $\mu \mapsto (\mu(1_U))_{U \in \text{CO}(X)}$  (cf. [7] Example 1.4) induces an isomorphism  $C(X, k)^{\text{Dd}} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}(X)$  in  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{ch}}$ .*

*Proof.* By the compactness of  $X$ , every  $O_k$ -valued measure on  $X$  is normal, and hence the map in the assertion gives an  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $C(X, k)^{\text{Dd}} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}(X)$ , which is continuous by the finiteness of pairwise disjoint clopen coverings of  $X$ . On the other hand, again by the compactness of  $X$ , every continuous  $k$ -valued function is uniformly approximated by a finite  $k$ -linear combination of characteristic functions of clopen subsets. Therefore we obtain the inverse  $\mathbb{M}(X) \rightarrow C(X, k)^{\text{Dd}}$ , which is continuous because  $C(X, k)^{\text{Dd}}$  is compact and  $\mathbb{M}(X)$  is Hausdorff.  $\square$

We denote by  $\delta_{X,x} \in \mathbb{M}(X)$  the normal  $O_k$ -valued measure which assigns 1 if  $x \in U$  and 0 otherwise to each  $U \in \text{CO}(X)$  for an  $x \in X$ , by  $\delta_X: X \rightarrow \mathbb{M}(X)$  the map given by setting  $\delta_X(x) := \delta_{X,x}$  for an  $x \in X$ , and by  $O_k^{\oplus \delta_X}: O_k^{\oplus X} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}(X)$  the  $O_k$ -linear extension of  $\delta_X$ .

**Proposition 2.16.** (i) *The map  $\delta_X$  is continuous.*

(ii) *If  $X$  is zero-dimensional, that is,  $\text{CO}(X)$  generates the topology of  $X$ , and Hausdorff, then  $O_k^{\oplus \delta_X}$  is injective.*

(iii) *The image of  $O_k^{\oplus \delta_X}$  is dense.*

*Proof.* We show the assertion (i). Let  $U_1 \subset \mathbb{M}(X)$  be an open subset. For any  $x \in X$  satisfying  $\delta_{X,x} \in U_1$ , there is a  $(P, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{P}(X) \times (0, 1]$  such that  $\delta_{X,x} + \mathbb{M}(X; P, \epsilon) \subset U_1$ , and hence for any  $U_0 \in P$ ,  $x \in U_0$  implies  $U_0 \subset \delta_X^{-1}(U_1)$ . Therefore  $\delta_X$  is continuous. We show the assertion (ii). Suppose that  $X$  is zero-dimensional and Hausdorff. Let  $m \in O_k^{\oplus X} \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $X_0 \subset X$  denote a unique non-empty finite subset for which  $m$  is presented as  $\sum_{x \in X_0} c_x x$  for a  $(c_x)_{x \in X_0} \in (O_k \setminus \{0\})^{X_0}$ . By the assumption, there is a  $P \in \mathbb{P}(X)$  such that  $\#(U \cap X_0) \leq 1$  for any  $U \in P$ . Then  $O_k^{\oplus \delta_X}(m)(U) = c_x \neq 0$  for any  $(U, x) \in P \times X$  satisfying  $x \in U$ . It implies  $\ker(O_k^{\oplus \delta_X}) = \{0\}$ .

We show the assertion (iii). Let  $U \subset \mathbb{M}(X)$  be an open neighbourhood of a  $\mu \in U$ . By Corollary 2.7, there is a  $(P, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{P}(X) \times (0, 1]$  such that  $\mu + \mathbb{M}(X; P, \epsilon) \subset U$ . Put  $P_0 := \{U' \in P \mid |\mu(U')| \geq \epsilon\} \in \mathcal{P}_{<\omega}(P) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ . For each  $U' \in P_0$ , take an  $x_{U'} \in U'$ . Then  $\mu' := O_k^{\oplus \delta_X}(\sum_{U' \in P_0} \mu(U')x_{U'})$

satisfies  $|\mu'(U') - \mu(U')| < \epsilon$  for any  $U' \in P$ . It ensures  $\mu' \in U$ . Therefore the image of  $O_k^{\oplus \delta_X}$  is dense.  $\square$

We put  $d_X := (\iota_{\mathbb{M}(X)}^{\text{cg}})^{-1} \circ \delta_X$  and  $O_k^{\oplus d_X} := (\iota_{\mathbb{M}(X)}^{\text{cg}})^{-1} \circ O_k^{\oplus \delta_X}$ . We consider  $d_G$  and  $O_k^{\oplus d_G}$ .

**Proposition 2.17.** (i) *The map  $\delta_G$  is a homeomorphism onto the image.*

(ii) *The map  $d_G$  is a homeomorphism onto the image.*

(iii) *The image of  $O_k^{\oplus d_G}$  is dense.*

In order to verify Proposition 2.17, we study pre-compact subsets of  $\mathbb{M}(G)$ .

**Lemma 2.18.** *Let  $C \subset \mathbb{M}(G)$  be a pre-compact subset. For any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ , there is a compact clopen subset  $G_0 \subset G$  such that  $|\mu(U)| < \epsilon$  for any  $(\mu, U) \in C \times \text{CO}(G \setminus G_0)$ .*

*Proof.* Take an open profinite subgroup  $K \subset G$ . Assume that there is an  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$  such that for any compact clopen subset  $G_0 \subset G$ , some  $(\mu, U) \in C \times \text{CO}(G \setminus G_0)$  satisfies  $|\mu(U)| \geq \epsilon$ . In particular,  $G$  is not compact, because  $G_0 = G$  satisfies  $\text{CO}(G \setminus G_0) = 1$  and  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$  for any  $\mu \in C$ . Therefore  $G/K$  is an infinite set. We construct  $(\mu_r, U_r, C_r) \in C \times \text{CO}(G) \times G/K$  inductively on  $r \in \omega$  so that  $C_r \neq K$  for any  $r \in \omega$ ,  $|\mu_r(U_r)| \geq \epsilon$  for any  $r \in \omega$ ,  $U_r \subset C_r$  for any  $r \in \omega$ , and  $C_{r_0} \neq C_{r_1}$  for any  $(r_i) \in \omega^2$  satisfying  $r_0 \neq r_1$ .

By the assumption, there is a  $(\mu_0, U_0) \in C \times \text{CO}(G \setminus K)$  such that  $|\mu_0(U_0)| \geq \epsilon$ . By the normality of  $\mu_0$ , we have  $\mu_0(U_0) = \sum_{C \in G/K} \mu_0(U_0 \cap C)$ , and hence  $|\mu_0(U_0 \cap C_0)| \geq \epsilon$  for some  $C_0 \in G/K$  satisfying  $C_0 \neq K$ . Replacing  $U_0$  by  $U_0 \cap C_0$ , we may assume  $U_0 \subset C_0$ . Let  $r \in \omega \setminus \{0\}$ . Suppose that we have constructed  $(\mu_h, U_h, C_h)_{h=0}^{r-1} \in (C \times \text{CO}(G) \times G/K)^r$  such that  $C_h \neq K$ ,  $|\mu_h(U_h)| \geq \epsilon$ , and  $U_h \subset C_h$  for any  $h \in \omega$  satisfying  $h < r$ , and  $C_{h_0} \neq C_{h_1}$  for any  $(h_i) \in \omega^2$  satisfying  $h_0 \neq h_1$ ,  $h_0 < r$ , and  $h_1 < r$ . By the assumption, there is a  $(\mu_r, U_r) \in C \times \text{CO}(G \setminus (K \sqcup \bigsqcup_{h=0}^{r-1} C_h))$  such that  $|\mu_r(U_r)| \geq \epsilon$ . By the normality of  $\mu_r$ , we may assume that  $U_r$  is contained in a  $C_r \in G/K$  satisfying  $C_r \neq K$ . By induction on  $r \in \omega$ , we obtain a desired family  $(\mu_r, U_r, C_r)_{r \in \omega}$ .

Since  $(C_r)_{r \in \omega}$  is a system of pairwise disjoint subsets of  $G$ ,  $U_\omega := G \setminus \bigsqcup_{r \in \omega} U_r$  is a clopen subset of  $G$ . Put  $P := \{U_r \mid r \in \omega \sqcup \{\omega\}\} \in \mathbb{P}(G_0)$ .

Since  $C$  is pre-compact, so is its image  $C_P$  in  $C_0(P, O_k)$  by Proposition 2.3. Therefore there is a  $C_{P,0} \in \mathcal{P}_{<\omega}(C_P)$  satisfying  $C_P \subset \{\mu \in C_0(P, O_k) \mid \exists \mu' \in C_{P,0}, \forall U \in P, |\mu(U) - \mu'(U)| < \epsilon\}$ . By  $C_{P,0} \in \mathcal{P}_{<\omega}(C_P)$ , there is a  $P_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{<\omega}(P)$  satisfying  $\mu(U) < \epsilon$  for any  $(\mu, U) \in C_{P,0} \times (P \setminus P_0)$ . It ensures  $\mu(U) < \epsilon$  for any  $(\mu, U) \in C_P \times (P \setminus P_0)$  by the choice of  $C_{P,0}$ . It contradicts that the inequality  $|\mu_r(U_r)| \geq \epsilon$  holds for any  $r \in \omega$ . This completes the proof of the assertion.  $\square$

For an increasing sequence  $(X_r)_{r \in \omega}$  of compact clopen subsets of  $X$  and a decreasing sequence  $(\epsilon_r)_{r \in \omega} \in (0, 1)^\omega$ , we put  $\mathbb{M}(X; (X_r)_{r \in \omega}, (\epsilon_r)_{r \in \omega}) := \{\mu \in \mathbb{M}(X) \mid \forall r \in \omega, \forall U \in \text{CO}(X \setminus X_r), |\mu(U)| < \epsilon_r\}$ .

**Lemma 2.19.** *Let  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ . A subset of  $\mathbb{M}(G)$  is pre-compact if and only if it is contained in  $\mathbb{M}(G; (G_r)_{r \in \omega}, (\epsilon^r)_{r \in \omega})$  for an increasing sequence  $(G_r)_{r \in \omega}$  of compact clopen subsets of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $C \subset \mathbb{M}(G)$  be a subset. Suppose that  $C$  is pre-compact. For each  $r \in \omega$ , there is a compact clopen subset  $G_{r,0} \subset G$  such that  $C \subset \{\mu \in \mathbb{M}(G) \mid \forall U \in \text{CO}(G \setminus G_{r,0}), |\mu(U)| < \epsilon^r\}$  by Lemma 2.18. For an  $r \in \omega$ , put  $G_r := \bigcup_{s=0}^r G_{s,0} \in \text{CO}(G)$ . Then  $(G_r)_{r \in \omega}$  forms an increasing sequence of compact clopen subsets of  $G$  satisfying  $C \subset \mathbb{M}(G; (G_r)_{r \in \omega}, (\epsilon^r)_{r \in \omega})$ .

On the other hand, suppose that  $C$  is contained in  $\mathbb{M}(G; (G_r)_{r \in \omega}, (\epsilon^r)_{r \in \omega})$  for an increasing sequence  $(G_r)_{r \in \omega}$  of compact clopen subsets of  $G$ . Let  $L \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{M}(G))$ . By Corollary 2.7, there is a  $(P, \epsilon') \in \mathbb{P}(X) \times (0, 1]$  such that  $\mathbb{M}(G; P, \epsilon') \subset L$ . By  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ , there is an  $r \in \omega$  such that  $\epsilon^r \leq \epsilon'$ . By the compactness of  $G_r$ , there is a  $P_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{<\omega}(P)$  such that  $G_r \subset \bigsqcup_{U \in P_0} U$ . Since  $O_k$  is compact, there is an  $S \in \mathcal{P}_{<\omega}(O_k)$  such that  $O_k = \bigcup_{c \in S} \{c' \in O_k \mid |c' - c| < \epsilon^r\}$ . By  $\#S^{P_0} = (\#S)^{\#P_0} < \infty$ , there is a  $C_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{<\omega}(C)$  such that  $C = \bigcup_{\mu \in C_0} \{\mu \in C \mid \forall U \in P_0, |\mu'(U) - \mu(U)| < \epsilon^r\}$ . It implies  $C \subset \bigcup_{\mu \in C_0} \mu + L$ . Thus  $C$  is pre-compact.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.20.** *Let  $M \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C}_\ell)$ . Then a map  $f: G \rightarrow M$  is continuous if and only if  $(\iota_M^{\text{cg}})^{-1} \circ f$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* Take an open profinite subgroup  $K \subset G$ . The direct implication follows from the continuity of  $\iota_M^{\text{cg}}$ . Suppose that  $f$  is continuous. Let  $U \subset M_{\mathcal{X}}$  be an open subset. Let  $g \in G$ . Suppose  $(\iota_M^{\text{cg}})^{-1}(f(g)) \in U$ . Since  $f(gK) \subset M$  is compact,  $\iota_M^{\text{cg}}(U) \cap f(gK)$  is an open subset of  $f(gK)$  by

Proposition 2.1 (ii) and Corollary 2.5. By the continuity of  $f$ ,  $f^{-1}(\iota_M^{\text{cg}}(U) \cap f(gK))$  is an open subset of  $f^{-1}(f(gK))$ . It ensures that  $f^{-1}(\iota_M^{\text{cg}}(U)) \cap gK$  is an open subset of  $gK$ . Since  $gK$  is an open subset of  $G$ ,  $f^{-1}(\iota_M^{\text{cg}}(U)) = ((\iota_M^{\text{cg}})^{-1} \circ f)^{-1}(U)$  is an open neighbourhood of  $g$  in  $G$ . It implies that  $(\iota_M^{\text{cg}})^{-1} \circ f$  is continuous.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 2.17.* Take an open profinite subgroup  $K \subset G$ . Then  $G/K$  gives an element  $\{gK \mid g \in G\}$  of  $\mathbb{P}(G)$ . For any  $g \in G$ ,  $\delta_G|_{gK}$  is a closed continuous map by Proposition 2.16 (i) because  $gK$  is compact and  $\mathbb{M}(G)$  is Hausdorff, and its image is contained in  $\delta_{G,g} + \mathbb{M}(G; G/K, 1)$ . Therefore  $\delta_G$  is an injective local homeomorphism onto the image by Proposition 2.16 (ii), because  $\{\delta_{G,g} + \mathbb{M}(G; G/K, 1) \mid g \in G\}$  forms a covering of the image of  $\delta_G$  consisting of pairwise disjoint clopen subsets of  $\mathbb{M}(G)$ . It implies that  $\delta_G$  is a homeomorphism onto the image, and so is  $d_G$  by Lemma 2.20.

Let  $U \subset O_k[[G]]$  be a non-empty open subset. Take a  $\mu \in U$ . By Lemma 2.19, the pre-compact subset  $\{\iota_{\mathbb{M}(G)}^{\text{cg}}(\mu)\} \subset \mathbb{M}(G)$  is contained in  $K := \mathbb{M}(G; (G_r)_{r \in \omega}, (\epsilon^r)_{r \in \omega})$  for an increasing sequence  $(G_r)_{r \in \omega} \in \text{CO}(G)^\omega$  and an  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ , and  $K$  itself is a pre-compact  $O_k$ -submodule of  $\mathbb{M}(G)$ . By Corollary 2.7, there is a  $(P, \epsilon') \in \mathbb{P}(G) \times (0, 1]$  such that  $\{\mu' \in K \mid \forall U' \in P, |\mu'(U') - \iota_{\mathbb{M}(G)}^{\text{cg}}(\mu)(U')| < \epsilon'\} \subset \iota_{\mathbb{M}(G)}^{\text{cg}}(U)$ . By  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ , there is an  $r \in \omega$  such that  $\epsilon^r \leq \epsilon'$ . By the compactness of  $G_r$ , there is a  $P_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{<\omega}(P) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  such that  $G_r \subset \bigsqcup_{U' \in P_0} U'$ . For each  $U' \in P_0$ , take an  $x_{U'} \in U'$ . Then  $\mu' := O_k^{\oplus \delta_G}(\sum_{U' \in P_0} \iota_{\mathbb{M}(G)}^{\text{cg}}(\mu)(U')x_{U'})$  satisfies  $|\mu'(U') - \iota_{\mathbb{M}(G)}^{\text{cg}}(\mu)(U')| < \epsilon^r$  for any  $U' \in P$ . It ensures  $(\iota_{\mathbb{M}(G)}^{\text{cg}})^{-1}(\mu') \in U$ . Therefore the image of  $O_k^{\oplus d_G}$  is dense.  $\square$

We show the relation between  $O_k[[G]]$  and the classical Iwasawa algebra. We denote by  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  the set of open normal subgroups of  $G$ , which is filtered and cofiltered by inclusions. For a  $(\wp, K) \in \mathcal{O}(O_k) \times \mathcal{O}(G)$ , we equip  $(O_k/\wp)[G/K]$  with the discrete topology so that it forms a linear topological  $O_k$ -module.

**Proposition 2.21.** *Suppose that  $G$  is a profinite group. Then the system of the canonical projections  $O_k[G] \twoheadrightarrow (O_k/\wp)[G/K]$  indexed by  $(\wp, K) \in$*

$\mathcal{O}(O_k) \times \mathcal{O}(G)$  induces a unique isomorphism

$$O_k[[G]] \rightarrow \varprojlim_{(\varphi, K) \in \mathcal{O}(O_k) \times \mathcal{O}(G)} (O/\varphi)[G/K]$$

in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ . In particular,  $O_k[[G]]$  forms a compact Hausdorff flat linear topological  $O_k$ -module.

*Proof.* The assertion follows from Proposition 2.1 (ii), Proposition 2.8 (ii), Proposition 2.15, and the fact that the classical Iwasawa algebra over  $O_k$  associated to  $G$  has an interpretation as an  $O_k$ -module of  $O_k$ -valued measures on  $G$ . □

### 3 Monoidal structures

We define symmetric monoidal structures on the categories introduced in §2.1, and an  $O_k$ -algebra structure on  $O_k[[G]]$  in terms of a monoid in one of them. We note that  $O_k[[G]]$  does not necessarily form a topological  $O_k$ -algebra, that is, a monoid object in the Cartesian monoidal category of topological  $O_k$ -modules and continuous  $O_k$ -linear homomorphisms. This is one of the main reasons why we need monoidal structures.

