



Constructing the Banaschewski compactification through the functionally countable subalgebra of $C(X)$

Mehdi Parsinia

Abstract. Let X be a zero-dimensional space and $C_c(X)$ denote the functionally countable subalgebra of $C(X)$. It is well known that $\beta_0 X$ (the Banaschewski compactification of X) is a quotient space of βX . In this article, we investigate a construction of $\beta_0 X$ via βX by using $C_c(X)$ which determines the quotient space of βX homeomorphic to $\beta_0 X$. Moreover, the construction of $\nu_0 X$ via $\nu_{C_c} X$ (the subspace $\{p \in \beta X : \forall f \in C_c(X), f^*(p) < \infty\}$ of βX) is also investigated.

1 Introduction

Throughout this article all topological spaces are assumed to be zero-dimensional (that is, are Hausdorff and contain a base of clopen sets). For a given topological space X , $C(X)$ denotes the algebra of all real-valued continuous functions on X , and $C^*(X)$ denotes the subalgebra of $C(X)$ con-

Keywords: Zero-dimensional space, functionally countable subalgebra, Stone-Čech compactification, Banaschewski compactification.

Mathematics Subject Classification [2010]: 54C30, 46E25.

Received: 6 October 2019, Accepted: 21 December 2019.

ISSN: Print 2345-5853, Online 2345-5861.

© Shahid Beheshti University

sisting of all bounded elements. Also, as we recalled earlier, $C_c(X)$ denotes the subalgebra of $C(X)$ consisting of functions with countable image. It should be emphasized that this subalgebra is first introduced in [9] and later studied in various papers such as [4], [6], [10] and [12]. The reader is referred to [11] and [9] for undefined terms and notations concerning $C(X)$ and $C_c(X)$, respectively. In [9, Theorem 4.6], it is proved that when we are dealing with $C_c(X)$, where X is any space (not necessarily even completely regular) we may take X to be zero-dimensional, by showing that, there always exists a zero-dimensional space Y which is a continuous image of X and $C_c(X) \cong C_c(Y)$. This also means that the topological property of zero-dimensionality is an algebraic property (in the sense that if for any space X , $C(X) \cong C(Y)$, where Y is any space, then X is zero-dimensional, see also [10]). It is well-known that every zero-dimensional space X has a zero-dimensional compactification, which is called the Banaschewski compactification of X and is denoted by $\beta_0 X$, such that every continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$, where Y is a zero-dimensional compact space, has an extension to a continuous map $F : \beta_0 X \rightarrow Y$. It is shown in [21] that $\beta X = \beta_0 X$ if and only if X is a strong zero-dimensional space. Note that a Tychonoff space X is called strongly zero-dimensional if every two disjoint zero-sets in X are separated by disjoint clopen sets. It is evident that every strongly zero-dimensional space is zero-dimensional. However, the converse of this fact is not true, in general, see [24, 3.39] or [14, Example 2]. A topological space X is called \mathbb{N} -compact if it can be embedded as a closed subset in the product space \mathbb{N}^κ , for some cardinal number κ . \mathbb{N} -compact spaces were first introduced by S. Mrowka in [13]. It is well-known that, for every zero-dimensional space X , there exists an \mathbb{N} -compact space $v_0 X$ such that X is dense in it and every continuous function $f : X \rightarrow Y$, with Y an \mathbb{N} -compact space, has a unique extension $F : v_0 X \rightarrow Y$. It is shown in [21, 4.7] that the structure of $\beta_0 X$ is related to the clopen ultrafilters defined on X . Also, in [21, Exercise 5E], an outline for recovering $v_0 X$ as a subspace of all clopen ultrafilters on X which have countable intersection property is given. Therefore we have $X \subseteq v_0 X \subseteq \beta_0 X$. Note that, by a clopen ultrafilter, we mean an ultrafilter of the Boolean algebra of clopen subsets of X . The authors in [4] have used $\beta_0 X$ for studying $C_c(X)$. In particular in [4, Remark 3.6], they have shown that the structure space of $C_c(X)$ (that is, the space of maximal ideals of $C_c(X)$) equipped with the

hull-kernel topology) is homeomorphic to $\beta_0 X$. In Theorem 4.2 of the same paper, maximal ideals of $C_c(X)$ are also characterized via $\beta_0 X$.

The aim of the present paper is to investigate a construction of $\beta_0 X$ and $v_0 X$ via βX and $v_{C_c} X (= \{p \in \beta X : \forall f \in C_c(X) : f^*(p) < \infty\})$ by using $C_c(X)$ and establishing an outline for recovering these spaces as the spaces of all the z_c -ultrafilters on X and all the z_c -ultrafilters with the countable intersection property, respectively. This paper consists of three sections. In Section 2, using $C_c(X)$, we define a topology on βX and the induced space is denoted by $\beta_c X$. Also, the equivalence relation \sim_c is defined on $\beta_c X$. It is shown that $\beta_0 X$ is homeomorphic to the quotient space $\frac{\beta_c X}{\sim_c}$ and the latter space is homeomorphic to the structure space of $C_c(X)$. It follows that $\beta_0 X$ is homeomorphic to the quotient space $\frac{\beta X}{\sim_c}$ of βX . Using these, a different approach to some basic results of [4] follows. In Section 3, the subspace $v_{C_c} X$ of βX is considered. We use $v_c X$ to denote $v_{C_c} X$ as a subspace of $\beta_c X$. It is proved that $\frac{v_c X}{\sim_c}$ is homeomorphic to the structure space of real maximal ideals of $C_c(X)$. Moreover, z_c -ultrafilters on X are characterized.

