



On injective objects and existence of injective hulls in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$

Harshita Tiwari* and Rekha Srivastava

Abstract. In this paper, motivated by Cagliari and Mantovani, we have obtained a characterization of injective objects (with respect to the class of embeddings in the category $Q\text{-TOP}$ of Q -topological spaces) in the comma category $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$, when (Y, σ) is a stratified Q -topological space, with the help of their T_0 -reflection. Further, we have proved that for any Q -topological space (Y, σ) , the existence of an injective hull of $((X, \tau), f)$ in the comma category $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$ is equivalent to the existence of an injective hull of its T_0 -reflection $((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f})$ in the comma category $Q\text{-TOP}/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$ (and in the comma category $Q\text{-TOP}_0/\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma}$), where $Q\text{-TOP}_0$ denotes the category of T_0 - Q -topological spaces).

1 Introduction

Injectivity and projectivity are important concepts of mathematics and play a fundamental role in various fields of mathematics, in particular in commutative and homological algebra, algebraic geometry and topology. Injective objects and injective hulls have been investigated for a long time in various categories. There

* Corresponding author

Keywords: Injective objects, injective hull, T_0 -reflection.

Mathematics Subject Classification [2010]: 54A40, 18Axx, 18G05.

Received: 8 December 2021, Accepted: 12 May 2022.

ISSN: Print 2345-5853, Online 2345-5861.

© Shahid Beheshti University

are many results in this direction, even for more general case of \mathcal{H} -injectivity and \mathcal{H} -injective hulls, for \mathcal{H} an arbitrary class of morphisms. For example, in [3] there are results for \mathcal{H} -injective objects and \mathcal{H} -injective hulls in the category **Field** of field and their homomorphisms, for \mathcal{H} the class of algebraic extensions. In [2] we can find results related to \mathcal{H} -injective objects in the category **Pos** of partially ordered sets and isotone maps, for \mathcal{H} the class of embeddings. In [14], we have results related to \mathcal{H} -injectivity and \mathcal{H} -injective hulls in the category **TOP** of topological spaces, for \mathcal{H} the class of embeddings.

The importance of \mathcal{H} -injective objects and \mathcal{H} -injective hulls in the comma category \mathbf{C}/B for B in a given category \mathbf{C} has also been recognized as they are related with the weak factorization system in \mathbf{C} (cf. [2, 13]).

Cagliari and Mantovani studied injective objects and injective hulls in the comma category \mathbf{TOP}/B (cf. [4–6]). In particular, they gave a characterization of injective objects (with respect to the class of embeddings in the category **TOP** of topological spaces) in the comma category \mathbf{TOP}/B (cf. [5]). In [6], they gave a result related to the existence of an injective hull of an object in the comma category \mathbf{TOP}_0/B ($B \in \mathbf{TOP}_0$ and \mathbf{TOP}_0 is the category of T_0 -topological spaces).

It is well known that the category \mathbf{TOP}_0 is a reflective subcategory of the category **TOP**. In [5] (see also [14]), Cagliari and Mantovani considered the reflector $\pi : \mathbf{TOP} \rightarrow \mathbf{TOP}_0$ and they mentioned that for any topological space Y , by virtue of the reflector π , corresponding to each object (X, f) of the comma category \mathbf{TOP}/Y we have the object (X_0, f_0) , where $X_0 = \pi(X)$ and $f_0 = \pi(f)$, of the category \mathbf{TOP}_0/Y_0 , which is called as the T_0 -reflection of (X, f) . Cagliari and Mantovani [5] gave a characterization of injective objects (with respect to the class of embeddings in **TOP**) in the comma category \mathbf{TOP}/Y with the help of their T_0 -reflection. Cagliari and Mantovani [5] also proved that the existence of an injective hull of (X, f) in the comma category \mathbf{TOP}/Y is equivalent to the existence of an injective hull of its T_0 -reflection (X_0, f_0) in the comma category \mathbf{TOP}/Y_0 (and in the comma category \mathbf{TOP}_0/Y_0).