**3.1 Topological tensor products** We define symmetric monoidal structures on  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^c$ , and  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^{c\text{g}}$ . First, we study  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ . Let  $(M_i) \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C}_\ell^2)$ . We denote by  $(L_i)_{(M_i)} \subset \mathcal{F}(M_0) \otimes_{O_k} \mathcal{F}(M_1)$  the kernel of the natural projection  $\mathcal{F}(M_0) \otimes_{O_k} \mathcal{F}(M_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(M_0/L_0) \otimes_{O_k} \mathcal{F}(M_1/L_1)$  for  $O_k$ -submodules  $L_0 \subset M_0$  and  $L_1 \subset M_1$ , and by  $M_0 \otimes^\ell M_1$  the  $O_k$ -module  $\mathcal{F}(M_0) \otimes_{O_k} \mathcal{F}(M_1)$  equipped with the topology generated by the set  $\{m + (L_i)_{(M_i)} \mid (m, (L_i)) \in (\mathcal{F}(M_0) \otimes_{O_k} \mathcal{F}(M_1)) \times \prod \mathcal{O}(M_i)\}$ . Then  $M_0 \otimes^\ell M_1$  forms a linear topological  $O_k$ -module. By the definition of the topology of  $M_0 \otimes^\ell M_1$ , the  $O_k$ -bilinear homomorphism  $\nabla_{(M_i)}: \prod \mathcal{U}(M_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(M_0 \otimes^\ell M_1)$ ,  $(m_i) \mapsto m_0 \otimes m_1$  is continuous. The correspondence  $(M_i) \rightsquigarrow M_0 \otimes^\ell M_1$  gives a functor  $\otimes^\ell: \mathcal{C}_\ell^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\ell$ , and the correspondence  $(M_i) \rightsquigarrow \nabla_{(M_i)}$  gives a natural transformation  $\nabla: \prod \mathcal{U}(\bullet_i) \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\bullet_0 \otimes^\ell \bullet_1)$ . Let  $(M_s)_{s \in S}$  be a small diagram in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ . By the functoriality of  $\otimes^\ell$  and the universality of the colimit, the

system of canonical morphisms  $M_{s_0} \rightarrow \varinjlim_{s \in S} M_s$  indexed by  $s_0 \in S$  induces a morphism  $S_{(M_s)_{s \in S}, M}: \varinjlim_{s \in S} (M_s \otimes^\ell M) \rightarrow (\varinjlim_{s \in S} M_s) \otimes^\ell M$  for an  $M \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C}_\ell)$ . We note that  $\otimes^\ell$  seems not to be cocontinuous.

**Proposition 3.1.** *The triad  $(\mathcal{C}_\ell, \otimes, O_k)$  forms a symmetric monoidal category.*

*Proof.* We denote by  $(A, L, R, B)$  the data of the associator, the left unitor, the right unitor, and the braiding of  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{O_k}, O_k)$ . We have

$$\mathcal{F}(\bullet_0 \otimes^\ell \bullet_1) = \mathcal{F}(\bullet_0) \otimes_{O_k} \mathcal{F}(\bullet_1)$$

by definition. Since  $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{C}_\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is faithful, it suffices to verify that every value of  $\Phi \circ \mathcal{F}$  lies in the image of  $\mathcal{F}$  for any  $\Phi \in \{A, L, R, B\}$ . By  $O_k \in \mathcal{O}(O_k)$ , every value of  $L \circ \mathcal{F}$  (respectively,  $R \circ \mathcal{F}$ ) lies in the image of  $\mathcal{F}$ . By the symmetry of the sub-base of the topology of every value of  $\otimes^\ell$ , every value of  $B \circ \mathcal{F}$  lies in the image of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let  $(M_i)_{i=0}^2 \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C}_\ell^3)$ . We show that the  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $A_{M_0, M_1, M_2}: (M_0 \otimes^\ell M_1) \otimes^\ell M_2 \rightarrow M_0 \otimes^\ell (M_1 \otimes^\ell M_2)$ ,  $m \mapsto A_{\mathcal{F}(M_0), \mathcal{F}(M_1), \mathcal{F}(M_2)}(\mathcal{F}(m))$  is continuous. Let  $(L_0, L_{1,2}) \in \mathcal{O}(M_0) \times \mathcal{O}(M_1 \otimes^\ell M_2)$ . Take an  $(L_{i+1}) \in \prod \mathcal{O}(M_{i+1})$  satisfying  $(L_{i+1})_{(M_{i+1})} \subset L_{1,2}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & ((L_i)_{(M_i)}, L_2)_{M_0 \otimes^\ell M_1, M_2} \\ &= (L_0, (L_{i+1})_{(M_{i+1})})_{M_0, M_1 \otimes^\ell M_2} \subset A_{M_0, M_1, M_2}^{-1}((L_0, L_{1,2})_{M_0, M_1 \otimes^\ell M_2}) \end{aligned}$$

by the right exactness of  $\otimes_{O_k}$ . Therefore  $A_{M_0, M_1, M_2}$  is a continuous map satisfying  $\mathcal{F}(A_{M_0, M_1, M_2}) = A_{\mathcal{F}(M_0), \mathcal{F}(M_1), \mathcal{F}(M_2)}$ .  $\square$

Next, we study  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^c$ . Let  $(K_i) \in \text{ob}((\mathcal{C}_\ell^c)^2)$ . Then  $K_0 \otimes^\ell K_1$  is pre-compact by  $\#((K_0 \otimes^\ell K_1)/(L_i)_{(K_i)}) = \#(K_0/L_0 \otimes^\ell K_1/L_1) \leq \prod \#(K_i/L_i) < \infty$  for any  $(L_i) \in \prod \mathcal{O}(K_i)$ . Therefore the correspondence  $(K_i) \rightsquigarrow K_0 \otimes^\ell K_1$  gives a functor  $\otimes^c: (\mathcal{C}_\ell^c)^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\ell^c$ , and the correspondence  $(K_i) \rightsquigarrow \nabla_{(K_i)}$  gives a natural transformation  $\nabla^c: \prod \mathcal{U}^c(\bullet_i) \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}^c(\bullet_0 \otimes^c \bullet_1)$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^c$  is a full subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ , we obtain the following by Proposition 3.1:

**Proposition 3.2.** *The triad  $(\mathcal{C}_\ell^c, \otimes^c, O_k)$  forms a symmetric monoidal category.*



We put  $\mathcal{L}((K_0, M_1), L) := \{f \in \mathcal{L}(K_0, M_1) \mid f(K_0) \subset L\}$  for an  $L \in \mathcal{O}(M_1)$ , and denote by  $\mathcal{H}\text{om}^c(K_0, M_1)$  the  $O_k$ -module  $\mathcal{L}(K_0, M_1)$  equipped with the topology generated by the set  $\{f + \mathcal{L}((K_0, M_1), L) \mid (f, L) \in K_0 \times \mathcal{O}(M_1)\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}\text{om}^c(K_0, M_1)$  forms a linear topological  $O_k$ -module. By Proposition 2.3 and Corollary 2.10 (i), the correspondence  $(K_0, M_1) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{H}\text{om}^c(K_0, M_1)$  gives a functor  $\mathcal{H}\text{om}^c: (\mathcal{C}_\ell^c)^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C}_\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\ell$ . By Theorem 2.12, the transpose map  ${}^{\text{T}}(\bullet)_{(K_i)}: \mathcal{H}\text{om}^c((K_i)) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}((K_{1-i}^{\text{Dc}}))$  is bijective. We have a comparison of the endomorphism algebras, which corresponds to [13] Lemma 1.6 in the case  $\text{ch}(k) = 0$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *The map  ${}^{\text{T}}(\bullet)_{(K_i)}$  is an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(v, \epsilon) \in K_1^{\text{Dc}} \times (0, \infty)$ . Put  $L := \{f \in \mathcal{S}((K_{1-i}^{\text{Dc}})) \mid |f(v)| < \epsilon\}$ . We show  ${}^{\text{T}}(\bullet)_{(K_i)}^{-1}(L) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H}\text{om}^c((K_i)))$ . Put  $L_1 := \{m \in K_1 \mid |v(m)| < 2^{-1}\epsilon\} \in \mathcal{O}(K_1)$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{L}((K_i), L_1)$ . We have  $\|{}^{\text{T}}f_{(K_i)}(v)\| = \sup_{m \in K_1} |v(f(m))| \leq \sup_{m \in L_1} |v(m)| \leq 2^{-1}\epsilon < \epsilon$ . It ensures  ${}^{\text{T}}f_{(K_i)} \in L$ . It implies  $\mathcal{L}((K_i), L_1) \subset {}^{\text{T}}(\bullet)_{(K_i)}^{-1}(L)$ . We obtain  ${}^{\text{T}}(\bullet)_{(K_i)}^{-1}(L) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H}\text{om}^c((K_i)))$ . Therefore  ${}^{\text{T}}(\bullet)_{(K_i)}$  is continuous.

Let  $L_1 \in \mathcal{O}(K_1)$ . We show  ${}^{\text{T}}(\bullet)_{(K_i)}(\mathcal{L}((K_i), L_1)) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{S}((K_{1-i}^{\text{Dc}})))$ . By Theorem 2.12, there is an  $(S, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{P}_{<\omega}(K_0^{\text{Dc}}) \times (0, \infty)$  such that  $\{m \in K_1 \mid \forall v \in S, |v(m)| < \epsilon\} \subset L_1$ . Put  $L := \{f \in \mathcal{S}((K_{1-i}^{\text{Dc}})) \mid \forall v \in S, \|f(v)\| < \epsilon\} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{S}((K_{1-i}^{\text{Dc}})))$ . Let  $f \in L$ . We show  ${}^{\text{T}}(\bullet)_{(K_i)}^{-1}(f) \in \mathcal{L}((K_i), L_1)$ . Let  $m \in K_0$ . We have  $|v({}^{\text{T}}(\bullet)_{(K_i)}^{-1}(f)(m))| = |f(v)(m)| \leq \|f(v)\| < \epsilon$  for any  $v \in S$ , and hence  ${}^{\text{T}}(\bullet)_{(K_i)}^{-1}(f)(m) \in L_1$ . It ensures  ${}^{\text{T}}(\bullet)_{(K_i)}^{-1}(f) \in \mathcal{L}((K_i), L_1)$ . It implies  $L \subset {}^{\text{T}}(\bullet)_{(K_i)}(\mathcal{L}((K_i), L_1))$ . Therefore  ${}^{\text{T}}(\bullet)_{(K_i)}$  is an open map.  $\square$

We denote by  $C_L^c, C_R^c: ((\mathcal{C}_\ell^c)^{\text{op}})^2 \times \mathcal{C}_\ell \rightarrow \text{Set}$  the functors given as  $C_L^c := \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{I}^c(\bullet_0 \otimes^c \bullet_1), \bullet_2)$  and  $C_R^c := \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{I}^c(\bullet_0), \mathcal{H}\text{om}^c((\bullet_{i+1})))$ . We construct an adjunction  $\text{T}^c: C_L^c \rightleftarrows C_R^c$ . Let  $f$  be an  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $K_0 \otimes^c K_1 \rightarrow M_2$  for a  $((K_i), M_2) \in \text{ob}((\mathcal{C}_\ell^c)^2 \times \mathcal{C}_\ell)$ . We characterise the continuity of  $f$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** *The map  $f$  is continuous if and only if  $f \circ \nabla_{(K_i)}^c$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* The inverse implication follows from the continuity of  $\nabla_{(K_i)}^c$ . Suppose that  $f \circ \nabla_{(K_i)}^c$  is continuous. Let  $L_2 \in \mathcal{O}(M_2)$ . We show  $f^{-1}(L_2) \in$

$\mathcal{O}(K_0 \otimes^c K_1)$ . By the continuity of  $f \circ \nabla_{(K_i)}^c$ , there is an  $(L_i) \in \prod \mathcal{O}(K_i)$  such that  $\prod L_i \subset (f \circ \nabla_{(K_i)}^c)^{-1}(L_2)$ . Put  $i_0 := 0$  (respectively,  $i_0 := 1$ ). Take a  $K_{i_0,0} \in \mathcal{P}_{<\omega}(K_{i_0})$  satisfying  $K_{i_0} \subset \bigcup_{m \in K_{i_0,0}} (m + L_{i_0})$ . For each  $m \in K_{i_0,0}$ , there is an  $L_{i_0,0,m} \in \mathcal{O}(K_{i_0})$  such that  $L_{i_0,0,m} \times \{m\}$  (respectively,  $\{m\} \times L_{i_0,0,m}$ ) is contained in  $(f \circ \nabla_{(K_i)}^c)^{-1}(L_2)$  by the continuity of  $f \circ \nabla_{(K_i)}^c$ . Put  $L_{i_0,0} := L_{i_0} \cap \bigcap_{m \in K_{i_0,0}} L_{i_0,0,m} \in \mathcal{O}(K_{i_0})$ . By  $L_2 + L_2 = L_2$ , we obtain  $(L_{i_0,0})_{(K_i)} \subset f^{-1}(L_2)$ . Therefore  $f$  is continuous.  $\square$

Suppose that  $f$  is continuous. Let  $m_0 \in K_0$ . We denote by  $f(m_0 \otimes^c \bullet)$  the  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $K_1 \rightarrow M_2$ ,  $m_1 \mapsto f(m_0 \otimes m_1)$ . Then  $f(m_0 \otimes^c \bullet)$  is the composite of  $f$ ,  $\nabla_{(K_i)}^c$ , and the map  $\mathcal{U}^c(K_1) \hookrightarrow \prod \mathcal{U}^c(K_i)$ ,  $m_1 \mapsto (m_i)$ , and hence is continuous. We obtain an  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $T_{(K_i),M_2}^c(f): K_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}\text{om}^c(K_1, M_2)$ ,  $m_0 \mapsto f(m_0 \otimes^c \bullet)$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** *The  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $T_{(K_i),M_2}^c(f)$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $L_2 \in \mathcal{O}(M_2)$ . By the continuity of  $f$ , there is an  $(L_i) \in \prod \mathcal{O}(K_i)$  such that  $(L_i)_{(K_i)} \subset f^{-1}(L_2)$ . Take a  $K_{1,0} \in \mathcal{P}_{<\omega}(K_1)$  satisfying  $K_1 \subset \bigcup_{m_1 \in K_{1,0}} (m_1 + L_1)$ . For each  $m_1 \in K_{1,0}$ , there is an  $L_{0,0,m_1} \in \mathcal{O}(K_0)$  such that  $f(m_0 \otimes m_1) \in L_2$  for any  $m_0 \in L_{0,0,m_1}$  by the continuity of  $f$ ,  $\nabla_{(K_i)}^c$ , and the map  $K_0 \hookrightarrow \prod K_i$ ,  $m_0 \mapsto (m_i)$ . By  $0 \in K_1$ , we have  $K_{1,0} \neq \emptyset$ . Put  $L_{0,0} := L_0 \cap \bigcap_{m_1 \in K_{1,0}} L_{0,0,m_1} \in \mathcal{O}(K_0)$ . By  $L_2 + L_2 = L_2$ , we obtain  $f(m_0 \otimes m_1) \in L_2$  for any  $(m_i) \in L_{0,0} \times K_1$ . It ensures  $L_{0,0} \subset T_{(K_i),M_2}^c(f)^{-1}(\mathcal{L}((K_1, M_2), L_2))$ . Thus  $T_{(K_i),M_2}^c(f)$  is continuous.  $\square$

By Proposition 3.5, the correspondence  $((K_i), M_2) \rightsquigarrow T_{(K_i),M_2}^c$  gives a natural transformation  $T^c: C_L^c \Rightarrow C_R^c$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** *The natural transformation  $T^c$  is a natural equivalence.*

*Proof.* We have  $\mathcal{F}^c(\bullet_0 \otimes^c \bullet_1) = \mathcal{F}^c(\bullet_0) \otimes_{O_k} \mathcal{F}^c(\bullet_1)$  and  $\mathcal{F}^c \circ T^c$  coincides with the restriction of the adjunction between  $\otimes_{O_k}$  and the internal-hom functor on  $\mathcal{C}$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}^c$  is faithful,  $T_{(K_i),M_2}^c$  is injective. Let  $f \in C_R^c((K_i), M_2)$ . We show that the  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $\tilde{f}: K_0 \otimes^c K_1 \rightarrow M_2$ ,  $(m_i) \mapsto f(m_0)(m_1)$  is continuous. Let  $L_2 \in \mathcal{O}(M_2)$ . By the continuity of  $f$ , there is an  $L_0 \in \mathcal{O}(K_0)$  such that  $L_0 \subset f^{-1}(\mathcal{L}((K_1, M_2), L_2))$ . It ensures  $(L_0, K_1)_{(K_i)} \subset \tilde{f}^{-1}(L_2)$ . Therefore  $\tilde{f}$  is continuous. We have

$T_{(K_i), M_2}^c(\tilde{f}) = f$ . It implies that  $T_{(K_i), M_2}^c$  is surjective. Thus  $T^c$  is a natural equivalence.  $\square$

By Proposition 3.6, we obtain an adjoint property between  $\otimes^c$  and  $\mathcal{H}om^c$ . It does not ensure that  $\otimes^c$  is cocontinuous, because we used  $\mathcal{I}^c$  in the description of the adjoint property. On the other hand, we have a commutativity between  $\otimes^c$  and colimits in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^c$  in a special case. Let  $(K_s)_{s \in S}$  be a small diagram in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^c$ . We put  $M := \varinjlim_{s \in S} \mathcal{I}^c(K_s)$ . We recall that the colimit of  $(K_s)_{s \in S}$  in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^c$  is given as  $\overline{M}$  by Proposition 2.8 (i). Therefore if  $M$  is pre-compact, then  $S_{(\mathcal{I}^c(K_s))_{s \in S}, \mathcal{I}^c(K)}$  gives a morphism  $S_{(K_s)_{s \in S}, K}^c: \varinjlim_{s \in S} \mathcal{I}^c(K_s \otimes^c K) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^c(M \otimes^c K)$  in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$  for any  $K \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C}_\ell^c)$ .