2 $\beta_0 X$ as a quotient of βX by using $C_c(X)$

We recall that an ideal I of a subring R of $C(X)$ is called a z -ideal if whenever $f \in I$, then $M_f(R) \subseteq I$, in which $M_f(R)$ denotes the intersection of all the maximal ideals of R containing f . It is well-known that an ideal I in $C(X)$ is a z -ideal if and only if $g \in I$ whenever $f \in I$, $g \in C(X)$ and $Z(f) \subseteq Z(g)$. Moreover, an ideal I of a subring R of $C(X)$ is called a z_R -ideal if $Z(f) \subseteq Z(g)$, $f \in I$ and $g \in R$ imply that $g \in I$. Evidently, z_C -ideals of $C(X)$ coincide with z -ideals. However, the same fact does not hold for subrings of $C(X)$, especially, for subrings containing $C^*(X)$, see [5, Theorem 2.2]. Following [2], we call a subring R of $C(X)$ an invertible subring, if f is a unit of R whenever $f \in R$ and $Z(f) = \emptyset$. It has been shown in [2, Proposition 3.7] that in an invertible subalgebra R of $C(X)$, the two notions of z -ideals and z_R -ideals coincide. From [2, Proposition 3.7] and [3, Proposition 4.2] it follows that every maximal ideal of an invertible subring R is of the form $M^p \cap R$ for some $p \in \beta X$. Also, by [9, Remarks 2.2], $C_c(X)$ is an invertible subalgebra of $C(X)$. Thus, z -ideals of $C_c(X)$ coincide with z_{C_c} -ideals, and every maximal ideal in $C_c(X)$ is of the form

$M_c^p = M^p \cap C_c(X)$ for some $p \in \beta X$. Moreover, an ideal J in $C_c(X)$ is a z -ideal if and only if it is a contraction of a z -ideal of $C(X)$ [4, Proposition 4.3. (a)].

Let $Z_c(X)$ denote the collection of all zero-sets of elements of $C_c(X)$. It is easy to observe that the collection $\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z_c(X) = \{\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z : Z \in Z_c(X)\}$ constitutes a base for the closed sets of a topology on βX which we denote by τ_c . We denote by $\beta_c X$ the topological space $(\beta X, \tau_c)$ and by τ the usual topology on βX . Evidently, $\tau_c \subseteq \tau$ and the containment may be proper, see Example 2.4 in below. As $\tau_c \subseteq \tau$, $\beta_c X$ is compact. Moreover, as X is a zero-dimensional space, X is dense in $\beta_c X$, and $\beta_c X = \beta X$ if and only if X is strongly zero-dimensional. Thus, whenever X is not strongly zero-dimensional, $\beta_c X$ is not Hausdorff. Now, define the relation \sim_c on $\beta_c X$ as follows: for $p, q \in \beta_c X$, $p \sim_c q$ if and only if $M_c^p = M_c^q$. It easily follows that \sim_c is an equivalence relation on $\beta_c X$ which does not identify points of X due to zero-dimensionality of X . We use $[p]$ to denote the equivalence class of $p \in \beta_c X$ and $[\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)]$ to denote the set $\{[p] : p \in \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)\}$ for each $f \in C_c(X)$. It is easy to prove that each equivalence class $[p]$ is a connected subset of βX and the equivalence relation \sim_c separates βX into connected components. The next lemma gives some connections between βX , $\beta_c X$, and $\frac{\beta_c X}{\sim_c}$.

Lemma 2.1. *The following statements hold for each $f, g \in C_c(X)$.*

- (i) $\text{cl}_{\beta_c X} Z(f) = \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)$.
- (ii) For each $p \in \beta_c X$, $p \in \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)$ if and only if $[p] \subseteq \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)$, if and only if $[p] \in [\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)]$.
- (iii) $\text{cl}_{\beta_c X} Z(f) \cap \text{cl}_{\beta_c X} Z(g) = \emptyset$ if and only if $[\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)] \cap [\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(g)] = \emptyset$.
- (iv) $[\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f) \cap \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(g)] = [\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)] \cap [\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(g)]$.
- (v) U is a clopen set in X if and only if $\text{cl}_{\beta_c X} U$ is clopen in $\beta_c X$.

Proof. (i) As $\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)$ is a closed set in $\beta_c X$, we have

$$\text{cl}_{\beta_c X} Z(f) \subseteq \text{cl}_{\beta_c X} \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f) = \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f).$$

The reverse inclusion is also clear, since $\tau_c \subseteq \tau$.

(ii) Evident.

(iii) This is clear by (2).

(iv) Let $[p] \notin [\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f) \cap \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(g)]$. Thus, there exists $q \in [p]$ such that $q \notin \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f) \cap \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(g)$. Let for example $q \notin \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)$. Hence, there

exists $h \in C_c(X)$ such that $q \in \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(h)$ and $\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f) \cap \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(h) = \emptyset$. It follows that $[p] \in [\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(h)]$ and $[\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)] \cap [\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(h)] = \emptyset$. This clearly implies that $[p] \notin [\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)] \cap [\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(g)]$; that is, $[\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f) \cap \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(g)] \subseteq [\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)] \cap [\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(g)]$. The reverse inclusion is evident and thus the equality follows.