Solovyov [11] introduced the notion of Q -topological spaces and Q -continuous maps and studied the category $Q\text{-TOP}$ of Q -topological spaces (where Q is a fixed member of a fixed variety of Ω -algebras). Many familiar categories such as the category **L-TOP** of lattice valued topological spaces of Goguen [8] (and hence the category **TOP** of topological spaces and the category **FTOP** of fuzzy topological spaces (in the sense of Chang [7])) are examples of the category $Q\text{-TOP}$. Solovyov [11] also introduced the concept of stratified Q -topological spaces and T_0 -

Q -topological spaces. Singh and Srivastava [10] proved that the category $Q\text{-TOP}_0$ of T_0 - Q -topological spaces is a reflective subcategory of $Q\text{-TOP}$. In [10], for a given Q -topological space (X, τ) , Singh and Srivastava defined an equivalence relation \sim on X as, for every $x_1, x_2 \in X$, $x_1 \sim x_2$ if $\alpha(x_1) = \alpha(x_2)$, for every $\alpha \in \tau$. By taking $\tilde{X} = X/\sim$, the set of equivalence classes, and $\tilde{\tau}$ to be the corresponding quotient Q -topology on \tilde{X} induced by the quotient map $q_X : X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$, $q_X(x) = [x]$ (where $[x]$ is the equivalence class of x), and τ , they proved that $q_X : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau})$ is a $Q\text{-TOP}_0$ -reflection for (X, τ) and as a result of this $Q\text{-TOP}_0$ is a reflective subcategory of $Q\text{-TOP}$ (cf. Theorem 4.1 in [10]). Consequently, we have the reflector (cf. Proposition 4.22 and Definition 4.23 in [1]) $R : Q\text{-TOP} \rightarrow Q\text{-TOP}_0$ give by $R((X, \tau)) = (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau})$ and if $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is a Q -continuous map, then $R(f) = \tilde{f}$, where $\tilde{f} : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}) \rightarrow (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$ is the unique Q -continuous map such that $q_Y \circ f = \tilde{f} \circ q_X$. Thus for a given Q -topological space (Y, σ) , corresponding to each object $((X, \tau), f)$ of the comma category $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$, we have the object $((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f})$ of the comma category $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$, which is called as the T_0 -reflection of $((X, \tau), f)$.

Thus keeping in the mind that in Q -topology, good, ‘categorically correct’, notions of ‘To-ness’ and ‘ T_0 -reflection’ is already available, it is natural to try to know if the injective objects can be characterized in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$ in a similar fashion as in [5]. We do this investigation in this paper and in the process, we have obtained a characterization of injective objects (with respect to the class of embeddings in $Q\text{-TOP}$) in the comma category $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$, when (Y, σ) is a stratified Q -topological space, with the help of their T_0 -reflection, motivated by Cagliari and Mantovani [5]. Further, we have proved that for any Q -topological space (Y, σ) , the existence of an injective hull of $((X, \tau), f)$ in the comma category $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$ is equivalent to the existence of an injective hull of its T_0 -reflection $((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f})$ in the comma category $Q\text{-TOP}/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$ (and in the comma category $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$).

2 Preliminaries

For categorical notions and results used in this paper but not defined here, we refer to [1].

Definition 2.1. [1] Let \mathbf{C} be a category and B be an object of \mathbf{C} . Then objects of the comma category \mathbf{C}/B are pairs (X, f) , where X is a \mathbf{C} -object and $f : X \rightarrow B$ is a \mathbf{C} -morphism. Given any two objects (X, f) and (Y, g) of \mathbf{C}/B , a \mathbf{C}/B -morphism

$h : (X, f) \rightarrow (Y, g)$ is a \mathbf{C} -morphism $h : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $g \circ h = f$.

Let \mathcal{H} be a class of morphisms in a category \mathbf{C} .