**Proposition 3.7.** *If  $M$  is pre-compact, then  $S_{(K_s)_{s \in S}, K}$  is an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$  for any  $K \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C}_\ell^c)$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $M' \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C}_\ell)$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(S_{(K_s)_{s \in S}, K}, M')$  is given as the composite of  $T_{M, K, M'}^c$ , the natural map

$$C_R^{\text{cg}}(M, K, M') \rightarrow \varprojlim_{s \in S} C_R^{\text{cg}}(K_s, K, M'), \varprojlim_{s \in S} (T_{K_s, K, M'}^c)^{-1},$$

and the natural map  $\varprojlim_{s \in S} C_L^{\text{cg}}(K_s, K, M') \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\varinjlim_{s \in S} \mathcal{I}^c(K_s \otimes^c K), M')$ , which are bijective by Proposition 3.6 and the universality of colimits. Therefore  $S_{(K_s)_{s \in S}, K}$  is an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ .  $\square$

Finally, we study  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}}$ . We put  $M_0 \otimes^{\text{cg}} M_1 := \varinjlim_{(K_i) \in \prod \mathcal{K}(M_i)} K_0 \otimes^\ell K_1$  and  $M_0 \times^{\text{cg}} M_1 := \varinjlim_{(K_i) \in \prod \mathcal{K}(M_i)} \prod \mathcal{U}^c(K_i)$ . By Proposition 2.9 (i) and Corollary 2.10,  $M_0 \otimes^{\text{cg}} M_1$  forms a CG linear topological  $O_k$ -module. By Corollary 2.7 and the naturality of  $\nabla^c$ , the system  $(\nabla_{(K_i)}^c)_{(K_i) \in \prod \mathcal{K}(M_i)}$  induces a continuous  $O_k$ -bilinear homomorphism

$$\nabla_{(M_i)}^{\text{cg} \otimes}: M_0 \times^{\text{cg}} M_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{\text{cg}}(M_0 \otimes^{\text{cg}} M_1).$$

Suppose  $(M_i) \in \text{ob}((\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}})^2)$  in the following in this subsection. By the universality of the colimit, the system of the inclusions  $\prod \mathcal{U}^c(K_i) \hookrightarrow \prod \mathcal{U}^{\text{cg}}(M_i)$  indexed by  $(K_i) \in \prod \mathcal{K}(M_i)$  induces a bijective continuous map  $\nabla_{(M_i)}^{\text{cg} \times}: M_0 \times^{\text{cg}} M_1 \rightarrow \prod \mathcal{U}^{\text{cg}}(M_i)$ . By Proposition 2.3, the correspondences  $(M_i) \rightsquigarrow M_0 \otimes^{\text{cg}} M_1, M_0 \times^{\text{cg}} M_1$  give functors  $\otimes^{\text{cg}}: (\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}})^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}}$

and  $\bullet_0 \times^{\text{cg}} \bullet_1: (\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}})^2 \rightarrow \text{Top}$ , respectively, and the correspondences  $(M_i) \rightsquigarrow \nabla_{(M_i)}^{\text{cg} \otimes}, \nabla_{(M_i)}^{\text{cg} \times}$  give natural transformations  $\nabla^{\text{cg} \otimes}: \bullet_0 \times^{\text{cg}} \bullet_1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{\text{cg}}(\bullet_0 \otimes^{\text{cg}} \bullet_1)$  and  $\nabla^{\text{cg} \times}: \bullet_0 \times^{\text{cg}} \bullet_1 \Rightarrow \prod \mathcal{U}^{\text{cg}}(\bullet_i)$ , respectively.

**Theorem 3.8.** *The triad  $(\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}}, \otimes^{\text{cg}}, O_k)$  forms a closed symmetric monoidal category.*

We construct an exponential functor on  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}}$ . We put  $\mathcal{L}((M_i), K, L) := \{f \in \mathcal{L}((M_i)) \mid f(K) \subset L\}$  for a  $(K, L) \in \mathcal{H}(M_0) \times \mathcal{O}(M_1)$ , and denote by  $\mathcal{H}\text{om}^{\text{cg}}((M_i))$  the  $O_k$ -module  $\mathcal{L}((M_i))$  equipped with the topology generated by the set  $\{f + \mathcal{L}((M_i), K, L) \mid (f, K, L) \in M_0 \times \mathcal{H}(M_0) \times \mathcal{O}(M_1)\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}\text{om}^{\text{cg}}((M_i))$  forms a linear topological  $O_k$ -module. We put  $M_1^{M_0} := \mathcal{H}\text{om}^{\text{cg}}((M_i))_{\mathcal{H}}$ . By Proposition 2.3 and Corollary 2.10 (i), the correspondence  $((M_i)) \rightsquigarrow M_1^{M_0}$  gives a functor  $(\bullet_1)^{\bullet_0}: (\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}})^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}}$ . We denote by  $C_L^{\text{cg}}, C_R^{\text{cg}}: ((\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}})^{\text{op}})^2 \times \mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  the functors given as  $C_L^{\text{cg}} := \mathcal{L}(\bullet_0 \otimes^{\text{cg}} \bullet_1, \bullet_2)$  and  $C_R^{\text{cg}} := \mathcal{L}(\bullet_0, \bullet_2^{\bullet_1})$ . We construct an adjunction  $\text{T}^{\text{cg}}: C_L^{\text{cg}} \Rightarrow C_R^{\text{cg}}$ . Let  $m_0 \in M_0$ .

**Lemma 3.9.** *The map  $(m_0, \bullet): \mathcal{U}^{\text{cg}}(M_1) \hookrightarrow M_0 \times^{\text{cg}} M_1, m_1 \mapsto (m_i)$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $U \subset M_0 \times^{\text{cg}} M_1$  be an open subset. By Corollary 2.5, we have  $O_k m_0 \in \mathcal{H}(M_0)$ . For any  $K \in \mathcal{H}(M_1)$ , the map  $(m_0, \bullet)_K: K \hookrightarrow O_k m_0 \times K, m_1 \mapsto (m_0, M_1)$  is continuous, and hence  $(m, \bullet)^{-1}(U) \cap K = (m, \bullet)_K^{-1}(U \cap (O_k m_0 \times K))$  is open in  $K$ . It implies  $(m, \bullet)^{-1}(U)$  is open in  $M_1$  by Corollary 2.7. Thus  $(m_0, \bullet)$  is continuous.  $\square$

Let  $f$  be an  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $M_0 \otimes^{\text{cg}} M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  for a  $M_2 \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}})$ . By Corollary 2.7 and Proposition 3.4, we have the following characterisation of the continuity of  $f$ :

**Proposition 3.10.** *The map  $f$  is continuous if and only if  $f \circ \nabla_{(M_i)}^{\text{cg} \otimes}$  is continuous.*

Suppose that  $f$  is continuous. By Lemma 3.9,  $f \circ \nabla_{(M_i)}^{\text{cg} \otimes} \circ (m_0, \bullet)$  is continuous. We obtain an  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism

$$f_R: M_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}\text{om}^{\text{cg}}((M_{i+1})), m_0 \mapsto f \circ \nabla_{(M_i)}^{\text{cg} \otimes} \circ (m_0 \otimes \bullet).$$

**Lemma 3.11.** *The  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $f_R$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $(K_1, L_2) \in \mathcal{X}(M_1) \times \mathcal{O}(M_2)$ . Put  $L := f_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(\mathcal{L}((M_i), K_1, L_2))$ . We show  $L \in \mathcal{O}(M_0)$ . Let  $K_0 \in \mathcal{X}(M_0)$ . We denote by  $f_{(K_i)}: K_0 \otimes^c K_1 \rightarrow M_2$  the composite of  $f$  and the canonical morphism  $K_0 \otimes^c K_1 \rightarrow M_0 \otimes^{\text{cg}} M_1$ . By the continuity of  $f$ ,  $f_{(K_i)}$  is continuous. By Proposition 3.5, we have  $L \cap K_0 \in \mathcal{O}(K_0)$ . By Corollary 2.7, we obtain  $L \in \mathcal{O}(M_0)$ . Thus  $f_{\mathbb{R}}$  is continuous.  $\square$

The  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $T_{M_0, M_1, M_2}^{\text{cg}}(f): M_0 \rightarrow M_2^{M_1}$  given as the composite  $(\iota_{\mathcal{H}^{\text{om}^{\text{cg}}((M_{i+1}))}})^{-1} \circ f_{\mathbb{R}}$  is continuous by Corollary 2.10 (i) and Lemma 3.11. We obtain a map

$$T_{M_0, M_1, M_2}^{\text{cg}}: C_L^{\text{cg}}(M_0, M_1, M_2) \rightarrow C_R^{\text{cg}}(M_0, M_1, M_2), f \mapsto T_{M_0, M_1, M_2}^{\text{cg}}(f).$$

The correspondence  $(M_i)_{i=0}^2 \rightsquigarrow T_{M_0, M_1, M_2}^{\text{cg}}$  gives a natural transformation  $T^{\text{cg}}: C_L^{\text{cg}} \Rightarrow C_R^{\text{cg}}$ .

*Proof of Theorem 3.8.* We denote by  $(A, L, R, B)$  the data of the associator, the left unitor, the right unitor, and the braiding of  $(\mathcal{C}_\ell, \otimes^\ell, O_k)$ . Let  $M \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}})$ . The system  $(L_K|_{(\emptyset, K)_{(O_k, K)}})_{(\emptyset, K) \in \mathcal{X}(O_k) \times \mathcal{X}(M)}$  induces a morphism  $\tilde{L}_M: O_k \otimes^{\text{cg}} M \rightarrow M$  in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}}$  by the functoriality of  $L$  and the universality of the colimit. We show that  $\tilde{L}_M$  is an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}}$ . Let  $L \in \mathcal{O}(O_k \otimes^{\text{cg}} M)$ . Since the preimage of  $L$  in  $O_k \otimes^c K$  is open and  $L_K$  is a homeomorphism, we have  $\tilde{L}_M(L) \cap K \in \mathcal{O}(K)$  for any  $K \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ . It ensures  $\tilde{L}_M(L) \in \mathcal{O}(M)$  by Corollary 2.7. Therefore  $\tilde{L}_M$  is an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}}$ . The correspondence  $M \rightsquigarrow \tilde{L}_M$  gives a natural equivalence  $\tilde{L}: O_k \otimes^{\text{cg}} \bullet \Rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}}}$ . Similarly, we also have a natural equivalence  $\tilde{R}: \bullet \otimes^{\text{cg}} O_k \Rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}}}$ . Let  $(M_i) \in \text{ob}((\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}})^2)$ . The system  $(B_{(K_i)})_{(K_i) \in \prod \mathcal{X}(M_i)}$  induces a morphism  $\tilde{B}_{(M_i)}: M_0 \otimes^{\text{cg}} M_1 \rightarrow M_1 \otimes^{\text{cg}} M_0$  in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}}$  by the functoriality of  $B$  and the filtered colimit. The correspondence  $(M_i) \rightsquigarrow \tilde{B}_{(M_i)}$  gives a natural transformation  $\tilde{B}: \bullet_0 \otimes^{\text{cg}} \bullet_1 \Rightarrow \bullet_1 \otimes^{\text{cg}} \bullet_0$ . By  $B^2 = \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}_\ell \times \mathcal{C}_\ell}$ , we obtain  $\tilde{B}^2 = \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}} \times \mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}}}$ .

Let  $(M_i)_{i=0}^2 \in \text{ob}((\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}})^3)$ . We define a morphism  $\tilde{A}_{M_0, M_1, M_2}: (M_0 \otimes^{\text{cg}} M_1) \otimes^{\text{cg}} M_2 \rightarrow M_0 \otimes^{\text{cg}} (M_1 \otimes^{\text{cg}} M_2)$  in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}}$ . Let  $(K_{0,1,0}, K_2) \in \mathcal{X}(M_0 \otimes^{\text{cg}} M_1) \times \mathcal{X}(M_2)$ . We denote by  $K_{0,1} \subset M_0 \otimes^{\text{cg}} M_1$  the closure of  $K_{0,1,0}$ , which is pre-compact by Proposition 2.1 (i). Let  $(K_i) \in \prod \mathcal{X}(M_i)$ . We denote by

$(K_i)_{K_{0,1}} \subset K_0 \otimes^c K_1$  the preimage of  $K_{0,1}$ , and by

$$A_{K_{0,1,0}, K_0, K_1, K_2} : ((K_i)_{K_{0,1}}, K_2)_{K_0 \otimes^c K_1, K_2} \rightarrow M_0 \otimes^{\text{cg}} (M_1 \otimes^{\text{cg}} M_2)$$

the composite of the inclusion  $((K_i)_{K_{0,1}}, K_2)_{K_0 \otimes^c K_1, K_2} \hookrightarrow (K_0 \otimes^c K_1) \otimes^c K_2$ ,  $A_{K_0, K_1, K_2}$ , and the natural morphism  $K_0 \otimes^c (K_1 \otimes^c K_2) \rightarrow M_0 \otimes^{\text{cg}} (M_1 \otimes^{\text{cg}} M_2)$  in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ . By Proposition 2.6 and [6] Lemma 2.23, the system of inclusions  $(K_i)_{K_{0,1}} \hookrightarrow K_{0,1}$  indexed by  $(K_i) \in \prod \mathcal{X}(M_i)$  induces an isomorphism  $\varinjlim_{(K_i) \in \prod \mathcal{X}(M_i)} (K_i)_{K_{0,1}} \rightarrow K_{0,1}$  in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^c$ . Therefore the system  $(A_{K_{0,1,0}, K_0, K_1, K_2})_{(K_i) \in \prod \mathcal{X}(M_i)}$  induces a morphism  $A_{K_{0,1,0}, K_2} : K_{0,1} \otimes^c K_2 \rightarrow M_0 \otimes^{\text{cg}} (M_1 \otimes^{\text{cg}} M_2)$  in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$  by Proposition 3.7. We denote by  $\tilde{A}_{K_{0,1,0}, K_2} : K_{0,1,0} \otimes^c K_2 \rightarrow M_0 \otimes^{\text{cg}} (M_1 \otimes^{\text{cg}} M_2)$  the composite of the natural morphism  $K_{0,1,0} \otimes^c K_2 \rightarrow K_{0,1} \otimes^c K_2$  in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$  and  $A_{K_{0,1,0}, K_2}$ . By the universality of the colimit, the system  $(\tilde{A}_{K_{0,1,0}, K_2})_{(K_{0,1,0}, K_2) \in \mathcal{X}(M_0 \otimes^{\text{cg}} M_1) \times \mathcal{X}(M_2)}$  induces a morphism  $\tilde{A}_{M_0, M_1, M_2} : (M_0 \otimes^{\text{cg}} M_1) \otimes^{\text{cg}} M_2 \rightarrow M_0 \otimes^{\text{cg}} (M_1 \otimes^{\text{cg}} M_2)$  in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}}$ . The correspondence  $(M_i)_{i=0}^2 \rightsquigarrow \tilde{A}_{M_0, M_1, M_2}$  induces a natural transformation  $\tilde{A} : (\bullet_0 \otimes^{\text{cg}} \bullet_1) \otimes^{\text{cg}} \bullet_2 \Rightarrow \bullet_0 \otimes^{\text{cg}} (\bullet_1 \otimes^{\text{cg}} \bullet_2)$ . Similarly, we obtain a natural formation of the opposite direction, which is the inverse of  $\tilde{A}$ .

By the construction, the data  $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{L}, \tilde{R}, \tilde{B}, \text{T}^{\text{cg}})$  is sent to the data of the associator, the left unitor, the right unitor, the braiding, and the Currying of  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{O_k}, O_k)$  through  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{cg}}$  and  $\iota^{\text{cg}}$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{cg}}$  is faithful, it ensures the coherence so that  $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{L}, \tilde{R}, \tilde{B})$  forms data of an associator, a left unitor, a right unitor, a braiding, and an injective Currying of  $(\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}}, \otimes^{\text{cg}}, O_k)$ . We have only to verify that  $\text{T}_{M_0, M_1, M_2}^{\text{cg}}$  is surjective. Let  $f \in \text{C}_R^{\text{cg}}(M_0, M_1, M_2)$ . Put

$$f' := \iota_{\mathcal{H}\text{om}^{\text{cg}}((M_{i+1}))}^{\text{cg}} \circ f : M_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}\text{om}^{\text{cg}}((M_{i+1})).$$

Let  $(K_i) \in \prod \mathcal{X}(M_i)$ . We show that the  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $f'_{(K_i)} : K_0 \otimes^c K_1 \rightarrow M_2$ ,  $(m_i) \mapsto f'(m_0)(m_1)$  is continuous. Let  $L_2 \in \mathcal{O}(M_2)$ . Put  $L_0 := (f')^{-1}(\mathcal{L}((K_1, M_2), L_2) \cap K_0)$ . By the continuity of  $f'$ , we have  $L_0 \in \mathcal{O}(K_0)$ . It implies  $(f'_{(K_i)})^{-1}(L_2) \in \mathcal{O}(K_0 \otimes^c K_1)$  by  $(L_0, K_1)_{(K_i)} \subset (f'_{(K_i)})^{-1}(L_2)$ . Therefore  $f'_{(K_i)}$  is continuous. By the universality of the colimit, the system  $(f'_{(K_i)})_{(K_i) \in \prod \mathcal{X}(M_i)}$  gives a morphism  $f_L : M_0 \otimes^{\text{cg}} M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ . By the construction, we have  $\text{T}_{M_0, M_1, M_2}^{\text{cg}}(f_L) = f$ . Thus  $\text{T}_{M_0, M_1, M_2}^{\text{cg}}$  is surjective.  $\square$

As a consequence of Theorem 3.8, we obtain the following:

**Corollary 3.12.** *The functor  $\otimes^{\text{cg}}$  is cocontinuous.*

**3.2 CGLT algebras** A CGLT  $O_k$ -algebra is a monoid in  $(\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}}, \otimes^{\text{cg}}, O_k)$ . We will verify that  $O_k[[G]]$  forms a CGLT  $O_k$ -algebra. Before that, we give examples of CGLT  $O_k$ -algebras. For this purpose, we compare  $\otimes^\ell$ , the tensor product  $\hat{\otimes}_k$  of Banach  $k$ -vector spaces (cf. [1] p. 12), and the tensor product of compact Hausdorff flat linear topological  $O_k$ -modules given as the inverse limit of the algebraic tensor product of finite quotients. For this purpose, we recall an elementary property of  $\hat{\otimes}_k$ .