(v) Let U be a clopen set in X . Clearly, $U = Z(g)$, where g is the characteristic function of U . Thus, there exists $h \in C_c(X)$ such that $Z(g) \cap Z(h) = \emptyset$ and $Z(g) \cup Z(h) = X$. It follows that $\text{cl}_{\beta_c X} Z(g) \cup \text{cl}_{\beta_c X} Z(h) = \beta_c X$. Also, if $p \in \text{cl}_{\beta_c X} Z(g)$, then $p \in \beta_c X \setminus \text{cl}_{\beta_c X} Z(h) \subseteq \text{cl}_{\beta_c X} Z(g)$, which means $p \in \text{int}_{\beta_c X} \text{cl}_{\beta_c X} Z(g)$. Thus, $\text{cl}_{\beta_c X} Z(g)$ is a clopen set. The converse is evident, as X is zero-dimensional. \square

By the next example, we investigate the construction of a topological space X for which $\beta_c X \neq \beta X$ from which it follows that τ_c may be properly contained in τ .

Example 2.2. Let M be the space introduced by Dowker example in [24, 3.39]. We claim that $\beta_c M$ is not a Hausdorff space, which means that τ_c is properly contained in τ . As stated in [24, 3.39], $\{\omega_1\} \times I \subseteq \beta M$ in which ω_1 denotes the first uncountable ordinal and I denotes the unit interval $[0, 1]$ in \mathbb{R} . We show that the two points $(\omega_1, 0)$ and $(\omega_1, 1)$ could not be separated by elements of τ_c . Assume, on the contrary, that there exists $f \in C_c(M)$ such that $(\omega_1, 0) \in \text{cl}_{\beta M} Z(f)$ and $(\omega_1, 1) \notin \text{cl}_{\beta M} Z(f)$. As $(\omega_1, 1) \notin \text{cl}_{\beta M} Z(f)$, there exists some $g \in C_c(M)$ such that $(\omega_1, 1) \in \text{cl}_{\beta M} Z(g)$ and $\text{cl}_{\beta M} Z(f) \cap \text{cl}_{\beta M} Z(g) = \emptyset$. It follows that $Z(f) \cap Z(g) = \emptyset$ and hence, there exists $h \in C_c(M)$ such that $Z(f) = Z(h)$ and $Z(g) = h^{-1}(1)$. Let $0 < r < 1$ be such that $r \notin h(M)$. It follows that $U = h^{-1}((-\infty, r))$ is a clopen set in M such that $Z(f) \subseteq U$ and $Z(g) \subseteq M \setminus U$. By part (5) of Lemma 2.2, $\text{cl}_{\beta X} U$ is a clopen set in $\beta_c M$. Moreover, $(\omega_1, 0) \in \text{cl}_{\beta M} Z(f) \subseteq \text{cl}_{\beta M} U$ and $(\omega_1, 1) \notin \text{cl}_{\beta X} U$ and this clearly contradicts the connectedness of $\{\omega_1\} \times I$.

The next statement, which is the main result of this section, investigates the construction of $\beta_0 X$ via βX and the relation \sim_c which leads to the existence of a homeomorphism between $\beta_0 X$ and the quotient space $\frac{\beta X}{\sim_c}$ of βX . Recall that, whenever X is a dense subspace of a space Y , then X is said to be 2-embedded in Y if each continuous two-valued function $f : X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ has a continuous extension $F : Y \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$.

Theorem 2.3. *For any zero-dimensional space X , $\beta_0 X$ is homeomorphic to the quotient space $\frac{\beta_c X}{\sim_c}$ of βX .*

Proof. We first show that $\beta_0 X$ is homeomorphic to $\frac{\beta_c X}{\sim_c}$ and then show that the latter space is homeomorphic to $\frac{\beta X}{\sim_c}$. It is easy to prove that $\frac{\beta_c X}{\sim_c}$ is a compact zero-dimensional space. Thus, for showing that $\frac{\beta_c X}{\sim_c}$ is homeomorphic to $\beta_0 X$, by [21, 4.7 (e)], it suffices to show that X is 2-embedded in $\frac{\beta_c X}{\sim_c}$. Let $f : X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ be a continuous function. As $\{1, 2\}$ is a compact space, f has a continuous extension $F : \beta X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$. It follows that $Z(F) \cap X = Z(f)$ and, as $Z(F) = F^{-1}(0)$ is a clopen set in βX , we have $\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f) = Z(F)$. Let $g : \frac{\beta_c X}{\sim_c} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ be defined by $g([p]) = F(p)$. We show that g is a continuous extension of f . It is clear that $g|_X = f$, since, $[p] = \{p\}$ for each $p \in X$. We claim that $F(p) = F(q)$ for each $p, q \in \beta_c X$ with $p \sim_c q$. Let $p, q \in \beta_c X$ and $p \sim_c q$. As we could consider f as an element of $C_c(X)$, thus $p, q \in \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f) = Z(f)$ or $p, q \notin \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f) = Z(F)$, which in any case implies that $F(p) = F(q)$. This means that g is well-defined. Moreover, $g^{-1}(0) = \{[p] : F(p) = 0\} = [Z(F)] = [\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)]$, which is clearly a clopen set in $\frac{\beta_c X}{\sim_c}$, which implies the continuity of g . Now, let $i : \beta X \rightarrow \beta_c X$ be the identity mapping. As $\tau_c \subseteq \tau$, the mapping i is continuous. It follows that the induced mapping $\hat{i} : \frac{\beta X}{\sim_c} \rightarrow \frac{\beta_c X}{\sim_c}$ is continuous. Hence, \hat{i} is a continuous bijection from the compact space $\frac{\beta X}{\sim_c}$ to the Hausdorff space $\frac{\beta_c X}{\sim_c}$, which implies that \hat{i} is a homeomorphism. \square