**Proposition 3.13.** *For any  $(X, V) \in \text{ob}(\text{Top} \times \text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k))$ , the multiplication  $C(X, k) \times V \rightarrow C(X, V)$  extends to a unique isomorphism  $C(X, k) \hat{\otimes}_k V \rightarrow C(X, V)$  in  $\text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k)$ .*

*Proof.* The assertion immediately follows from the orthonormalisability of an unramified Banach  $k$ -vector space (cf. [8] IV 3 Corollaire 1, [2] 2.5.2 Lemma 2, and the proof of [11] Proposition 10.1).  $\square$

The underlying linear topological  $O_k$ -module of any Banach  $k$ -vector space is CG by Proposition 2.11 (iii). We denote by  $\mathcal{I}_k: \text{Ban}(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}}$  the forgetful functor. Let  $(V_i) \in \text{ob}(\text{Ban}(k)^2)$ . By the definition of  $\otimes^\ell$ ,  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{cg}}(\mathcal{I}_k(V_0)) \otimes^\ell \mathcal{I}^{\text{cg}}(\mathcal{I}_k(V_1))$  is first countable. The natural embedding  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{cg}}(\mathcal{I}_k(V_0)) \otimes^\ell \mathcal{I}^{\text{cg}}(\mathcal{I}_k(V_1)) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{I}^{\text{cg}}(\mathcal{I}_k(V_0 \hat{\otimes}_k V_1))$  is a homeomorphism onto the dense image by the definition of  $\otimes^\ell$  and  $\hat{\otimes}_k$ , and hence induces a homeomorphism  $T_{(V_i)}^{\hat{\otimes}_k, \otimes^{\text{cg}}}: \mathcal{I}_k(V_0) \otimes^{\text{cg}} \mathcal{I}_k(V_1) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{I}_k(V_0 \hat{\otimes}_k V_1)$  onto the dense image by Proposition 2.11 (iii). The correspondence  $(V_i) \rightsquigarrow T_{(V_i)}^{\hat{\otimes}_k, \otimes^{\text{cg}}}$  gives a natural transformation  $T^{\hat{\otimes}_k, \otimes^{\text{cg}}}: \mathcal{I}_k(\bullet_0) \otimes^{\text{cg}} \mathcal{I}_k(\bullet_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_k(\bullet_0 \hat{\otimes}_k \bullet_1)$ . As a consequence, we obtain the following:

**Proposition 3.14.** *Every Banach  $k$ -algebra, that is, monoid in  $(\text{Ban}(k), \hat{\otimes}_k, k)$ , forms a CGLT  $O_k$ -algebra through  $\mathcal{I}_k$  and  $T^{\hat{\otimes}_k, \otimes^{\text{cg}}}$ .*

By [7] Corollary 2.8 (i), if  $G$  is a profinite group, then  $C(G, k)$  admits a unique Hopf monoid structure in  $(\text{Ban}(O_k), \hat{\otimes}_k, k)$  extending the pointwise  $k$ -algebra structure. Therefore by Proposition 3.14, we obtain the following:

**Corollary 3.15.** *If  $G$  is a profinite group, then  $C(G, k)$  admits a unique structure of a commutative CGLT  $O_k$ -algebra such that the multiplication is a continuous  $O_k$ -linear extension of the pointwise multiplication.*

Every compact topological  $O_k$ -module is CG by Proposition 2.1 (ii) and Proposition 2.11 (ii). We denote by  $\mathcal{I}_{O_k} : \mathcal{C}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{ch}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\ell}^{\text{cg}}$  the inclusion. The natural  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $\mathcal{I}^c(K_0) \otimes^c \mathcal{I}^c(K_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{O_k}(K_0 \hat{\otimes}_{O_k} K_1)$  is a homeomorphism onto the dense image by the definition of  $\otimes^c$  and  $\hat{\otimes}_{O_k}$ , and it induces a homeomorphism  $T_{(K_i)}^{\hat{\otimes}_{O_k}, \otimes^c} : \mathcal{I}_{O_k}(K_0) \otimes^{\text{cg}} \mathcal{I}_{O_k}(K_1) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{O_k}(K_0 \hat{\otimes}_{O_k} K_1)$  onto the dense image. The correspondence  $(K_i) \rightsquigarrow T_{(K_i)}^{\hat{\otimes}_{O_k}, \otimes^c}$  gives a natural transformation

$$T^{\hat{\otimes}_{O_k}, \otimes^{\text{cg}}} : \mathcal{I}_{O_k}(\bullet_0) \otimes^{\text{cg}} \mathcal{I}_{O_k}(\bullet_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{O_k}(\bullet_0 \hat{\otimes}_{O_k} \bullet_1).$$

As a consequence, we obtain the following:

**Proposition 3.16.** *Every monoid in  $(\mathcal{C}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{ch}}, \hat{\otimes}_{O_k}, O_k)$  forms a CGLT  $O_k$ -algebra through  $\mathcal{I}_{O_k}$  and  $T^{\hat{\otimes}_{O_k}, \otimes^{\text{cg}}}$ .*

By Proposition 2.21 and [7] Proposition 2.7, if  $G$  is a profinite group, then  $O_k[[G]]$  admits a unique Hopf monoid structure in  $(\mathcal{C}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{ch}}, \hat{\otimes}_{O_k}, O_k)$  extending the Hopf  $O_k$ -algebra structure of  $O_k[G]$ . Therefore by Proposition 3.16, we obtain the following:

**Corollary 3.17.** *If  $G$  is a profinite group, then  $O_k[[G]]$  admits a unique structure of a CGLT  $O_k$ -algebra extending the Hopf  $O_k$ -algebra structure of  $O_k[G]$ .*

We note that Corollary 3.17 will be extended to the case where  $G$  is not necessarily a profinite group, as we mentioned in the beginning of this subsection. Another simple example of a CGLT  $O_k$ -algebra is given by a topological  $O_k$ -algebra.

**Proposition 3.18.** *Let  $A$  be a topological  $O_k$ -algebra. If the underlying topological  $O_k$ -module  $M$  of  $A$  is linear and CG, then  $M$  admits a unique structure of a CGLT  $O_k$ -algebra whose multiplication is an  $O_k$ -linear extension of the multiplication of  $A$  through  $\nabla_{M,M}^{\text{cg}\otimes} \circ (\nabla_{M,M}^{\text{cg}\times})^{-1}$ .*

*Proof.* We denote by  $f_{(K_i)} : K_0 \otimes^c K_1 \rightarrow M$  the  $O_k$ -linear extension of the multiplication of  $A$  restricted to  $\prod \mathcal{U}^c(K_i) \subset \mathcal{U}^{\text{cg}}(M)^2$ , which is continuous for any  $(K_i) \in \mathcal{K}(M)^2$  by Proposition 3.4. The system  $(f_{K_0, k_1})_{(K_0, k_1) \in \mathcal{K}(M)^2}$  induces a continuous  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $f : M \otimes^{\text{cg}} M \rightarrow M$  by the



universality of the colimit. We denote by  $\epsilon$  the map  $O_k \rightarrow M$ ,  $c \mapsto c1$ . Since the identity map  $A \rightarrow (M, f, \epsilon)$  preserves the multiplication and the unit,  $(M, f, \epsilon)$  satisfies the axiom of a monoid in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}}$ .  $\square$

The rest of this subsection is devoted to the following extension of Corollary 3.17:

**Theorem 3.19.** *The CG linear topological  $O_k$ -module  $O_k[[G]]$  admits a unique structure of a CGLT  $O_k$ -algebra such that  $O_k^{\oplus d_G}$  is an  $O_k$ -algebra homomorphism.*

In order to verify Theorem 3.19, we define a convolution product on  $\mathbb{M}(G)$ . Let  $(\mu_i) \in \mathbb{M}(G)^2$ . We define elements  $\prod \mu_i \in \mathbb{M}(G^2)$  and  $\mu_0 * \mu_1 \in \mathbb{M}(G)$ . Let  $U' \in \text{CO}(G^2)$ . To begin with, suppose that  $U'$  is compact. Take an  $S \in \mathcal{P}_{<\omega}(\text{CO}(G^2))$  satisfying  $U' = \bigsqcup_{(U_i) \in S} \prod U_i$ . We put  $(\prod \mu_i)(U') := \sum_{(U_i) \in S} \prod \mu_i(U_i)$ . By the finite additivity of  $\mu_0$  and  $\mu_1$ ,  $(\prod \mu_i)(U')$  depends only on  $U'$ . In particular, the equality  $(\prod \mu_i)(\prod U_i) = \prod \mu_i(U_i)$  holds for any compact clopen subsets  $U_0$  and  $U_1$  of  $G$ .

Next, we consider the case where  $U'$  is not necessarily compact. Take a compact clopen subgroup  $K \subset G$ . Then  $(G/K)^2 = \{(g_i K) \mid (g_i) \in G^2\}$  gives an element of  $\mathbb{P}(G^2)$  consisting of compact clopen subsets. Put  $(\prod \mu_i)(U') := \sum_{(C_i) \in (G/K)^2} (\prod \mu_i)(U' \cap \prod C_i)$ . By the normality of  $\mu_0$  and  $\mu_1$ , the infinite sum in the right hand side actually converges, and  $(\prod \mu_i)(U')$  is independent of the choice of  $K$ . We obtain a normal  $O_k$ -valued measure  $\prod \mu_i$  on  $G^2$ .

For a  $U \in \text{CO}(G)$ , we denote by  $\tilde{U} \subset G^2$  the preimage of  $U$  by the multiplication  $G^2 \rightarrow G$ . Set  $(\mu_0 * \mu_1)(U) := (\prod \mu_i)(\tilde{U})$ . Since  $\prod \mu_i$  is a normal  $O_k$ -valued measure on  $G^2$ , so is  $\mu_0 * \mu_1$  on  $G$ . We have constructed an element  $\mu_0 * \mu_1 \in \mathbb{M}(G)$ . By the construction, the convolution product  $*_G: \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{M}(G))^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{M}(G))$ :  $(\mu_i) \mapsto \mu_0 * \mu_1$  is compatible with  $O_k^{\oplus d_G}$  and the multiplication  $O_k[G]^2 \rightarrow O_k[G]$ . We note that  $*_G$  is not necessarily continuous.

**Lemma 3.20.** *For any  $(K_i) \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{M}(G))^2$ ,  $\{\mu_0 * \mu_1 \mid (\mu_i) \in \prod K_i\} \subset \mathbb{M}(G)$  is pre-compact.*

*Proof.* Put  $K := \{\mu_0 * \mu_1 \mid (\mu_i) \in \prod K_i\}$ . For each  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ , there is an increasing sequence  $(G_{i,r})_{r \in \omega}$  of compact clopen subsets such that

$K_i \subset \mathbb{M}(G, (G_{i,r})_{r \in \omega}, (2^{-r})_{r \in \omega})$  by Lemma 2.19. For an  $r \in \omega$ , put  $G_r := \bigcup_{h=0}^r \{g_0 g_1 \mid (g_i) \in G_{r-h,0} \times G_{h,1}\}$ . Then  $(G_r)_{r \in \omega}$  forms an increasing sequence of compact clopen subsets of  $G$  satisfying  $K \subset \mathbb{M}(G, (G_r)_{r \in \omega}, (2^{-r})_{r \in \omega})$  by the definition of  $*_G$ . Therefore  $K$  is pre-compact by Lemma 2.19.  $\square$

By the bijectivity of  $\iota_{\mathbb{M}(G)}^{\text{cg}}$  and  $\nabla_{\mathbb{M}(G), \mathbb{M}(G)}^{\text{cg} \times}$ ,  $*_G$  induces an  $O_k$ -bilinear homomorphism  $*_G^{\text{cg}}: O_k[[G]] \times^{\text{cg}} O_k[[G]] \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{\text{cg}}(O_k[[G]])$ ,  $(\mu_i) \mapsto \mu_0 * \mu_1$  compatible with  $O_k^{\oplus d_G}$  and the multiplication  $O_k[G]^2 \rightarrow O_k[G]$ .

**Lemma 3.21.** *The convolution product  $*_G^{\text{cg}}$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $U \subset O_k[[G]]$  be an open neighbourhood of  $\mu_0 * \mu_1$  for a  $(\mu_i) \in \mathbb{M}(G)^2$ . It suffices to show that for any  $(K_i) \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{M}(G))^2$  satisfying  $(\mu_i) \in \prod K_i$ , the preimage of  $(*_G^{\text{cg}})^{-1}(U)$  in  $\prod K_i$  is open. Put  $K := \{\mu'_0 * \mu'_1 \mid (\mu'_i) \in \prod K_i\}$ . By Lemma 3.20,  $K$  lies in  $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{M}(G))$ . By Corollary 2.7,  $\iota_{\mathbb{M}(G)}^{\text{cg}}(U) \cap K$  is an open subset of  $K$ , and there is a  $(P, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{P}(G) \times (0, 1]$  such that  $(\mu_0 * \mu_1 + \mathbb{M}(G; P, \epsilon)) \cap K \subset \iota_{\mathbb{M}(G)}^{\text{cg}}(U) \cap K$ . Let  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ . By Lemma 2.18, there is a compact clopen subset  $G_i \subset G$  such that  $|\mu(U)| < \epsilon$  for any  $(\mu, U) \in K_i \times \text{CO}(G \setminus G_i)$ . We obtain  $\mu'_0 * \mu'_1 \in \iota_{\mathbb{M}(G)}^{\text{cg}}(U) \cap K$  for any  $(\mu'_i) \in \prod((\mu_i + \mathbb{M}(G; \{G_i, G \setminus G_i\}, \epsilon)) \cap K_i)$  by the definition of  $*_G$ . It implies that the preimage of  $(*_G^{\text{cg}})^{-1}(U)$  in  $\prod K_i$  is open.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.19.* The uniqueness follows from Proposition 2.14 and Proposition 2.17 (iii). By Corollary 2.7 and the cocontinuity of the forgetful functor  $\text{Top} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ ,  $*_G$  induces an  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $\otimes_G^{\text{cg}}: O_k[[G]] \otimes^{\text{cg}} O_k[[G]] \rightarrow O_k[[G]]$ . The composite  $\otimes^{\text{cg}} \circ \nabla_{\mathbb{M}(G), \mathbb{M}(G)}^{\text{cg} \otimes}$  coincides with  $*_G^{\text{cg}}$  by the construction, and hence is continuous by Lemma 3.21. The embedding  $O_k^{\oplus d_G}$  sends the multiplication of  $O_k[G]$  to  $\otimes_G^{\text{cg}}$  and the identity to  $d_{G,1}$  by the construction. Since  $O_k[G]$  satisfies the axiom of a monoid in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $O_k[[G]]$  forms a CGLT  $O_k$ -algebra with respect to the convolution product  $\otimes_G^{\text{cg}}$  and the unit  $O_k \rightarrow O_k[[G]]$ ,  $c \mapsto cd_{G,1}$  by Proposition 2.14, Proposition 2.17 (iii), and the continuity of  $\otimes_G^{\text{cg}}$ .  $\square$

We have examples of the computation of the generalised Iwasawa algebra  $O_k[[G]]$ .

**Example 3.22.** (i) If  $G$  is discrete, then  $O_k[[G]]$  is identified with  $C_0(G, O_k)$  equipped with the unique continuous extension of the  $O_k$ -algebra structure of the group algebra  $O_k[G]$  through the correspondence in Proposition 3.14.

(ii) If  $G$  is a profinite group, then the algebra structure of  $O_k[[G]]$  coincides with the one induced by the homeomorphic  $O_k$ -linear isomorphism in Proposition 2.21, and  $O_k[[G]]$  is identified with the classical Iwasawa algebra associated to  $G$  through the correspondence in Proposition 3.16.

(iii) If  $G$  admits a closed subgroup  $H \subset G$  and a compact subset  $C \subset G$  such that the multiplication  $H \times C \rightarrow G$  is bijective, then the multiplication is actually a homeomorphism by [6] Lemma 2.13, and hence  $O_k[[G]]$  admits a natural homeomorphic  $O_k$ -linear isomorphism to  $O_k[[H \times C]]$ .

(iv) For any open subgroup  $H \subset G$  and a discrete subset  $D \subset G$  such that the multiplication  $H \times D \rightarrow G$  is bijective, the multiplication is a homeomorphism by [3] p. 433, and hence  $O_k[[G]]$  admits a natural homeomorphic  $O_k$ -linear isomorphism to  $O_k[[H \times D]]$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{cg}}(O_k[[G]])$  admits a natural  $O_k$ -linear isomorphism to the ideal-adic completion of  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{cg}}(O_k[[H]])^{\oplus D}$  by Lemma 2.19.

(v) If  $G$  admits an increasing sequence  $(G_r)_{r \in \omega}$  of open subgroups satisfying  $\bigcup_{r \in \omega} G_r = G$ , then  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{cg}}(O_k[[G]])$  admits a natural  $O_k$ -algebra isomorphism to the ideal-adic completion of  $\varinjlim_{r \in \omega} \mathcal{F}^{\text{cg}}(O_k[[G_r]])$  by Lemma 2.19.