It evidently follows from Lemma 3.12 that maximal ideals of $C_c(X)$ are precisely the ideals $\{f \in C_c(X) : [p] \subseteq \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)\}$, for $p \in \beta X$, which we denote by $M_c^{[p]}$ for each $p \in \beta X$. Thus, the mapping $\varphi : \frac{\beta_c X}{\sim_c} \rightarrow \text{Max}(C_c(X))$ defined by $\varphi([p]) = M_c^{[p]}$ is a homeomorphism and thus the structure space of $C_c(X)$ is homeomorphic to $\beta_0 X$.

Let ξ be the homeomorphism from $\frac{\beta_c X}{\sim_c}$ onto $\beta_0 X$ whose existence just proved in the proof of Theorem 3.4. The next proposition shows that ξ preserves closures.

Proposition 2.4. *Let X be a zero-dimensional space. Then we would have $\xi([\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)]) = \text{cl}_{\beta_0 X} Z(f)$ for each $f \in C_c(X)$.*

Proof. Let $f \in C_c(X)$ be given. It is clear that $Z(f) \subseteq \xi([\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)])$ and thus $\text{cl}_{\beta_0 X} Z(f) \subseteq \xi([\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)])$, since $\xi([\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)])$ is a closed set in $\beta_0 X$.

Now, let $\xi([p]) \notin \text{cl}_{\beta_0 X} Z(f)$. Thus, there exists $g \in C_c(X)$ such that $\xi([p]) \in \text{cl}_{\beta_0 X} Z(g)$ and $\text{cl}_{\beta_0 X} Z(f) \cap \text{cl}_{\beta_0 X} Z(g) = \emptyset$. It follows that $Z(f) \cap Z(g) = \emptyset$ and hence $\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f) \cap \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(g) = \emptyset$. As $f, g \in C_c(X)$, we have $[\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)] \cap [\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(g)] = \emptyset$. But $[p] \in [\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(g)]$, since, as $\text{cl}_{\beta_0 X} Z(g) \subseteq \xi([\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(g)])$, we have $\xi^{-1}(\text{cl}_{\beta_0 X} Z(g)) \subseteq [\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(g)]$. Therefore, $[p] \notin [\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)]$, which implies that $\xi([p]) \notin \xi([\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)])$; that is, $\xi([\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)]) \subseteq \text{cl}_{\beta_0 X} Z(f)$ and thus the equality follows. \square

Corollary 2.5. [4, Theorem 4.2] *Maximal ideals of $C_c(X)$ are precisely the ideals $M_c^p = \{f \in C_c(X) : p \in \text{cl}_{\beta_0 X} Z(f)\}$ for $p \in \beta_0 X$.*

Corollary 2.6. [16, Lemma 2.1] *For each $f, g \in C_c(X)$, we have $\text{cl}_{\beta_0 X}(Z(f) \cap Z(g)) = \text{cl}_{\beta_0 X} Z(f) \cap \text{cl}_{\beta_0 X} Z(g)$.*

The ideals $O_c^p = \{f \in C_c(X) : p \in \text{int}_{\beta_0 X} \text{cl}_{\beta_0 X} Z(f)\}$ for $p \in \beta_0 X$ are introduced and studied in [4] as a model for the ideals $O^p = \{f \in C(X) : p \in \text{int}_{\beta X} \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)\}$. It could be easily proved that, for each $f \in C_c(X)$ and each $p \in \beta X$, we have $p \in \text{int}_{\beta_c X} \text{cl}_{\beta_c X} Z(f)$ if and only if $\xi([p]) \in \text{int}_{\beta_0 X} \text{cl}_{\beta_0 X} Z(f)$. It follows that $O_c^{\xi([p])} = \{f \in C_c(X) : p \in \text{int}_{\beta_c X} \text{cl}_{\beta_c X} Z(f)\}$ for each $p \in \beta X$. From this fact, a different approach to [4, Lemma 4.11 and Remark 4.12] follows.

3 Constructing $v_0 X$ via $C_c(X)$

We recall that a maximal ideal M in a subring R of $C(X)$ is said to be a real-maximal ideal, if $\frac{R}{M}$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{R} . Whenever the residue class field $\frac{R}{M}$ properly contains a copy of \mathbb{R} , then M is called a hyper-real. It is well-known that a maximal ideal M^p in $C(X)$ is real if and only if $p \in vX$. For a subset $A(X)$ of $C(X)$, we set $v_A X = \{p \in \beta X : \forall f \in A, f^*(p) < \infty\}$. It follows from [1, Theorem 1.6] that whenever $A(X)$ is a subring of $C(X)$ containing $C^*(X)$, then the structure space of real maximal ideals of $A(X)$ is homeomorphic to $v_A X$. However, the same statement does not hold for arbitrary subrings of $C(X)$, in general. For example, consider the subring $M^p + \mathbb{R}$, where $p \in \beta \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, of $C(\mathbb{R})$. By [22, Remark 1.8], the structure space of real maximal ideals of $M^p + \mathbb{R}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\alpha\}$, where neighborhoods of α are of the form $U \cup \{\alpha\}$ in which U is an open subset of \mathbb{R} . Also, by [18, Proposition 4.7], $v_{M^p + \mathbb{R}} X = \mathbb{R} \cup \{p\}$, which

clearly is not homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\alpha\}$. It is clear that $v_{C^*(X)}X = \beta X$ and $v_{C(X)}X = vX$. Also, $vX \subseteq v_AX \subseteq \beta X$ for each $A(X) \subseteq C(X)$ and thus $\beta(v_AX) = \beta X$. Moreover, by [11, 8B.3], a subset K of βX is a realcompactification of X (that is, a realcompact space containing X as a dense subspace) if and only if $K = v_AX$ for some subset $A(X)$ of $C(X)$. The next statement investigates the relation between real maximal ideals of $C_c(X)$ and the space $v_{C_c}X$.

Proposition 3.1. *For a zero-dimensional space X , the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) $p \in v_{C_c}X$.
- (ii) M_c^p is a real maximal ideal in $C_c(X)$.
- (iii) \mathcal{U}_c^p is closed under countable intersection.
- (iv) \mathcal{U}_c^p has the countable intersection property.

Proof. We only prove the equivalence of (i) and (ii). The equivalence of other parts follows from [12, Proposition 2.15].

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) If M_c^p is a hyper-real maximal ideal in $C_c(X)$, then there exists $f \in C_c(X)$ such that $|M_c^p(f)|$ is infinitely large. Hence, $|M_c^p(|f| - n)| \geq 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $Z_n \in Z[M_c^p]$ such that $|f| - n$ is non-negative on Z_n . Evidently, $p \in \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z_n$ which implies that there exists a net $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ in Z_n such that $x_\lambda \rightarrow p$. Also, $f^*(x_\lambda) \rightarrow f^*(p)$. Clearly, $f^*(x_\lambda) = f(x_\lambda)$ and thus $|f^*(x_\lambda)| \geq n$ for each $x_\lambda \in Z_n$. It follows that $|f^*(p)| \geq n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and therefore $f^*(p) = \infty$, which contradicts the hypothesis.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Let $f^*(p) = \infty$, for some $f \in C_c(X)$. We show that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z_n$, where $Z_n = \{x \in X : |f(x)| \geq n\}$. Let U be an open set in βX containing p . Hence, there exists a net $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ in X such that $x_\lambda \rightarrow p$. Thus, there exists $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ such that $x_\lambda \in U$ for each $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$. It follows that $(f^*(x_\lambda))_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ converges to $f^*(p)$. Thus, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\lambda_n \in \Lambda$ such that $f^*(x_\lambda) \in (-\infty, -n) \cup (n, \infty) \cup \{\infty\}$ for each $\lambda \geq \lambda_n$. As $f^*(x_\lambda) = f(x_\lambda)$, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $|f(x_\lambda)| > n$, for $\lambda \geq \lambda_n$. Choose some $\lambda_2 \in \Lambda$ such that $\lambda_2 \geq \lambda_1, \lambda_2$. Thus, for each $\lambda \geq \lambda_2$ we have $x_\lambda \in U \cap Z_n \neq \emptyset$. Hence, $p \in \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z_n$. Now, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $h_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $h_n(x) = (|f(x)| - n) \wedge 0$. Evidently, $h_n \in C_c(X)$ and $Z(h_n) = Z_n$. As $|f| - n$ is non-negative on Z_n , we have $M_c^p(|f| - n) \geq 0$. This means that $M_c^p(f) \geq n$ and thus $|M_c^p(f)|$ is infinitely large. This implies that M_c^p is hyper-real. \square

Note that a topological space X is said to be c -realcompact (in the sense of [12]), if every real maximal ideal in $C_c(X)$ is fixed. By Proposition 3.1, X is c -realcompact if and only if $v_{C_c}X = X$. Evidently, every c -realcompact space is realcompact. Moreover, $v_{C_c}X \subseteq v_{C_c}(v_{C_c}X) \subseteq \beta X$, since, if $p \in v_{C_c}X$, then for each $f \in C_c(v_{C_c}X)$ we have $f|_X \in C_c(X)$ and thus $f^*(p) < \infty$, which means $p \in v_{C_c}(v_{C_c}X)$. Also, $v_{C_c}X$ is the largest subspace of βX for which elements of $C_c(X)$ could be extended continuously; that is, $v_{C_c}(v_{C_c}X) \subseteq v_{C_c}X$. Therefore, $v_{C_c}X = v_{C_c}(v_{C_c}X)$, which means that $v_{C_c}X$ is a c -realcompact space. However, $v_{C_c}X$ may not be the smallest c -realcompact space in which X is embedded. We next investigate the construction of the smallest c -realcompact space in which X is dense. We use v_cX to denote $v_{C_c}X$ as a subspace of β_cX . It clearly follows that $\{cl_{v_cX}Z(f) : f \in C_c(X)\}$ constitutes a base for the closed sets of v_cX . We denote by $Max_r(C_c(X))$, the space of real maximal ideals of $C_c(X)$ endowed with the hull-kernel topology. We need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let X be a zero-dimensional space. Then for each $f \in C_c(X)$, we have $cl_{v_cX}Z(f) = Z(f^{v_{C_c}})$.*