As an application of Example 3.22 (ii) and (vi), we immediately obtain the following:

**Proposition 3.23.** *Let  $p$  denote the residual characteristic of  $k$ , and  $\varpi \in O_k$  a uniformiser. Then  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{cg}}(O_k[[\mathbb{Q}_p]])$  admits a natural  $O_k$ -algebra isomorphism to the  $\varpi$ -adic completion of the filtered colimit of  $\mathcal{F}^c(O_k[[T]])$  with respect to the continuous  $O_k$ -algebra homomorphism  $O_k[[T]] \rightarrow O_k[[T]]$ ,  $T \mapsto (T+1)^p - 1$ .*

**3.3 CGLT modules** Let  $A$  be a CGLT  $O_k$ -algebra. A *CGLT  $A$ -module* is a left  $A$ -module in  $(\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}}, \otimes^{\text{cg}}, O_k)$ . We give three examples of CGLT modules as immediate consequences of Proposition 3.14, Proposition 3.16, and Proposition 3.18, respectively:

**Proposition 3.24.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach  $k$ -algebra. Then every Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module, that is, left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module in  $(\text{Ban}(k), \hat{\otimes}_k, k)$ , forms a CGLT  $\mathcal{I}_k(\mathcal{A})$ -module through  $\mathcal{I}_k$  and  $T^{\hat{\otimes}_k, \otimes^{\text{cg}}}$ .*

**Proposition 3.25.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a monoid in  $(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}^{\text{ch}}, \hat{\otimes}_{O_k}, O_k)$ . Then every left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module in  $(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}^{\text{ch}}, \hat{\otimes}_{O_k}, O_k)$  forms a CGLT  $\mathcal{I}_{O_k}(\mathcal{A})$ -module through  $\mathcal{I}_{O_k}$  and  $T^{\hat{\otimes}_{O_k}, \otimes^{\text{cg}}}$ .*

**Proposition 3.26.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a topological  $O_k$ -algebra whose underlying topological  $O_k$ -module is linear and CG. Then every topological left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module whose underlying topological  $O_k$ -module is linear and CG forms a CGLT  $\mathcal{A}$ -module through  $\nabla^{\text{cg}\otimes} \circ (\nabla^{\text{cg}\times})^{-1}$ .*

A BT  $A$ -module is a CGLT  $A$ -module  $V$  whose underlying  $O_k$ -module structure extends to a  $k$ -vector space structure equipped with a complete non-Archimedean norm on the underlying  $k$ -vector space of  $V$  giving its original topology. Let  $V$  be a BT  $A$ -module. Then  $V$  forms a topological  $k$ -vector space because it forms a Banach  $k$ -vector space. We say that  $V$  is *bounded* if there is an  $R \in (0, \infty)$  such that  $\|fv\| \leq R\|v\|$  for any  $(f, v) \in A \times V$ , is *submetric* if  $\|fv\| \leq \|v\|$  for any  $(f, v) \in A \times V$ , and is *unitary* if it is submetric and the underlying Banach  $k$ -vector space  $V$  is unramified. We denote by  $\text{BT}(A)$  the  $k$ -linear category of bounded BT  $A$ -modules and bounded  $A$ -linear homomorphisms, by  $\text{BT}_{\leq}(A) \subset \text{BT}(A)$  the  $O_k$ -linear subcategory of submetric BT  $A$ -modules and submetric  $A$ -linear homomorphisms, and by  $\text{BT}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(A) \subset \text{BT}_{\leq}(A)$  the full subcategory of unitary BT  $A$ -modules.

Let  $M$  be a CGLT  $A$ -module. We say that  $M$  is a *CHFLT  $A$ -module* if the underlying linear topological  $O_k$ -module of  $M$  is a compact Hausdorff flat linear topological  $O_k$ -module. We give a characterisation of a CHFLT  $A$ -module.

**Proposition 3.27.** *Let  $K \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}^{\text{c}})$ . For any a map  $\rho: A \times K \rightarrow K$ ,  $K$  forms a CGLT  $A$ -module with respect to the  $O_k$ -linear extension of  $\rho$  if and only if  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{cg}}(K)$  forms a left  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{cg}}(A)$ -module and  $\rho$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* We denote by  $\tilde{\rho}: A \otimes^{\text{cg}} K \rightarrow K$  the  $O_k$ -linear extension of  $\rho$ . The direct implication follows from Proposition 3.10 and the continuity of  $\nabla_{A,K}^{\text{cg}\otimes}$ . Suppose that  $K$  forms a CGLT  $A$ -module with respect to  $\tilde{\rho}$ . By the naturality of  $\nabla^{\text{cg}\times}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{cg}}(K)$  forms a left  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{cg}}(A)$ -module with respect to  $\rho$ . Let  $U \subset K$  be an open subset. Let  $(f, m) \in A \times K$ . We show that if  $\rho(f, m) \in U$ , then  $\rho^{-1}(U)$  is an open neighbourhood of  $(f, m)$ . Put  $L := \{f' \in A \mid \forall m' \in K, \rho(f + f', m') \in U\}$ . Let  $K_0 \in \mathcal{K}(A)$ . By Proposition 2.1 (ii) and the continuity of the  $\tilde{\rho}$ , there is an  $(L_i) \in \mathcal{O}(K_0) \times \mathcal{O}(K)$

such that  $\rho(f', m') \in U$  for any  $(f', m') \in ((f + L_0) \times K) \cup (K_0 \times (m + L_1))$ . In particular, we have  $L_0 \subset L \cap K_0$  and hence  $L \cap K_0 \in \mathcal{O}(K_0)$ . It implies  $L \in \mathcal{O}(A)$  by Corollary 2.7. By  $L \times K \subset \rho^{-1}(U)$ ,  $\rho^{-1}(U)$  is an open neighbourhood of  $(f, m)$ . Thus  $\rho$  is continuous.  $\square$

A left  $A$ -submodule  $K \subset M$  is said to be a *core* of  $M$  if  $K$  is compact, the inclusion  $K \hookrightarrow M$  induces an isomorphism  $k \otimes_{O_k} \mathcal{F}^c(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\text{cg}}(M)$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and every  $O_k$ -submodule  $L \subset M$  satisfying  $cL \cap K \in \mathcal{O}(K)$  for any  $c \in O_k \setminus \{0\}$  is open. We say that  $M$  is a *CGHLT  $A$ -module* if  $M$  is Hausdorff and admits a core. If  $M$  is a CGHLT  $A$ -module, then  $M$  forms a topological  $k$ -vector space because  $\mathcal{O}(M)$  is stable under the action of  $k^\times$ . We denote by  $\text{Mod}_{\ell}^{\text{ch}}(A)$  the  $O_k$ -linear category of CHFLT  $A$ -modules and continuous  $A$ -linear homomorphisms, and by  $\text{Mod}_{\ell}^{\text{cgh}}(A)$  the  $k$ -linear category of CGHLT  $A$ -modules and continuous  $A$ -linear homomorphisms.

We give an example of a CGHLT  $A$ -module. Let  $K \in \text{ob}(\text{Mod}_{\ell}^{\text{ch}}(A))$ . We denote by  $K_k$  the left  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{cg}}(A)$ -module  $k \otimes_{O_k} \mathcal{F}^c(K)$  equipped with the strongest topology for which  $K_k$  forms a topological  $k$ -vector space and the natural embedding  $\iota_K^c: K \hookrightarrow K_k$  is continuous. We identify  $\mathcal{F}^c(K)$  with its image in  $k \otimes_{O_k} \mathcal{F}^c(K)$ . The following is an analogue of [13] Lemma 1.4:

**Proposition 3.28.** *The linear topological  $O_k$ -module  $K_k$  forms a CGHLT  $A$ -module, and  $\iota_K^c$  is a homeomorphism onto a core.*

In order to verify Proposition 3.28, we characterise the topology of  $K_k$ .

**Lemma 3.29.** *A subset  $U \subset K_k$  is open if and only if  $(\iota_K^c)^{-1}(cU) \subset K$  is open for any  $c \in O_k \setminus \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* We denote by  $\mathcal{O}$  the set of subsets  $U \subset K_k$  such that  $(\iota_K^c)^{-1}(cU) \subset K$  is open for any  $c \in O_k \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}$  satisfies the open set axiom of the underlying set of  $K_k$ , for which  $\iota_K^c$  is continuous and  $K_k$  forms a topological  $k$ -vector space because  $\mathcal{O}$  is stable under the action of  $k^\times$ . Therefore by the universality of the strongest topology,  $\mathcal{O}$  coincides with the set of open subsets of  $K_k$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 3.28.* Take a uniformiser  $\varpi \in O_k$ . Put  $K_r := K$  for an  $r \in \omega$ , and denote by  $K_\omega$  the colimit in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$  of  $(K_r)_{r \in \omega}$  with respect to the transition maps  $K_r \rightarrow K_{r+1}$ ,  $m \mapsto cm$  indexed by  $r \in \omega$ . Then  $K_\omega$  forms a CG linear topological  $O_k$ -module by Proposition 2.1 (ii), Proposition 2.9 (i),

and Corollary 2.10. It is Hausdorff by the same computation as that in the proof of [6] Proposition 1.27 using Corollary 2.7 and a well-known property of  $T_1$  normal topological spaces. By Corollary 3.12 and the functoriality of the colimit, the scalar multiplication  $A \otimes^{\text{cg}} K \rightarrow K$  induces a continuous  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $A \otimes^{\text{cg}} K_\omega \rightarrow K_\omega$ , for which  $K_\omega$  forms a CGLT  $A$ -module.

By the universality of the colimit and the flatness of  $K$ ,  $\iota_K^c$  induces a continuous bijective  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $k\iota_K^c: K_\omega \rightarrow K_k$ . By Corollary 2.7, the map  $K_\omega \rightarrow K_\omega$ ,  $m \mapsto \varpi m$  is an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ , and hence  $K_\omega$  forms a topological  $k$ -vector space. We show that  $k\iota_K^c$  is an open map. Let  $L \in \mathcal{O}(K_\omega)$ . For any  $c \in O_k \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $(\iota_K^c)^{-1}(c(k\iota_K^c)(L))$  coincides with the preimage of  $cL$  in  $K_0$ , and hence is open by the continuity of the canonical embedding  $K_0 \hookrightarrow K_\omega$ . It ensures  $(k\iota_K^c)(L) \in \mathcal{O}(K_k)$  by Lemma 3.29. Therefore  $k\iota_K^c$  is an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ , and  $K_k$  forms a Hausdorff CGLT  $A$ -module. Since  $K$  is compact and  $K_k$  is Hausdorff,  $\iota_K^c$  is a homeomorphism onto the image, which is a core of  $K_k$ .  $\square$

We obtain a characterisation of a CGHLT  $A$ -module.

**Proposition 3.30.** *If  $M$  is a CGHLT  $A$ -module with a core  $K \subset M$ , then the bijective  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $K_k \rightarrow M$  induced by the inclusion  $K \hookrightarrow M$  is an isomorphism in  $\text{Mod}_\ell^{\text{cgh}}(A)$ .*

*Proof.* We denote by  $\varphi: K_k \rightarrow M$  the map in the assertion, and by  $i: K \hookrightarrow K_k$  the canonical embedding. By the universality of the strongest topology,  $\varphi$  is continuous. Let  $L \in K_k$ . For any  $c \in O_k \setminus \{0\}$ , we have  $c\varphi(L) \cap K = \varphi(cL) \cap K = i^{-1}(cL) \in \mathcal{O}(K)$ . It implies  $\varphi(L) \in \mathcal{O}(M)$ . Therefore  $\varphi$  is an open map.  $\square$

The correspondence  $K \rightsquigarrow K_k$  gives an  $O_k$ -linear functor  $\Phi_A: \text{Mod}_\ell^{\text{ch}}(A) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_\ell^{\text{cgh}}(A)$  by Proposition 3.28. We denote by  $\Phi_{A,k}: k\text{Mod}_\ell^{\text{ch}}(A) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_\ell^{\text{cgh}}(A)$  its  $k$ -linear extension.

**Proposition 3.31.** *The  $k$ -linear functor  $\Phi_{A,k}$  is fully faithful and essentially surjective.*

*Proof.* The faithfulness of  $\Phi_{A,k}$  follows from the faithfulness of  $\Phi_A$  and the flatness of hom objects. The fullness follows from the same computation as that in the proof of [13] Lemma 1.5 ii and iii using Baire category theorem. The essential surjectivity follows from Proposition 3.30.  $\square$

By Proposition 2.1 (ii), Proposition 3.28, and Proposition 3.29,  $O_k$  forms a commutative CGLT  $O_k$ -algebra. By Proposition 3.27,  $\mathcal{I}_{O_k}$  induces an equivalence  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{f}\ell}^{\text{ch}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\mathfrak{f}\ell}^{\text{ch}}(O_k)$  of categories. By Proposition 3.28 and Proposition 3.29, we obtain an  $O_k$ -linear functor  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{f}\ell}^{\text{ch}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\ell}^{\text{cgh}}(O_k)$ , which extends to a fully faithful essentially surjective  $k$ -linear functor  $k\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{f}\ell}^{\text{ch}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\ell}^{\text{cgh}}(O_k)$ .

## 4 Modules over Iwasawa algebras

We study relation between module theory over  $O_k[[G]]$  and representation theory of  $G$ . As a main result, we generalise Schneider–Teitelbaum duality to duality applicable to  $G$ , and give a criterion of the irreducibility of unitary Banach  $k$ -linear representations of  $G$ .

**4.1 Unitary Banach representations** A Banach  $k$ -linear representation of  $G$  is a pair  $(V, \rho)$  of a  $V \in \text{ob}(\text{Ban}(k))$  and a continuous map  $\rho: G \times V \rightarrow V$  giving a  $k$ -linear action of  $G$  on  $V$ . Let  $(V, \rho)$  be a Banach  $k$ -linear representation of  $G$ . We say that  $(V, \rho)$  is *unitarisable* if there is an  $R \in (0, \infty)$  such that  $\|\rho(g, v)\| \leq R\|v\|$  for any  $(g, v) \in G \times V$ , is *isometric* if  $\|\rho(g, v)\| = \|v\|$  for any  $(g, v) \in G \times V$ , and is said to be *unitary* if  $V$  is unramified and  $(V, \rho)$  is isometric. A map between Banach  $k$ -linear representations is said to be a  $k[G]$ -linear homomorphism if it is a  $G$ -equivariant  $k$ -linear homomorphism. We denote by  $\text{Rep}_G(\text{Ban}(k))$  the  $k$ -linear category of unitarisable Banach  $k$ -linear representations of  $G$  and bounded  $k[G]$ -linear homomorphisms, by  $\text{Rep}_G(\text{Ban}_{\leq}(k)) \subset \text{Rep}_G(\text{Ban}(k))$  the  $O_k$ -linear subcategory of isometric Banach  $k$ -linear representations of  $G$  and submetric  $k[G]$ -linear homomorphisms, and by  $\text{Rep}_G(\text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k)) \subset \text{Rep}_G(\text{Ban}_{\leq}(k))$  the full subcategory of unitary Banach  $k$ -linear representations of  $G$ .

We compare the notion of a BT  $O_k[[G]]$ -module and the notion of a Banach  $k$ -linear representation of  $G$ . For this purpose, we consider a partial generalisation of Banach–Steinhaus theorem (cf. [11] Corollary 6.16). Let  $(X_0, (V_i)) \in \text{ob}(\text{Top} \times \text{Ban}(k)^2)$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *A map  $\varphi: X_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}((V_i))$  is continuous if and only if the map  $X_0 \times V_1 \rightarrow V_2: (x, v) \mapsto \varphi(x)(v)$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* We denote by  $\rho: X_0 \times V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  the induced map. The direct implication follows from the continuity of the map  $X_0 \rightarrow X_0 \times V_1$ ,  $x \mapsto (x, v)$  for any  $v \in V_1$ . Suppose that  $\varphi$  is continuous. Let  $U_2 \subset V_2$  be an open subset. Let  $(x, v) \in X_0 \times V_1$ . Suppose  $\rho(x, v) \in U_2$ . Take an  $\epsilon \in (0, \infty)$  satisfying  $\{v' \in V_2 \mid \|v' - \rho(x, v)\| < \epsilon\} \subset U_2$ . Put  $U_1 := \{v' \in V_1 \mid \|v' - v\| < \epsilon\}$ . By the continuity of  $\varphi$ , there is an open neighbourhood  $U_0 \subset X_0$  of  $x$  such that  $\|\rho(x', v) - \rho(x, v)\| < \epsilon$  for any  $x' \in U_0$ . We obtain  $\|\rho(x', v') - \rho(x, v)\| \leq \max\{\|\rho(x', v') - \rho(x', v)\|, \|\rho(x', v) - \rho(x, v)\|\} < \epsilon$  for any  $(x', v') \in \prod U_i$ , and hence  $\prod U_i \subset \rho^{-1}(U_2)$ . It implies that  $\rho$  is continuous.  $\square$

Let  $(X, (V, \rho)) \in \text{ob}(\text{Top} \times \text{Rep}_G(\text{Ban}_{\leq}(k)))$ . By Proposition 4.1, the monoid homomorphism  $\varphi_\rho: G \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(V)^\times$  induced by  $\rho$  is continuous. In order to obtain a submetric BT  $O_k[[G]]$ -module structure on  $V$  associated to  $\rho$ , we prepare a partial generalisation of [13] Lemma 2.1 for the Banach space side.

**Proposition 4.2.** *The map  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{M}(X), \mathcal{S}(V)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Top}}(X, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{S}(V)))$ ,  $F \mapsto F \circ \delta_X$  is bijective.*

*Proof.* Denote by  $\delta_X^*$  the map in the assertion. By Proposition 2.16 (iii),  $\delta_X^*$  is injective. Let  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Top}}(X, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{S}(V)))$ . Denote by  $O_k^{\oplus\varphi}: O_k^{\oplus X} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(V)$  the  $O_k$ -linear extension of  $\varphi$ . Let  $(v, \epsilon) \in V \times (0, 1]$ . Put  $L := \{f \in \mathcal{S}(V) \mid |f(v)| < \epsilon\}$ . By the continuity of  $\varphi$ , the set of the preimages of open balls in  $V$  of radius  $\epsilon$  by the map  $X \rightarrow V$ ,  $x \mapsto \varphi(x)(v)$  gives a  $P \in \mathbb{P}(X)$ . We have  $(O_k^{\oplus\delta_X})^{-1}(\mathbb{M}(X; P, \epsilon)) \subset (O_k^{\oplus\varphi})^{-1}(L)$ . Therefore  $O_k^{\oplus\varphi}$  extends to a unique continuous  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $\tilde{\varphi}: \mathbb{M}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(V)$  by Proposition 2.16 (iii). We have  $\delta_X^*(\tilde{\varphi}) = \varphi$ . Thus  $\delta_X^*$  is surjective.  $\square$

As a consequence of Theorem 3.19 and Proposition 4.2, we obtain the following:

**Corollary 4.3.** *For any continuous monoid homomorphism  $\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(V)^\times$  there is a unique continuous  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $F: \mathbb{M}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(V)$  satisfying  $F \circ \delta_G = \varphi$ , and  $F \circ \iota_{\mathbb{M}(G)}^{\text{cg}}$  preserves the multiplication and the unit.*

By Corollary 4.3,  $\varphi_\rho$  induces a continuous  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $\tilde{\Pi}_\rho: \mathbb{M}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(V)$  such that  $\tilde{\Pi} \circ \iota_{\mathbb{M}(G)}^{\text{cg}}$  preserves the multiplication and the unit. We have a comparison between the closed unit balls of  $\mathcal{H}\text{om}^{\text{cg}}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ .



**Proposition 4.4.** *The identity map*

$$\mathcal{H}\text{om}^{\text{cg}}(\mathcal{I}_k(V), \mathcal{I}_k(V)) \cap \text{End}_{\text{Ban}_{\leq}(k)}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(V)$$

is an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ .

*Proof.* Denote by  $i$  the map in the assertion. By Corollary 2.5,  $i$  is continuous. Let  $L \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H}\text{om}^{\text{cg}}(\mathcal{I}_k(V), \mathcal{I}_k(V)) \cap \text{End}_{\text{Ban}_{\leq}(k)}(V))$ . Take a  $(K, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{I}_k(V)) \times (0, \infty)$  satisfying  $\{f \in \text{End}_{\text{Ban}_{\leq}(k)}(V) \mid \forall v \in K, |f(v)| < \epsilon\} \subset L$  and a  $K_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{<\omega}(K)$  satisfying  $K \subset \bigcup_{v \in K_0} \{v' \in V \mid |v' - v| < \epsilon\}$ . We have  $\{f \in \mathcal{S}(V) \mid \forall v \in K_0, |f(v)| < \epsilon\} \subset i(L)$ , and hence  $i(L) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{S}(V))$ . Therefore  $i$  is an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ .  $\square$

By Corollary 2.10 (i) and Proposition 4.4,  $\tilde{\Pi}_\rho$  induces a continuous  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $\Pi_\rho: O_k[[G]] \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_k(V)^{\mathcal{I}_k(V)}$  preserving the multiplication and the unit. By Theorem 3.8,  $\Pi_\rho$  gives a CGLT  $O_k[[G]]$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{I}_k(V)$ , for which  $\mathcal{I}_k(V)$  forms a submetric BT  $O_k[[G]]$ -module  $\int_G(V, \rho)$ . By the construction, the correspondence  $(V, \rho) \rightsquigarrow \int_G(V, \rho)$  gives  $O_k$ -linear functors  $\int_{G, \leq}^{\text{d}}: \text{Rep}_G(\text{Ban}_{\leq}(k)) \rightarrow \text{BT}_{\leq}(O_k[[G]])$  and

$$\int_{G, \text{ur}}^{\text{d}}: \text{Rep}_G(\text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k)) \rightarrow \text{BT}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(O_k[[G]]).$$

Each step of the construction of  $\int_G(V, \rho)$  is obviously invertible, and hence we obtain a comparison between the notion of a submetric BT  $O_k[[G]]$ -module and the notion of an isometric Banach  $k$ -linear representation of  $G$ .

**Theorem 4.5.** *The functors  $\int_{G, \leq}^{\text{d}}$  and  $\int_{G, \text{ur}}^{\text{d}}$  are equivalences of  $O_k$ -linear categories.*

We also consider a similar comparison without the assumption of the submetric condition. Let  $(V, \rho) \in \text{ob}(\text{Rep}_G(\text{Ban}(k)))$ . Take a  $c \in k^\times$  satisfying  $\|\rho(g, v)\| \leq |c| \|v\|$  for any  $(g, v) \in G \times V$ . By Proposition 4.1, the map  $G \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(V)$  induced by the continuous map  $G \times V \rightarrow V$ ,  $(g, v) \mapsto c^{-1}\rho(g, v)$  is continuous. By Proposition 4.2, it induces a continuous  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $\mathbb{M}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(V)$ , which does not necessarily preserve the multiplication. By Corollary 2.10 (i) and Proposition 4.4, it induces a continuous  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $O_k[[G]] \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_k(V)^{\mathcal{I}_k(V)}$ . Multiplying  $c$ , we

obtain a continuous  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $\Pi_\rho: O_k[[G]] \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_k(V)^{\mathcal{S}_k(V)}$  independent of the choice of  $c$  preserving the multiplication and the unit. By Theorem 3.8,  $\Pi_\rho$  gives a CGLT  $O_k[[G]]$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{S}_k(V)$ , for which  $\mathcal{S}_k(V)$  forms a bounded BT  $O_k[[G]]$ -module  $\int_G(V, \rho)$ . By the construction, the correspondence  $(V, \rho) \rightsquigarrow \int_G(V, \rho)$  gives a  $k$ -linear functor  $\int_G^d: \text{Rep}_G(\text{Ban}(k)) \rightarrow \text{BT}(O_k[[G]])$ . Each step of the construction of  $\int_G(V, \rho)$  is obviously invertible, and hence we obtain a comparison between the notion of a bounded BT  $O_k[[G]]$ -module and the notion of a unitarisable Banach  $k$ -linear representation of  $G$ .

**Theorem 4.6.** *The functor  $\int_G^d$  is a  $k$ -linear equivalence of categories.*

**4.2 CHFLT modules** A CGLT  $O_k$ -linear representation of  $G$  is a pair  $(M, \rho)$  of an  $M \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}})$  and a continuous map  $\rho: G \times M \rightarrow M$  giving an  $O_k$ -linear action of  $G$  on  $M$ . A map between CGLT  $O_k$ -linear representations is said to be an  $O_k[G]$ -linear homomorphism if it is a  $G$ -equivariant  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism. Let  $(M, \rho)$  be a CGLT  $O_k$ -linear representation of  $G$ . We say that  $(M, \rho)$  is a CHFLT  $O_k$ -linear representation of  $G$  if  $M \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{ch}})$ . We denote by  $\text{Rep}_G(\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}})$  the  $O_k$ -linear category of CGLT  $O_k$ -linear representations of  $G$  and continuous  $O_k[G]$ -linear homomorphisms, and by  $\text{Rep}_G(\mathcal{C}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{ch}}) \subset \text{Rep}_G(\mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{cg}})$  the full subcategory of CHFLT  $O_k$ -linear representations of  $G$ .

We compare the notion of a CHFLT  $O_k[[G]]$ -module and the notion of a CHFLT  $O_k$ -linear representation of  $G$ . For this purpose, we consider a compact analogue of Banach–Steinhaus theorem (cf. [11] Corollary 6.16). We denote by  $\text{Unf}$  the category of compact uniform spaces and uniformly continuous maps. Let  $(X_0, (C_{i+1})) \in \text{ob}(\text{Top} \times \text{Unf}^2)$ . We equip  $\text{Hom}_{\text{Unf}}((C_{i+1}))$  the topology of uniform convergence.

**Proposition 4.7.** *A map  $\varphi: X_0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Unf}}((C_{i+1}))$  is continuous if and only if the induced map  $X_0 \times C_1 \rightarrow C_2: (x, m) \mapsto \varphi(x)(m)$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* If  $C_1 = \emptyset$ , then the assertion is obvious. We assume  $C_1 \neq \emptyset$ . We denote by  $\rho: X_0 \times C_1 \rightarrow C_2$  the induced map. Suppose that  $\varphi$  is continuous. Let  $U_2 \subset C_2$  be an open neighbourhood of  $\rho(x_0, m_0)$  for a  $(x_0, m_0) \in X_0 \times C_1$ . Take entourages  $E_0, E_1 \subset C_2^2$  satisfying  $\{m_1 \in C_2 \mid (\rho(x_0, m_0), m_1) \in E_0\} \subset U_2$  and that for any  $(m_i)_{i=0}^2 \in C_2^3$ ,  $((m_i), (m_{i+1})) \in E_1^2$  implies  $(m_{2i}) \in E_0$ .

By the uniform continuity of  $\varphi(x_0)$ , there is an entourage  $E_2 \subset C_1^2$  such that every  $(m_i) \in E_2$  satisfies  $(\varphi(x_0)(m_i)) \in E_1$ . By the continuity of  $\varphi$ , there exists an open neighbourhood  $U_0 \subset X$  of  $x_0$  such that  $(\varphi(x_{1-i})(m_1)) \in E_1$  for any  $(x_1, m_1) \in U_0 \times C_1$ . Put  $U_1 := \{m_1 \in C_1 \mid (m_i) \in E_2\}$ . Then for any  $(x_1, m_1) \in \prod U_i$ , we have  $((\rho(x_0, m_i)), (\rho(x_i, m_1))) \in E_1^2$ , and hence  $(\rho(x_i, m_i)) \in E_0$ . It implies that  $\prod U_i \subset \rho^{-1}(U_2)$ . Therefore  $\rho$  is continuous.

Suppose that  $\rho$  is continuous. Let  $U \subset \text{Hom}_{\text{Unif}}((C_{i+1}))$  be an open neighbourhood of  $\varphi(x_0)$  for a  $x_0 \in X_0$ . For an entourage  $E \subset C_2^2$ , set  $U_E := \{f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Unif}}((C_{i+1})) \mid \forall m \in C_1, (\varphi(x_0)(m), f(m)) \in E\}$ . Then the collection of subsets of the form  $U_E$  forms a fundamental system of neighbourhoods of  $\varphi(x_0)$ . Take entourages  $E_0, E_1 \subset C_2^2$  satisfying  $U_{E_0} \subset U$  and that for any  $(m_i)_{i=0}^2 \in C_2^3$ ,  $((m_i), (m_{2i})) \in E_1^2$  implies  $(m_{i+1}) \in E_0$ . For each  $m_0 \in C_1$ , there are open neighbourhoods  $U_0 \subset X$  and  $U_1 \subset C_1$  of  $x_0$  and  $m_0$ , respectively, such that  $(\rho(x_i, m_i)) \in E_1$  for any  $(x_1, m_1) \in \prod U_i$  by the continuity of  $\rho$ . We denote by  $S$  the set of such an  $(m_0, (U_i))$  satisfying  $m_0 \in C_1$ . Since  $C_1$  is compact and non-empty, there is an  $S_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{<\omega}(S) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  such that  $C_1 = \bigcup_{(m_0, (U_i)) \in S_0} U_1$ . Put  $V_0 := \bigcap_{(m_0, (U_i)) \in S_0} U_0$ . Let  $x_1 \in V_0$ . We show  $\varphi(x_1) \in U_{E_0}$ . Let  $m_0 \in C_1$ . Take an  $(m_1, (U_i)) \in S_0$  satisfying  $m_0 \in U_1$ . We have  $((\rho(x_0, m_i)), (\rho(x_i, m_i))) \in E_1^2$  by the choice of  $m_1$  and  $U_1$ . Therefore we obtain  $(\varphi(x_i)(m_1)) = (\rho(x_i, m_1)) \in E_0$  by the choice of  $E_1$ . It ensures  $\varphi(x_1) \in U_{E_0}$ . It implies that  $V_0 \subset \varphi^{-1}(U_{E_0})$ . Thus  $\varphi$  is continuous.  $\square$

Let  $(K, \rho) \in \text{ob}(\text{Rep}_G(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}^{\text{ch}}))$ . The monoid homomorphism  $\varphi_\rho: G \rightarrow \mathcal{H}\text{om}^c(K, K)^\times$  induced by  $\rho$  is continuous by Proposition 4.7. In order to obtain a CHFLT  $O_k[[G]]$ -module structure on  $K$  associated to  $\rho$ , we prepare a partial generalisation of [13] Lemma 2.1 for the compact side. By Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 4.2, we obtain the following:

**Proposition 4.8.** *The map*

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{M}(X), \mathcal{H}\text{om}^c(K, K)) \rightarrow \text{C}(X, \mathcal{H}\text{om}^c(K, K)), F \mapsto F \circ \delta_X$$

*is bijective.*

By Theorem 3.19 and Proposition 4.8, we obtain a locally profinite counterpart of [13] Corollary 2.2 for the compact side.

**Corollary 4.9.** *For any continuous monoid homomorphism*

$$\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathcal{H}\text{om}^c(K, K)^\times,$$

*there is a unique continuous  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism*

$$F: \mathbb{M}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}\text{om}^c(K, K)$$

*such that  $\mathbb{F} \circ \delta_G = \varphi$ , and  $F \circ \iota_{\mathbb{M}(G)}^{\text{c}\mathcal{G}}$  preserves the multiplication and the unit.*

By Corollary 2.10 (i) and Corollary 4.9,  $\varphi_\rho$  induces a continuous  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $\Pi_\rho: O_k[[G]] \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{O_k}(K)^{\mathcal{S}_{O_k}(K)}$  preserving the multiplication and the unit. By Theorem 3.8,  $\Pi_\rho$  gives a CGLT  $O_k[[G]]$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{S}_{O_k}(K)$ , for which  $\mathcal{S}_{O_k}(K)$  forms a CHFLT  $O_k[[G]]$ -module  $\int_G(K, \rho)$ . By the construction, the correspondence  $(K, \rho) \rightsquigarrow \int_G(K, \rho)$  gives an  $O_k$ -linear functor  $\int_G^c: \text{Rep}_G(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}^{\text{ch}}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\ell}^{\text{ch}}(O_k[[G]])$ . Each step of the construction of  $\int_G(K, \rho)$  is obviously invertible, and hence we obtain a comparison between the notion of a CHFLT  $O_k[[G]]$ -module and the notion of a CHFLT  $O_k$ -linear representation of  $G$ .

**Theorem 4.10.** *The functor  $\int_G^c$  is an  $O_k$ -linear equivalence of categories.*

Let  $(M, \rho) \in \text{ob}(\text{Rep}_G(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}^{\text{c}\mathcal{G}}))$ . A  $G$ -stable  $O_k$ -submodule  $K \subset M$  is said to be a *core* of  $(M, \rho)$  if  $K$  is compact, the inclusion  $K \hookrightarrow M$  induces an isomorphism  $k \otimes_{O_k} \mathcal{F}^c(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\text{c}\mathcal{G}}(M)$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and every  $O_k$ -submodule  $L \subset M$  satisfying  $cL \cap K \in \mathcal{O}(K)$  for any  $c \in O_k \setminus \{0\}$  is open. We say that  $(M, \rho)$  is a *CGHLT  $k$ -linear representation of  $G$*  if  $M$  is Hausdorff and  $(M, \rho)$  admits a core. If  $(M, \rho)$  is a CGHLT  $k$ -linear representation of  $G$ , then  $M$  forms a topological  $k$ -vector space because  $\mathcal{O}(M)$  is closed under the action of  $k^\times$ . We denote by  $\text{Rep}_G(k\mathcal{C}_{\ell}^{\text{ch}}) \subset \text{Rep}_G(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}^{\text{c}\mathcal{G}})$  the full subcategory of CGHLT  $k$ -linear representations of  $G$ . We give an example of a CGHLT  $k$ -linear representation of  $G$ . We denote by  $(K, \rho)_k$  the pair of  $K_k \in \text{ob}(\text{Mod}_{\ell}^{\text{c}\mathcal{G}\text{h}}(O_k))$  and the  $k$ -linear extension of  $\rho$ .

**Proposition 4.11.** *The pair  $(K, \rho)_k$  forms a CGHLT  $k$ -linear representation of  $G$ , and  $\iota_K^c$  is a homeomorphic  $O_k[[G]]$ -linear isomorphism onto a core.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.28 applied to  $A = O_k$ ,  $K_k$  is a CGHLT  $O_k$ -module, and  $\iota_K^c$  is a homeomorphism onto a core of  $K_k$ . By Corollary 2.7, the  $k$ -linear extension of  $\rho$  gives a continuous map  $G \times K_k \rightarrow K_k$ . Therefore  $(K, \rho)_k$  forms a CGLT  $O_k$ -linear representation of  $G$ . Since  $\iota_K^c$  is  $O_k[G]$ -linear,  $\iota_K^c(K)$  forms a core of  $(K, \rho)_k$ .  $\square$

By Proposition 4.11, the correspondence  $(K, \rho) \rightsquigarrow (K, \rho)_k$  gives an  $O_k$ -linear functor  $\Psi: \text{Rep}_G(\mathcal{C}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{ch}}) \rightarrow \text{Rep}_G(k\mathcal{C}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{ch}})$ . We denote by

$$\Psi_k: k\text{Rep}_G(\mathcal{C}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{ch}}) \rightarrow \text{Rep}_G(k\mathcal{C}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{ch}})$$

its  $k$ -linear extension. By a similar argument to that in the proof of Proposition 3.31, we obtain a characterisation of a CGHLT  $k$ -linear representation of  $G$ .

**Proposition 4.12.** *The  $k$ -linear functor  $\Psi_k$  is fully faithful and essentially surjective.*

We compare the notion of a CGHLT  $O_k[[G]]$ -module and the notion of a CGHLT  $k$ -linear representation of  $G$ . Let  $(M, \rho) \in \text{ob}(\text{Rep}_G(k\mathcal{C}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{ch}}))$ . Take a core  $K \subset (M, \rho)$ . We abbreviate the pair of  $K$  and the restriction  $G \times K \rightarrow K$  of  $\rho$  to  $(K, \rho)$ . The scalar multiplication  $O_k[[G]] \otimes^{\text{cg}} \int_G (K, \rho) \rightarrow \int_G (K, \rho)$  induces a continuous  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $O_k[[G]] \otimes^{\text{cg}} K_k \rightarrow K_k$  by Corollary 3.12 and the functoriality of the colimit. Through the isomorphism  $(K, \rho)_k \rightarrow (M, \rho)$  in  $\text{Rep}_G(k\mathcal{C}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{ch}})$  induced by the inclusion  $K \hookrightarrow M$ , we obtain a continuous  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $O_k[[G]] \otimes^{\text{cg}} M \rightarrow M$ , for which  $M$  forms a CGHLT  $O_k$ -module  $\int_G (M, \rho)$  with a core  $K$ . By the construction, the correspondence  $(M, \rho) \rightsquigarrow \int_G (M, \rho)$  gives a  $k$ -linear functor  $\int_{G,k}^c: \text{Rep}_G(k\mathcal{C}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{ch}}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\ell}^{\text{cgh}}(O_k[[G]])$ . We obtain a comparison between the notion of a CGHLT  $O_k[[G]]$ -module and the notion of a CGHLT  $k$ -linear representation of  $G$ .

**Theorem 4.13.** *The functor  $\int_{G,k}^c$  is a  $k$ -linear equivalence of categories.*

*Proof.* We construct an inverse. Let  $M \in \text{ob}(\text{Mod}_{\ell}^{\text{cgh}}(O_k[[G]]))$ . We denote by  $M_0$  the underlying CGHLT  $O_k$ -module of  $M$ . We show that the map  $\rho_M: G \times M_0 \rightarrow M_0$ ,  $(g, m) \mapsto d_{G,g}m$  is continuous. Take a core  $K_1 \subset M$ . We consider the composite  $O_k[[G]] \otimes^{\text{cg}} K_1 \rightarrow M$  of the  $O_k$ -linear

homomorphism  $O_k[[G]] \otimes^{\text{cg}} K_1 \rightarrow O_k[[G]] \otimes^{\text{cg}} M$  induced by the inclusion  $K_1 \hookrightarrow M$ , which is continuous by the functoriality of  $\otimes^{\text{cg}}$ , and the scalar multiplication  $O_k[[G]] \otimes^{\text{cg}} M \rightarrow M$ . Since  $K_1$  is a left  $O_k[[G]]$ -submodule, it factors through  $K_1 \subset M$ . We obtain a continuous  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $O_k[[G]] \otimes^{\text{cg}} K_1 \rightarrow K_1$ , for which  $K_1$  forms a CHFLT  $O_k[[G]]$ -module.