Proof. Let $p \notin cl_{v_cX}Z(f)$. Thus, there exists some $g \in C_c(X)$ such that $p \in cl_{v_cX}Z(g)$ and $cl_{v_cX}Z(f) \cap cl_{v_cX}Z(g) = \emptyset$ and hence $Z(f) \cap Z(g) = \emptyset$. Therefore, there exists $h \in C_c(X)$ such that $(f^2 + g^2)h = 1$. It follows that $((f^2 + g^2)h)^{v_{C_c}}(p) = (f^2h)^{v_{C_c}}(p) = 1$. Hence, $p \notin Z(f^{v_{C_c}})$ and thus $Z(f^{v_{C_c}}) \subseteq cl_{v_cX}Z(f)$. The reverse inclusion is evident. \square

From Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, it follows that the mapping $\psi : \frac{v_cX}{\sim_c} \longrightarrow Max_r(C_c(X))$ defined by $\psi([p]) = M_c^{[p]}$ is a homeomorphism.

Remark 3.3. We denote by \mathcal{U}^p the unique z -ultrafilter $\{Z \in Z(X) : p \in cl_{\beta X}Z\}$ on X converging to p , for each $p \in \beta X$, and by $\mathcal{U}_c^{[p]}$ the set $\{Z \in Z_c(X) : [p] \subseteq cl_{\beta X}Z\}$ for each $[p] \in \frac{\beta_cX}{\sim_c}$. Also, the collection of all z_c -ultrafilters on X is denoted by $\mathcal{U}(C_c(X))$. It is straightforward to prove that the mapping $\varphi : \frac{\beta_cX}{\sim_c} \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}(C_c(X))$, defined by $\varphi([p]) = \mathcal{U}^{[p]}$, is a homeomorphism. It follows that β_0X is homeomorphic to $\mathcal{U}(C_c(X))$. This means that β_0X could be recovered as the space of z_c -ultrafilters $\mathcal{U}_c^{[p]}$ on X . Let $B(X)$ denotes the Boolean algebra of all clopen sets of X and $\mathcal{CU}(X)$ denotes the space of all clopen ultrafilters on X equipped with the Stone topology. Moreover, let $\mathcal{CU}_c(X)$ and $\mathcal{CCU}(X)$ denote the subspaces of $\mathcal{U}_c(X)$

and $\mathcal{CU}(X)$ consisting of all the z_c -ultrafilters and all the clopen ultrafilters with the countable intersection property, respectively. One can easily prove that the mapping $\eta : \mathcal{U}_c(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{CU}(X)$ defined by $\eta(\mathcal{U}_c^{[p]}) = \mathcal{U}_c^{[p]} \cap B(X)$ is a homeomorphism and its restriction to $\mathcal{CU}_c(X)$ is a homeomorphism onto $\mathcal{CCU}(X)$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let X be a zero-dimensional space X . Then v_0X is homeomorphic to the quotient space $\frac{v_{C_c}X}{\sim_c}$ of $v_{C_c}X$.*

Proof. For $p \in \beta_0X$, let A^p be the unique clopen ultrafilter converging to p . By Remark 3.4, there exists $[q]_p \in \frac{\beta_cX}{\sim_c}$ such that $A^p = \mathcal{U}_c^{[q]_p} \cap B(X)$. Moreover, for each $t \in v_0X$ there exists $[s]_t \in \frac{v_cX}{\sim_c}$ such that $A^t = \mathcal{U}_c^{[s]_t} \cap B(X)$. It thus follows that the mapping $\lambda : \beta_0X \rightarrow \frac{\beta_cX}{\sim_c}$ defined by $\lambda(p) = [q]_p$ is a homeomorphism and its restriction to v_0X is a homeomorphism onto $\frac{v_cX}{\sim_c}$. Also, from Remark 3.4, it follows that $\hat{i}|_{\frac{v_{C_c}X}{\sim_c}} : \frac{v_{C_c}X}{\sim_c} \rightarrow \frac{v_cX}{\sim_c}$ is a homeomorphism. Thus, the composite of the two mappings $\lambda|_{v_0X}$ and $\hat{i}|_{\frac{v_{C_c}X}{\sim_c}}$ generates a homeomorphism from v_0X onto $\frac{v_{C_c}X}{\sim_c}$. \square

The next statement determines conditions equivalent to coincidence of $v_{C_c}X$ and v_cX .

Proposition 3.5. *For a zero-dimensional space X , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $v_{C_c}X = v_cX$.
- (ii) $v_{C_c}X$ is \mathbb{N} -compact.
- (iii) $v_{C_c}X = v_0X$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) By our hypothesis and the fact that $v_{C_c}X$ is a Hausdorff space, we have $v_{C_c}X = \frac{v_cX}{\sim_c}$ which, by Remark 3.4, implies $v_{C_c}X = v_0X$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) By the hypothesis and the fact X is dense in $v_{C_c}X$, the identity mapping of X to $v_{C_c}X$ has a continuous extension to v_0X and hence, by Remark 3.6, has a continuous extension to $\frac{v_cX}{\sim_c}$. It follows that $v_{C_c}X = v_0X$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) An easy consequence of Remark 3.6. \square

It follows, from Remark 3.6 and [7, Theorem A], that X is c -realcompact if and only if $v_{C_c}X = X$ if and only if $\frac{v_cX}{\sim_c} = X$, if and only if $v_0X = X$ if

and only if X is \mathbb{N} -compact. It is easy to see that Theorem 5.2, Proposition 5.8, Theorem 5.14, and Proposition 5.20 of [12] are consequences of the above mentioned facts and the corollary of [15, Theorem 2].

We recall that a subalgebra $A(X)$ of $C(X)$ is said to be closed under local bounded inversion, briefly, an *LBI*-subalgebra, if for each element f in $A(X)$, which is bounded away from zero on some cozero-set E , there exists $g \in A(X)$ such that $fg|_E = 1$. These subalgebras were first introduced in [23] and further studied in [17]. By the next statement, we show that $C_c^*(X)$ is an *LBI*-subalgebra of $C(X)$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let X be a zero-dimensional space X , then $C_c^*(X)$ is an *LBI*-subalgebra of $C(X)$.*

Proof. Let $f \in C_c^*(X)$ and $f(x) \geq c > 0$ for each $x \in E = \text{Coz}(h)$, where $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is positive, and $h \in C(X)$. It follows that $E \subseteq f^{-1}[c, +\infty)$. As $f \in C_c(X)$, there exists some $0 < c_0 < c$ such that $c_0 \notin f(X)$. Thus, $f^{-1}(-\infty, c_0]$ is a clopen subset of X and $Z(f) \subseteq f^{-1}(-\infty, c_0)$. Let $A = f^{-1}(-\infty, c_0)$ and $B = X \setminus A$. It follows that A and B are closed subsets of X and $E \subseteq B$. We define $g(x) = 0$ for each $x \in A$ and $g(x) = \frac{1}{f(x)}$ for each $x \in B$. It clearly follows that $g \in C_c^*(X)$ and $fg|_E = 1$. \square

Following [20], we set $S_A(f) = \{p \in \beta X : (fg)^*(p) = 0, \forall g \in A(X)\}$ for each element f of a subalgebra $A(X)$ of $C(X)$. It is easy to see that $S_A(fg) = S_A(f) \cup S_A(g)$, $S_A(f^2 + g^2) = S_A(f) \cap S_A(g)$ and $S_A(f^n) = S_A(f)$ for each $f, g \in A(X)$ and each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, $\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f) \subseteq S_A(f) \subseteq Z(f^*)$ and thus $S_A(f) \cap X = Z(f)$. Also, $S_C(f) = \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)$ for each $f \in C(X)$ and $S_{C^*}(f) = Z(f^\beta)$ for each $f \in C^*(X)$. From Lemma 3.6 and [20, Proposition 2.7], it easily follows that every maximal ideal of $C_c^*(X)$ is of the form $M_c^{*p} = M^{*p} \cap C_c^*(X)$ for some $p \in \beta X$. This implies that every maximal ideal of $C_c^*(X)$ is a contraction of some maximal ideal in $C^*(X)$ ([4, Proposition 4.9] and [12, Corollary 2.10, 2.11]).

Remark 3.7. From Lemma 3.6 and [17, Proposition 2.7] it follows that an ideal I in $C_c^*(X)$ is a z -ideal if and only if $g \in I$ whenever $Z(f^\beta) \subseteq Z(g^\beta)$ with $f \in I$ and $g \in C_c^*(X)$. Therefore, if I is a z -ideal in $C_c^*(X)$, then $J = \{f \in C^*(X) : \exists g \in I, Z(g^\beta) \subseteq Z(f^\beta)\}$ is a z -ideal in $C^*(X)$ and $I = J \cap C_c^*(X)$. Therefore, an ideal I in $C_c^*(X)$ is a z -ideal if and only if is a contraction of some z -ideal of $C^*(X)$.

It is well-known that every maximal ideal in $C^*(X)$ is real. Thus, every maximal ideal in $C_c^*(X)$ is real ([12, Theorem 2.6 (1)]).

Theorem 3.8. *Let X be a zero-dimensional space. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $M_c^{*p} = M_c^p$ for each $p \in \beta X$.
- (ii) $\beta_c X = v_c X$.
- (iii) $\frac{\beta_c X}{\sim_c} = \frac{v_c X}{\sim_c}$.
- (iv) $\beta_0 X = v_0 X$.
- (v) X is a pseudocompact space.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) It clearly follows from the hypothesis that $\beta X = v_{C_c} X$ and thus $\beta_c X = v_c X$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Let $f \in C_c^*(X)$ and $f \notin M_c^p$. Thus, $p \notin \text{cl}_{\beta_c X} Z(f)$ and hence there exists some $g \in C_c(X)$ such that $p \in \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(g)$ and $\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f) \cap \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(g) = \emptyset$. Using our hypothesis, we would have $\text{cl}_{v_c X} Z(f) \cap \text{cl}_{v_c X} Z(g) = \emptyset$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.2, $p \notin \text{cl}_{v_{C_c} X} Z(f) = Z(f^{v_{C_c}})$ which implies that $p \notin Z(f^\beta)$ and thus $f \notin M_c^{*p}$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Evident.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv) This is clear by Remark 3.4 and Theorem 3.4.