Let  $U_2 \subset M_0$  be an open subset. Take an open profinite subgroup  $H \subset G$ . For a  $g \in G$ , put  $U_{g,1} := gH$  and  $U_{g,2} := \{m \in M_0 \mid \forall g' \in U_{g,1}, \rho_M(g', m) \in U_2\}$ . Then we have  $\rho_M^{-1}(U_2) = \bigcup_{g \in G} \prod U_{g,i}$ . Therefore in order to show that  $\rho_M^{-1}(U_2)$  is open, it suffices to show  $U_{g,2}$  is open for any  $g \in G$ . Let  $g \in G$ . We show that  $cU_{g,2} \cap K_1$  is open in  $K_1$  for any  $c \in O_k \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $c \in O_k \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $m \in cU_{g,2} \cap K_1$ . By Proposition 2.1 (ii), Corollary 2.5, and Proposition 2.17 (ii), we have  $K_0 := \sum_{g' \in H} O_k d_{G,gg'} \in \mathcal{H}(O_k[[G]])$ . By Proposition 2.1 (ii) and the continuity of the scalar multiplication  $O_k[[G]] \otimes^{\text{cg}} K_1 \rightarrow K_1$ , there is an  $(L_i) \in \prod \mathcal{O}(K_i)$  such that  $(d_{G,g} \otimes m) + (L_i)_{(K_i)}$  (cf. §3.1) is contained in the preimage of  $\rho_M^{-1}(cU_2)$  in  $K_0 \otimes^c K_1$ . In particular, we have  $m + L_1 \subset cU_{g,2} \cap K_1$ . It ensures that  $cU_{g,2} \cap K_1$  is open in  $K_1$ . By Lemma 3.29 and Proposition 3.30,  $U_{g,2}$  is open. It implies that  $\rho_M$  is continuous. We obtain a CGHLT  $k$ -linear representation  $(M_0, \rho_M)$  with a core  $K_1$ . The correspondence  $M \rightsquigarrow (M_0, \rho_M)$  gives a functor  $\text{Mod}_\ell^{\text{cgh}}(O_k[[G]]) \rightarrow \text{Rep}_G(k\mathcal{C}_{\ell}^{\text{ch}})$  which is a strict inverse of  $\int_{G,k}^c$ .  $\square$

### 4.3 Generalised Schneider-Teitelbaum duality

Imitating the method of [13] Theorem 2.3, we extend  $(D_d, D_c)$  to an  $O_k$ -linear equivalence  $(\mathcal{D}_d, \mathcal{D}_c)$  of  $\text{Rep}_G(\text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k))^{\text{op}}$  and  $\text{Mod}_{\ell}^{\text{ch}}(O_k[[G]])$ . Let  $(V, \rho) \in \text{ob}(\text{Rep}_G(\text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k)))$ . For a  $(g, m) \in G \times V^{\text{D}_d}$ , we denote by  $\rho^{\text{D}_d}(g, m)$  the submetric  $k$ -linear homomorphism  $V \rightarrow k$ ,  $v \mapsto m(\rho(g^{-1}, v))$ . We obtain a map  $\rho^{\text{D}_d}: G \times V^{\text{D}_d} \rightarrow V^{\text{D}_d}: (g, m) \mapsto \rho^{\text{D}_d}(g, m)$ .

**Proposition 4.14.** *The map  $\rho^{\text{D}_d}$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 4.1,  $\rho$  induces a continuous monoid homomorphism  $\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(V)^\times$ . The map  $G \rightarrow \mathcal{H}\text{om}^c(V^{\text{D}_d}, V^{\text{D}_d})^\times$ ,  $g \mapsto \text{T}(\bullet)_{V^{\text{D}_d}, V^{\text{D}_d}}^{-1}(\varphi(g^{-1}))$  is a continuous by Proposition 3.3. Therefore  $\rho^{\text{D}_d}$  is continuous by Proposition 4.7.  $\square$

By Proposition 4.14, the correspondence  $(V, \rho) \rightsquigarrow (V^{\text{D}_d}, \rho^{\text{D}_d})$  gives an

$O_k$ -linear functor  $d\mathcal{D}_d: \text{Rep}_G(\text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k))^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Rep}_G(\mathcal{C}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{ch}})$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{D}_d: \text{Rep}_G(\text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k))^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{ch}}(O_k[[G]])$  the composite of  $\int_G^c$  and  $d\mathcal{D}_d$ .

Let  $K \in \text{ob}(\text{Mod}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{ch}}(O_k[[G]]))$ . We denote by  $K_0 \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{ch}})$  the underlying topological  $O_k$ -module of  $K$ . For a  $(g, v) \in G \times K_0^{\text{Dc}}$ , we denote by  $\rho_K(g, v)$  the continuous  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $K_0 \rightarrow k$ ,  $m \mapsto v(d_{G,g^{-1}}m)$ . We obtain a map  $\rho_K: G \times K_0^{\text{Dc}} \rightarrow K_0^{\text{Dc}}: (g, v) \mapsto \rho_K(g, v)$ .

**Proposition 4.15.** *The map  $\rho_K$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.17 (ii) and Proposition 3.27, the map  $G \times K \rightarrow K$ ,  $(g, m) \mapsto d_{G,g}m$  is continuous. By Proposition 4.7, it induces a continuous monoid homomorphism  $\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathcal{H}\text{om}^c(K_0, K_0)^\times$ . The map  $G \rightarrow \mathcal{H}\text{om}^c(K_0^{\text{Dc}}, K_0^{\text{Dc}})^\times$ ,  $g \mapsto {}^T\varphi(g^{-1})_{K_0, K_0}$  is continuous by Proposition 3.3. Therefore  $\rho_K$  is continuous by Proposition 4.1.  $\square$

We put  $K^{\mathcal{D}_c} := (K_0^{\text{Dc}}, \rho_K)$ . By Proposition 4.15, the correspondence  $K \rightsquigarrow K^{\mathcal{D}_c}$  gives an  $O_k$ -linear functor  $\mathcal{D}_c: \text{Mod}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{ch}}(O_k[[G]]) \rightarrow \text{Rep}_G(\text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k))^{\text{op}}$ . By Proposition 2.12, we obtain the following:

**Theorem 4.16.** *The pair  $(\mathcal{D}_d, \mathcal{D}_c)$  is an  $O_k$ -linear equivalence between  $\text{Rep}_G(\text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k))^{\text{op}}$  and  $\text{Mod}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{ch}}(O_k[[G]])$ .*

We obtain a generalised Schneider–Teitelbaum duality (cf. [13] Theorem 2.3).

**Theorem 4.17.** *The composite  $k\text{Mod}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{ch}}(O_k[[G]]) \rightarrow \text{Rep}_G(\text{Ban}(k))$  of  $k\mathcal{D}_c$  and the  $k$ -linear extension  $k\text{Rep}_G(\text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k)) \rightarrow \text{Rep}_G(\text{Ban}(k))$  of the inclusion  $\text{Rep}_G(\text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k)) \hookrightarrow \text{Rep}_G(\text{Ban}(k))$  is fully faithful and essentially surjective.*

*Proof.* The assertion follows from Theorem 4.5, Theorem 4.6, and Theorem 4.16 because the composite of the  $k$ -linear functor  $k\text{Rep}_G(\text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k)) \rightarrow \text{Rep}_G(\text{Ban}(k))$  and  $\int_G^d$  coincides with the composite of  $k \int_{G, \text{ur}}$  and the  $k$ -linear extension  $k\text{BT}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(O_k[[G]]) \rightarrow \text{BT}(O_k[[G]])$  of the inclusion

$$\text{BT}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(O_k[[G]]) \hookrightarrow \text{BT}(O_k[[G]]).$$

$\square$

Let  $(V, \rho) \in \text{ob}(\text{Rep}_G(\text{Ban}(k)))$  (respectively,  $M \in \text{ob}(\text{Mod}_\ell^{\text{cgh}}(O_k[[G]]))$ ). We say that  $(V, \rho)$  (respectively,  $M$ ) is *irreducible* (respectively, *simple*) if it admits exactly two closed  $G$ -stable  $k$ -vector subspaces (respectively, closed left  $O_k[[G]]$ -submodules which are  $k$ -vector spaces). As an analogue of [13] Corollary 3.6, we obtain a criterion for the irreducibility.

**Theorem 4.18.** *Suppose that  $(V, \rho)$  is unitary. Then  $(V, \rho)$  is irreducible if and only if  $((V, \rho)^{\mathcal{Q}_d})_k$  is simple.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $((V, \rho)^{\mathcal{Q}_d})_k$  is simple. We show that  $(V, \rho)$  is irreducible. We have  $(V, \rho)^{\mathcal{Q}_d} \neq \{0\}$  by  $((V, \rho)^{\mathcal{Q}_d})_k \neq \{0\}$ , and hence  $V \neq \{0\}$ . Let  $V_0 \subset V$  be a proper closed  $G$ -stable  $k$ -vector subspace. Then  $(V_0, \rho)$  forms a unitary Banach  $k$ -linear representation of  $G$ . By Hahn–Banach theorem (cf. [5] Theorem 3 and [11] Proposition 9.2), the restriction map  $\pi: (V^{\text{D}_d})_k \rightarrow (V_0^{\mathcal{Q}_d})_k$  is surjective and  $\ker \pi$  is a non-zero closed  $O_k[[G]]$ -submodule of  $((V, \rho)^{\mathcal{Q}_d})_k$  which is a  $k$ -vector space. Since  $((V, \rho)^{\mathcal{Q}_d})_k$  is simple, we obtain  $\ker \pi = ((V, \rho)^{\mathcal{Q}_d})_k$ . It ensures  $V_0^{\mathcal{Q}_d} = \{0\}$ , and hence  $V_0 = \{0\}$  again by Hahn–Banach theorem. It implies that  $(V, \rho)$  is irreducible.

Suppose that  $(V, \rho)$  is irreducible. We show that  $((V, \rho)^{\mathcal{Q}_d})_k$  is simple. Let  $M_0 \subset ((V, \rho)^{\mathcal{Q}_d})_k$  be a proper closed  $O_k[[G]]$ -submodule which is a  $k$ -vector space. The identity map  $\mathcal{F}^c((V, \rho)^{\mathcal{Q}_d}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Ban}_{\leq}(k)}(V, k)$  induces a bijective  $k$ -linear homomorphism  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{cg}}((V^{\text{D}_d})_k) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Ban}(k)}(V, k)$ , through which we regard  $\mathcal{F}(M_0)$  as a  $k$ -vector subspace of  $\text{Hom}_{\text{Ban}(k)}(V, k)$ . We have  $((V, \rho)^{\mathcal{Q}_d})_k \neq \{0\}$  by  $V \neq \{0\}$  and Hahn–Banach theorem. Put  $V_0 := \bigcap_{m \in M_0} \ker(m) \subset V$ . We show  $V_0 \neq \{0\}$ . Let  $M$  denote the quotient  $(V^{\text{D}_d})_k/M_0$ . Since  $M_0$  is a proper closed  $O_k[[G]]$ -submodule of  $(V^{\text{D}_d})_k$  which is a  $k$ -vector space,  $M$  is a non-zero Hausdorff linear topological  $O_k$ -module which is a topological  $k$ -vector space. Therefore there is a non-zero continuous  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $\bar{v}: M \rightarrow k$  by [6] Theorem 2.1. By the compactness of  $V^{\text{D}_d}$  and the continuity of  $\iota_{V^{\text{D}_d}}^c$  and the canonical projection  $((V, \rho)^{\mathcal{Q}_d})_k \twoheadrightarrow M$ , we have  $\sup_{m \in V^{\text{D}_d}} \bar{v}(\iota_{V^{\text{D}_d}}^c(m) + M_0) < \infty$ . Therefore there is a  $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\bar{v}(\iota_{V^{\text{D}_d}}^c(m) + M_0) = m(v)$  for any  $m \in V^{\text{D}_d}$  by Theorem 2.12. It ensures  $m(v) = \bar{v}(0) = 0$  for any  $m \in M_0$ . We obtain  $v \in V_0$  and hence  $V_0 \neq \{0\}$ . Since  $V_0$  is a closed  $G$ -stable  $k$ -vector subspace of  $(V, \rho)$  and  $(V, \rho)$  is irreducible, we obtain  $V_0 = V$ . It ensures  $M_0 = \{0\}$ . It implies that  $((V, \rho)^{\mathcal{Q}_d})_k$  is simple.  $\square$



## 5 Applications

As applications of the module theory in the monoidal structure, we give an explicit description of a continuous parabolic induction of unitary Banach  $k$ -linear representations.

**5.1 Duality of operations** Let  $P \subset G$  be a closed subgroup. Suppose that  $P \backslash G$  is compact. We study relations between the dual functors in §4.3 and operations on representations. Let  $(V, \rho) \in \text{ob}(\text{Rep}_G(\text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k)))$ . We put  $\text{Res}_P^G(V, \rho) := (V, \rho|_{P \times V})$ . The correspondence  $(V, \rho) \rightsquigarrow \text{Res}_P^G(V, \rho)$  gives an  $O_k$ -linear functor  $\text{Res}_P^G: \text{Rep}_G(\text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k)) \rightarrow \text{Rep}_P(\text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k))$ .

Let  $K \in \text{ob}(\text{Mod}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{ch}}(O_k[[G]]))$ . We denote by  $\text{Res}_{O_k[[P]]}^{O_k[[G]]}(K)$  the scalar restriction of  $K$  by the natural embedding  $O_k[[P]] \hookrightarrow O_k[[G]]$ . The correspondence  $K \rightsquigarrow \text{Res}_{O_k[[P]]}^{O_k[[G]]}(K)$  gives an  $O_k$ -linear functor

$$\text{Res}_{O_k[[P]]}^{O_k[[G]]}: \text{Mod}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{ch}}(O_k[[G]]) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{ch}}(O_k[[P]]).$$

We have  $\mathcal{D}_d \circ \text{Res}_P^G = \text{Res}_{O_k[[P]]}^{O_k[[G]]} \circ \mathcal{D}_d: \text{Rep}_G(\text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k)) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{ch}}(O_k[[P]])$  by the construction.

Let  $(V_0, \rho_0) \in \text{ob}(\text{Rep}_P(\text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k)))$ . We denote by  $\rho: G \times \text{C}_{\text{bd}}(G, V_0) \rightarrow \text{C}_{\text{bd}}(G, V_0)$  the map given by setting  $\rho(g, f)(g') := f(g'g)$  for an  $(f, g, g') \in \text{C}_{\text{bd}}(G, V_0) \times G^2$ , which is not necessarily continuous. We set  $\text{Ind}_P^G(V_0) := \{f \in \text{C}_{\text{bd}}(G, V_0) \mid \forall (h, v) \in P \times G, f(hg) = \rho_0(h, f(g))\}$ . Then  $\text{Ind}_P^G(V_0) \subset \text{C}_{\text{bd}}(G, V_0)$  is a closed  $G$ -equivariant  $k$ -vector subspace. We denote by  $\text{Ind}_P^G(\rho_0): G \times \text{Ind}_P^G(V_0) \rightarrow \text{Ind}_P^G(V_0)$  the restriction of  $\rho$ . It can be easily verified that  $\text{Ind}_P^G(\rho_0)$  is continuous by Banach–Steinhaus theorem (cf. [11] Corollary 6.16), and  $\text{Ind}_P^G(V_0, \rho_0) := (\text{Ind}_P^G(V_0), \text{Ind}_P^G(\rho_0))$  forms a unitary Banach  $k$ -linear representation of  $G$ . The correspondence  $(V_0, \rho_0) \rightsquigarrow \text{Ind}_P^G(V_0, \rho_0)$  gives an  $O_k$ -linear functor

$$\text{Ind}_P^G: \text{Rep}_P(\text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k)) \rightarrow \text{Rep}_G(\text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k)).$$

Let  $K_0 \in \text{ob}(\text{Mod}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{ch}}(O_k[[P]]))$ . We describe  $\text{Ind}_P^G(K_0^{\mathcal{D}_d})^{\mathcal{D}_d}$  explicitly by  $G$  and  $K_0$ . Since the underlying topological space of  $G$  is a disjoint union of compact clopen subspaces, a map  $\varphi: G \rightarrow K_0^{\mathcal{D}_d}$  is continuous if and

only if the induced map  $G \times K_0 \rightarrow k: (g, m) \mapsto \varphi(g)(m)$  is continuous by Proposition 4.7. Therefore we obtain an isometric  $k$ -linear homomorphism  $\mathbb{C}_{\text{bd}}(G, K_0^{\mathcal{D}^c}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\text{bd}}(G \times K_0, k)$  onto the closed image. We consider the map  $\rho: G \times \mathbb{C}_{\text{bd}}(G \times K_0, k) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\text{bd}}(G \times K_0, k)$  given by setting  $\rho(g, f)(g', m) := f(g'g, m)$  for a  $(g, f, g', m) \in G \times \mathbb{C}_{\text{bd}}(G \times K_0, k) \times G \times K_0$ , which is not necessarily continuous. The inclusion  $\text{Ind}_P^G(K_0^{\mathcal{D}^c}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\text{bd}}(G, K_0^{\mathcal{D}^c}) \subset \mathbb{C}_{\text{bd}}(G \times K_0, k)$  is an isometric  $G$ -equivariant  $k$ -linear homomorphism, and its image is the closed  $G$ -stable  $k$ -vector subspace consisting of functions  $f: G \times K_0 \rightarrow k$  satisfying the following:

- (I) The equality  $f(g, cm) = cf(g, m)$  holds for any  $(g, c, m) \in G \times O_k \times K_0$ .
- (II) The equality  $f(g, \sum m_i) = \sum f(g, m_i)$  holds for any  $(g, (m_i)) \in G \times K_0^2$ .
- (III) The equality  $f(hg, m) = f(g, \delta_{G,h}^{-1}m)$  holds for any  $(h, g, m) \in P \times G \times K_0$ .

The inclusion  $\text{Ind}_P^G(K_0^{\mathcal{D}^c}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\text{bd}}(G \times K_0, k)$  induces a continuous surjective  $G$ -equivariant  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $\varphi_{G,P}: \mathbb{C}_{\text{bd}}(G \times K_0, k)^{\text{Da}} \rightarrow \text{Ind}_P^G(K_0^{\mathcal{D}^c})^{\text{Da}}$  by Hahn–Banach theorem (cf. [5] Theorem 3 and [11] Proposition 9.2). Since the target and the source of  $\varphi_{G,P}$  are compact and Hausdorff, the target is homeomorphic to the coimage. We determine  $\ker(\varphi_{G,P})$  in order to describe the target. We denote by  $e_{g,m}$  the submetric  $k$ -linear homomorphism  $\mathbb{C}_{\text{bd}}(G \times K_0, k) \rightarrow k, f \mapsto f(g, m)$  for a  $(g, m) \in G \times K_0$ . We put  $\mu_{g,c,m}^{\text{I}} := ce_{g,m} - e_{g,cm}$  for a  $(g, c, m) \in G \times O_k \times K_0$ ,  $\mu_{g,(m_i)}^{\text{II}} := e_{g,\sum m_i} - \sum e_{g,m_i}$  for a  $(g, (m_i)) \in G \times K_0^2$ , and  $\mu_{g,h,m}^{\text{III}} := e_{hg,m} - e_{g,d_{G,h}^{-1}m}$  for a  $(g, h, m) \in G \times P \times K_0$ . We denote by  $\mu^{\text{I}} + \mu^{\text{II}} + \mu^{\text{III}} \subset \mathbb{C}_{\text{bd}}(G \times K_0, k)^{\text{Da}}$  the closed  $O_k$ -submodule generated by the union of  $\{\mu_{g,c,m}^{\text{I}} \mid (g, c, m) \in G \times O_k \times K_0\}$ ,  $\{\mu_{g,(m_i)}^{\text{II}} \mid (g, (m_i)) \in G \times K_0^2\}$ , and  $\{\mu_{g,h,m}^{\text{III}} \mid (g, h, m) \in G \times P \times K_0\}$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** *The equality  $\ker(\varphi_{G,P}) = \mu^{\text{I}} + \mu^{\text{II}} + \mu^{\text{III}}$  holds.*

*Proof.* We have  $\mu^{\text{I}} + \mu^{\text{II}} + \mu^{\text{III}} \subset \ker(\varphi_{G,P})$  by the characterisation of the image of  $\text{Ind}_P^G(K_0^{\mathcal{D}^c})$  in  $\mathbb{C}_{\text{bd}}(G \times K_0, k)$ . Let  $\mu \in \ker(\varphi_{G,P})$ . We show  $\mu \in \mu^{\text{I}} + \mu^{\text{II}} + \mu^{\text{III}}$ . Let  $f \in \mathbb{C}_{\text{bd}}(G \times K_0, k)$  and  $\epsilon \in (0, \infty)$ . We verify that there is a  $\mu' \in \mu^{\text{I}} + \mu^{\text{II}} + \mu^{\text{III}}$  such that  $|\mu(f) - \mu'(f)| < \epsilon$ . In the case

$f \in \text{Ind}_P^G(K_0^{\mathcal{D}_c})$ , we have  $\mu(f) = \varphi_{G,P}(\mu)(f) = 0$ , and hence  $\mu' := 0$  satisfies the desired inequality. Suppose  $f \notin \text{Ind}_P^G(V_0)$ . Then  $f$  does not satisfy at least one of the conditions (I)–(III) in the characterisation of the image of  $\text{Ind}_P^G(K_0^{\mathcal{D}_c})$  in  $\text{C}_{\text{bd}}(G \times K_0, k)$ . First, suppose that  $f$  does not satisfy (I). Take a  $(g, c, m) \in G \times O_k \times K_0$  satisfying  $f(g, cm) - cf(g, m) \neq 0$ . Set  $\mu' := (f(g, cm) - cf(g, m))^{-1} \mu(f) \mu_{g,c,m}^{\text{I}}$ . Then we have  $\mu'(f) = \mu(f)$  by the construction, and hence  $|\mu(f) - \mu'(f)| = 0 < \epsilon$ . Next, suppose that  $f$  does not satisfy (II). Take a  $(g, (m_i)) \in G \times K_0^2$  satisfying  $f(g, \sum m_i) - \sum f(g, m_i) \neq 0$ . Set  $\mu' := (f(g, \sum m_i) - \sum f(g, m_i))^{-1} \mu(f) \mu_{g,m,m'}^{\text{II}}$ . Then we have  $\mu'(f) = \mu(f)$  by the construction, and hence  $|\mu(f) - \mu'(f)| = 0 < \epsilon$ . Finally, suppose that  $f$  does not satisfy (III). Take a  $(g, h, m) \in G \times P \times K_0$  satisfying  $f(hg, m) - f(g, \delta_{G,h}^{-1} m) \neq 0$ . Set  $\mu' := (f(hg, m) - f(g, \delta_{G,h}^{-1} m))^{-1} \mu(f) \mu_{g,h,m}^{\text{III}}$ . Then we have  $\mu'(f) = \mu(f)$ , and hence  $|\mu(f) - \mu'(f)| = 0 < \epsilon$ . It ensures  $\mu \in \mu^{\text{I}} + \mu^{\text{II}} + \mu^{\text{III}}$ . We obtain  $\ker(\varphi_{G,P}) = \mu^{\text{I}} + \mu^{\text{II}} + \mu^{\text{III}}$ .  $\square$

We set  $\text{Ind}_{O_k[[P]]}^{O_k[[G]]}(K_0) := \text{C}_{\text{bd}}(G \times K_0, k)^{\text{D}_a} / (\mu^{\text{I}} + \mu^{\text{II}} + \mu^{\text{III}})$ . By Proposition 5.1, we obtain the following:

**Theorem 5.2.** *The continuous surjective  $O_k$ -linear homomorphism  $\varphi_{G,P}$  induces a homeomorphic  $O_k$ -linear isomorphism*

$$\text{Ind}_{O_k[[P]]}^{O_k[[G]]}(K_0) \rightarrow \text{Ind}_P^G(K_0^{\mathcal{D}_c})^{\mathcal{D}_a}.$$

We equip  $\text{Ind}_{O_k[[P]]}^{O_k[[G]]}(K_0)$  with a CHFLT  $O_k[[G]]$ -module structure by pulling back that of  $\text{Ind}_P^G(K_0^{\mathcal{D}_c})^{\mathcal{D}_a}$  by the isomorphism in Theorem 5.2. The correspondence  $K_0 \rightsquigarrow \text{Ind}_{O_k[[P]]}^{O_k[[G]]}(K_0)$  gives an  $O_k$ -linear functor

$$\text{Ind}_{O_k[[P]]}^{O_k[[G]]} : \text{Mod}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{ch}}(O_k[[P]]) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\text{fl}}^{\text{ch}}(O_k[[G]]).$$

By Theorem 4.16 and Theorem 5.2, we obtain the following:

**Corollary 5.3.** *There is a natural equivalence  $\text{Ind}_P^G \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_c \circ \text{Ind}_{O_k[[P]]}^{O_k[[G]]} \circ \mathcal{D}_d$ .*

**5.2 Continuous parabolic inductions** As an application of Corollary 5.3, we compute the continuous parabolic induction. For this purpose,

we give a more practical description of  $\text{Ind}_{O_k[[P]]}^{O_k[[G]]}$ . To begin with, we prepare a compact complete representative  $C \subset G$  of  $P \backslash G$ . We denote by  $\Sigma$  the set of open subsets  $U \subset P \backslash G$  admitting a continuous section  $U \hookrightarrow G$  of the canonical projection  $G \twoheadrightarrow P \backslash G$ . Take an open profinite subgroup  $G_0 \subset G$ . Since  $G$  is a topological group, the canonical projection  $G \twoheadrightarrow P \backslash G$  is an open map. Therefore the image  $\overline{G_0 g} \subset P \backslash G$  of  $G_0 g$  is an open subset, and the map  $G_0 \hookrightarrow G$ ,  $h \mapsto hg$  induces a homeomorphism  $(P \cap G_0) \backslash G_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{G_0 g}$  for any  $g \in G$ . It implies that  $\Sigma$  forms an open covering of  $P \backslash G$  by [9] Theorem 2. Take a  $\Sigma_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{<\omega}(\Sigma)$  satisfying  $P \backslash G = \bigsqcup_{U \in \Sigma_0} U$ . Gluing continuous sections on each  $U \in \Sigma_0$ , we obtain a continuous section  $P \backslash G \hookrightarrow G$ , whose image forms a compact subset  $C \subset G$  such that the multiplication  $P \times C \rightarrow G$  is a continuous bijective map. Conversely, let  $C \subset G$  be an arbitrary compact subset such that the multiplication  $P \times C \rightarrow G$  is a continuous bijective map. As is mentioned in Example 3.22 (iii), the multiplication  $P \times C \rightarrow G$  is a homeomorphism, and induces a homeomorphic  $O_k$ -linear isomorphism  $O_k[[P \times C]] \rightarrow O_k[[G]]$ . We denote by  $\pi_0: G \twoheadrightarrow P$  (respectively,  $\pi_1: G \twoheadrightarrow C$ ) the composite of the inverse  $G \rightarrow P \times C$  of the multiplication and the canonical projection  $P \times C \twoheadrightarrow P$  (respectively,  $P \times C \twoheadrightarrow P$ ). As a result,  $C$  is obtained as the image of the continuous section  $P \backslash G \hookrightarrow G$  induced by  $\pi_1$ .

Let  $F$  be a local field,  $\mathbb{G}$  an algebraic group over  $\text{Spec}(F)$ , and  $\mathbb{P} \subset \mathbb{G}$  a parabolic subgroup. Then  $\mathbb{G}(F)$  forms a locally profinite group with respect to the topology induced by the valuation of  $F$ , and  $\mathbb{P}(F)$  is naturally identified with a closed subgroup of  $\mathbb{G}(F)$ . Since  $\mathbb{P} \backslash \mathbb{G}$  forms a proper algebraic variety over  $\text{Spec}(F)$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(F) \backslash \mathbb{G}(F)$  forms a totally disconnected compact Hausdorff topological space. Henceforth, we consider the case  $G = \mathbb{G}(F)$  and  $P = \mathbb{P}(F)$ .

Let  $(V_0, \rho_0) \in \text{ob}(\text{Rep}_P(\text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k)))$ . We consider the composite  $r_{C, V_0}: \text{Ind}_P^G(V_0) \rightarrow \text{C}(C, V_0)$  of the inclusion  $\text{Ind}_P^G(V_0) \hookrightarrow \text{C}_{\text{bd}}(G, V_0)$  and the restriction map  $\text{C}_{\text{bd}}(G, V_0) \rightarrow \text{C}(C, V_0)$ . Then  $r_{C, V_0}$  is injective by the conditions (III) in §5.1 and  $PC = G$ . The quotient norm on the source of  $r_{C, V_0}$  coincides with the norm restricted to the image of  $r_{C, V_0}$  because  $P$  acts isometrically on  $V_0$ . Therefore  $r_{C, V_0}$  is isometric. For any  $f \in \text{C}(C, V_0)$ , the map  $\tilde{f}: G \rightarrow V_0$ ,  $g \mapsto \rho_0(\pi_0(g), (f \circ \pi_1(g)))$  lies in  $\text{Ind}_P^G(K_0)$ . We obtain an isometric section  $\text{C}(C, V_0) \rightarrow \text{Ind}_P^G(V_0)$ ,  $f \mapsto \tilde{f}$ , and hence  $r_{C, V_0}$  is an isomorphism in  $\text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k)$ . Pulling back  $\text{Ind}_P^G(\rho_0)$  by  $r_{C, V_0}$  and the isomorphism

$C(C, k) \hat{\otimes}_k V_0 \rightarrow C(C, V_0)$  in  $\text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k)$  introduced in Proposition 3.13, we equip  $C(C, k) \hat{\otimes}_k V_0$  with a continuous action  $C \hat{\otimes}_k \rho_0$  of  $G$ . By Theorem 5.2, we obtain an isomorphism  $\text{Ind}_{O_k[[P]]}^{O_k[[G]]}((V_0, \rho_0)^{\mathcal{D}^d}) \rightarrow (C(C, k) \hat{\otimes}_k V_0, C \hat{\otimes}_k \rho_0)^{\mathcal{D}^d}$  in  $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\text{ch}}(O_k[[G]])$ . By Proposition 2.15 and [7] Theorem 2.2, we have a natural isomorphism  $O_k[[C]] \hat{\otimes}_{O_k} V_0^{\text{D}^c} \rightarrow (C(C, k) \hat{\otimes}_k V_0)^{\text{D}^d}$  in  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\text{ch}}$ . Pulling back the scalar multiplication of  $O_k[[G]]$  on  $(C(C, k) \hat{\otimes}_k V_0, C \hat{\otimes}_k \rho_0)^{\mathcal{D}^d}$ , we regard  $O_k[[C]] \hat{\otimes}_{O_k} V_0^{\text{D}^c}$  as a CHFLT  $O_k[[G]]$ -module. By Theorem 4.16, we obtain the following:

**Theorem 5.4.** *The continuous parabolic induction  $\text{Ind}_P^G(V_0, \rho_0)$  admits a natural isomorphism to  $(O_k[[C]] \hat{\otimes}_{O_k} V_0^{\text{D}^c})^{\mathcal{D}^c}$  in  $\text{Rep}_G(\text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k))$ .*

The induced action of  $O_k[[G]]$  on  $(O_k[[C]] \hat{\otimes}_{O_k} V_0^{\text{D}^c})^{\mathcal{D}^c}$  is a little complicated, but this presentation enable us to describe the deformation of  $\text{Ind}_P^G(V_0, \rho)$  associated to a deformation of  $\rho_0$  as a deformation of actions of  $G$  on a single Banach  $k$ -vector space  $(O_k[[C]] \hat{\otimes}_{O_k} V_0^{\text{D}^c})^{\text{D}^c}$ .

**Example 5.5.** Let  $n \in \omega$ . We denote by  $B_n^+(k) \subset \text{GL}_n(k)$  the Borel subgroup consisting of upper triangular invertible matrices, by  $C_n^- \subset \text{GL}_n(k)$  the compact subset consisting of lower triangular invertible matrix whose entries are contained in  $O_k$  and whose diagonals are 1, and by  $\mathcal{S}_n \subset \text{GL}_n(k)$  the finite subgroup consisting of permutations of the canonical basis. By the *LUP*-decomposition,  $\text{GL}_n(k)$  is expressed as the product  $B_n^+(k)C_n^-\mathcal{S}_n$ , and the multiplication  $B_n^+(k) \times C_n^-\mathcal{S}_n \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(k)$  is bijective. Therefore for a  $(V_0, \rho) \in \text{ob}(\text{Rep}_{B_n^+(k)}(\text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k)))$ , we have a natural isomorphism  $\text{Ind}_{rB_n^+}^{\text{GL}_n(k)}(V_0, \rho_0) \rightarrow (O_k[[C_n^-\mathcal{S}_n]] \hat{\otimes}_{O_k} V_0^{\text{D}^c})^{\mathcal{D}^c}$  in  $\text{Rep}_{\text{GL}_n(k)}(\text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k))$  by the argument above, and also a natural isomorphism  $(O_k[[C_n^-\mathcal{S}_n]] \hat{\otimes}_{O_k} V_0^{\text{D}^c})^{\mathcal{D}^c} \rightarrow (((O_k[[C_n^-]] \hat{\otimes}_{O_k} K_0))^{\text{D}^c})^{\mathcal{S}_n}$  in  $\text{Ban}_{\leq}^{\text{ur}}(k)$ .

## Acknowledgement

I am extremely grateful to Takeshi Tsuji for constructive advices in seminars. I express my deep gratitude to Atsushi Yamashita for instructing me on topological groups. I am profoundly thankful to Takuma Hayashi and Frédéric Paugum for instructing me on the elementary categorical convention. I thank my colleague for daily discussions. I greatly appreciate my

family's deep affection. I was a research fellow of Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. I am also thankful to the referee for the careful reading and the helpful comments. This work was supported by the Program for Leading Graduate Schools, MEXT, Japan.

## References

- [1] Berkovich, V.G., "Spectral Theory and Analytic Geometry over non-Archimedean Fields", Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 33, Amer. Math. Soc. 1990.
- [2] Bosch, S., Güntzer, U., and Remmert, R., "Non-Archimedean Analysis: A Systematic Approach to Rigid Analytic Geometry", Springer, 1984.
- [3] Engelking, R., "General Topology", Polish Scientific Publishers, 1977.
- [4] Frank, D.L., *A totally bounded, complete uniform space is compact*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (1965), p. 514.
- [5] Ingleton, A.W., *The Hahn-Banach theorem for non-Archimedean valued fields*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 48(1) (1952), 41-45.
- [6] Mihara, T., *Hahn-Banach theorem and duality theory on non-Archimedean locally convex spaces*, J. Convex Anal. 24(2) (2017), 587-619.
- [7] Mihara, T., *Duality theory of  $p$ -adic Hopf algebras*, Categ. General Algebraic Struct. Appl. 14(1) (2020), 81-117.
- [8] Monna, A.F., "Analyse Non-Archimédienne", Springer, 1970.
- [9] Mostert, P.S., *Local cross sections in locally compact groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 4(4) (1953), 645-649.
- [10] Schikhof, W.H., *A perfect duality between  $p$ -adic Banach spaces and compactoids*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) 6(3) (1995), 325-339.
- [11] Schneider, P., "Non-Archimedean Functional Analysis", Springer, 2002.
- [12] Demazure, M. and Grothendieck, A., "Seminaire de Geometrie Algebrique du Bois Marie - 1962-64 - Schemas en groupes - SGA3 - Tome 1", Springer, 1970.
- [13] Schneider, P. and Teitelbaum, J., *Banach space representations and Iwasawa theory*, Israel J. Math. 127(1) (2002), 359-380.

**Tomoki Mihara** *Tomoki Mihara, Division of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tenn-  
odai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8577, Japan*  
*Email: mihara@math.tsukuba.ac.jp*