(iv) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $p \in \beta_c X$. Then, $\xi([p]) \in \beta_0 X = v_0 X$ which implies that $[p] \in \xi^{-1}(v_0 X) = \frac{v_c X}{\sim_c}$. Therefore, $p \in v_c X$; i.e., $\beta_c X \subseteq v_c X$.

(i) \Rightarrow (iii) If X is not pseudocompact, then, by [19, Lemma 1.9.3], there exists a continuous onto mapping $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, we could consider f as an element of $C_c(X)$. Also, there exists $p \in \beta X$ such that $f^*(p) = \infty$. Set $g = \frac{1}{1+|f|}$. It follows that $g \in C_c^*(X)$, $Z(g) = \emptyset$ and $g^\beta(p) = 0$. This means that $g \in M_c^{*p} \setminus M_c^p$, which contradicts the hypothesis.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) If $f \in M_c^{*p}$, then, by the hypothesis and Lemma 3.2, $p \in Z(g^\beta) = Z(g^{v_c}) = \text{cl}_{v_c X} Z(g) = \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(g)$ and thus $f \in M_c^p$; i.e., $M_c^{*p} \subseteq M_c^p$. The reverse inclusion is obvious. \square

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank the well-informed referee for reading the paper carefully and giving useful suggestions. The author also would like to express his deep gratitude to professor O.A.S. Karamzadeh for reading and editing the paper.

References

- [1] Acharyya, S.K., *A class of subalgebras of $C(X)$ and associated compactness*, Kyungpook Math. J. 41 (2001), 323-334.
- [2] Aliabad, A.R. and Parsinia, M., *z_R -Ideals and z_R° -ideals in subrings of \mathbb{R}^X* , Iran. J. Math. Sci. Inform. 14(1) (2019), 55-67.
- [3] Aliabad A.R. and Parsinia, M., *Remarks on subrings of $C(X)$ of the form $I+C^*(X)$* , Quaest. Math. 40(1) (2017), 63-73.
- [4] Azarpanah, F., Karamzadeh, O.A.S., Keshtkar, Z., Olfati, A.R., *On maximal ideals of $C_c(X)$ and uniformity of its localizations*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 48(2) (2018), 345-384.
- [5] Azarpanah, F. and Parsinia, M., *On the sum of z -ideals in subrings of $C(X)$* , J. Commut. Algebra 12(4) (2020), 459-466.
- [6] Bhattacharjee, P., Knox, M.L., McGovern, W.Wm., *The classical ring of quotients of $C_c(X)$* , Appl. Gen. Topol. 15(2) (2014), 147-154.
- [7] Chew, K.P., *A characterization of \mathbb{N} -compact spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 26 (1970), 679-682.
- [8] Engelking, R. and Mrowka, S., *On E -compact spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. 6 (1958), 429-436.
- [9] Ghadermazi, M., Karamzadeh, O.A.S., Namdari, M., *On the functionally countable subalgebra of $C(X)$* , Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova 129 (2013), 47-69.
- [10] Ghadermazi, M., Karamzadeh, O.A.S., Namdari, M., *$C(X)$ versus its functionally countable subalgebra*, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc. 45(1) (2019), 173-187.
- [11] Gillman, L. and Jerison, M., "Rings of Continuous Functions", Springer, 1978.
- [12] Karamzadeh, O.A.S. and Keshtkar, Z., *On c -realcompact spaces*, Quaest. Math. 41(8) (2018), 1135-1167.
- [13] Mrowka, S., *On universal spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. 4 (1956), 479-481.
- [14] Mysior, A., *Two easy examples of zero-dimensional spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 92(4) (1984), 615-617.
- [15] Nyikos, P., *Not every zero-dimensional realcompact space is \mathbb{N} -compact*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 77(3) (1971), 392-396.
- [16] Olfati, A.R., *Functionally countable subalgebras and some properties of Banaschewski compactifications*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 57(3) (2016), 365-379.

- [17] Parsinia, M., *Remarks on LBI-subalgebras of $C(X)$* , Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 57(2) (2016), 261-270.
- [18] Parsinia, M., *R-P-spaces and subrings of $C(X)$* , Filomat 32(1) (2018), 319-328.
- [19] Pierce, R.S., *Rings of integer-valued continuous functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 100 (1961), 371-394.
- [20] Plank, D., *On a class of subalgebras of $C(X)$ with applications to $\beta X - X$* , Fund. Math. 64 (1969), 41-54.
- [21] Porter, J.R. and Woods, R.G., "Extensions and Absolutes of Hausdorff Spaces", Springer, 1988.
- [22] Rudd, D., *On isomorphism between ideals in rings of continuous functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 159 (1971), 335-353.
- [23] Redlin, L. and Watson, S., *Structure spaces for rings of continuous functions with applications to realcompactifications*, Fund. Math. 152 (1997), 151-163.
- [24] Walker, R., "The Stone-Čech Compactification", Springer, 1974.

Mehdi Parsinia Department of Mathematics, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, P.O. Box 6135743337, Ahvaz, Iran.

Email: parsiniamehdi@gmail.com