Definition 2.2. [5] An object I is \mathcal{H} -injective if for all $h : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{H} and a morphism $f : X \rightarrow I$, there exists a morphism $g : Y \rightarrow I$ such that $g \circ h = f$.

Definition 2.3. [5] A morphism $h : X \rightarrow I$ in \mathcal{H} is \mathcal{H} -essential if for every morphism $k : I \rightarrow Y$, the composite $k \circ h : X \rightarrow Y$ lies in \mathcal{H} only if $k : I \rightarrow Y$ does; if, in addition, I is \mathcal{H} -injective, then $h : X \rightarrow I$ is an \mathcal{H} -injective hull of X .

An object (X, f) of the comma category \mathbf{C}/B is \mathcal{H} -injective if for any commutative diagram in \mathbf{C}

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{u} & X \\ h \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ V & \xrightarrow{v} & B \end{array} \tag{2.1}$$

with $h : U \rightarrow V$ in \mathcal{H} , there exists a morphism $s : V \rightarrow X$ for which the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{u} & X \\ h \downarrow & \nearrow s & \downarrow f \\ V & \xrightarrow{v} & B \end{array} \tag{2.2}$$

Furthermore, a \mathbf{C}/B -morphism $j : (Y, g) \rightarrow (X, f)$ with $j : Y \rightarrow X$ in \mathcal{H} is \mathcal{H} -essential if for any \mathbf{C}/B -morphism $k : (X, f) \rightarrow (Z, h)$ such that $k \circ j : Y \rightarrow Z$ is in \mathcal{H} , necessarily $k : X \rightarrow Z$ is in \mathcal{H} follows; if in addition (X, f) is \mathcal{H} -injective, then $j : (Y, g) \rightarrow (X, f)$ is an \mathcal{H} -injective hull of (Y, g) in \mathbf{C}/B .

The following definitions are from [11].

Definition 2.4. Let $\Omega = (n_\lambda)_{\lambda \in K}$ be a class of cardinal numbers.

- A pair $(Z, (\omega_\lambda^Z)_{\lambda \in K})$, where Z is a set and $(\omega_\lambda^Z)_{\lambda \in K}$ is a family of maps $\omega_\lambda^Z : Z^{n_\lambda} \rightarrow Z$, is called an Ω -algebra. A subset M of Z is called a *subalgebra* of the Ω -algebra $(Z, (\omega_\lambda^Z)_{\lambda \in K})$ if $\omega_\lambda^Z((m_j)_{j \in n_\lambda}) \in M$, for every $\lambda \in K$ and for every $(m_j)_{j \in n_\lambda} \in M^{n_\lambda}$.

- Let $(Z, (\omega_\lambda^Z)_{\lambda \in K})$ and $(S, (\omega_\lambda^S)_{\lambda \in K})$ be Ω -algebras. A map $g : Z \rightarrow S$ is said to be an Ω -homomorphism if the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Z^{n_\lambda} & \xrightarrow{g^{n_\lambda}} & S^{n_\lambda} \\
 \omega_\lambda^Z \downarrow & & \downarrow \omega_\lambda^S \\
 Z & \xrightarrow{g} & S
 \end{array} \tag{2.3}$$

commutes for every $\lambda \in K$. $\mathbf{Alg}(\Omega)$ will denote the category of Ω -algebras and Ω -homomorphisms.

- Let \mathcal{M} (resp. \mathcal{E}) be the class of Ω -homomorphisms with injective (resp. surjective) underlying maps. A *variety* of Ω -algebras is a full subcategory of $\mathbf{Alg}(\Omega)$, which is closed under the formation of products, \mathcal{M} -subobjects (subalgebras), and \mathcal{E} -quotients (homomorphic images).

From now onwards $(Q, (\omega_\lambda^Q)_{\lambda \in K})$ will denote a fixed member of a fixed variety of Ω -algebras.

Let Z be a set and Q^Z be the set of all functions from Z to Q . All operations on Q lift point-wise to Q^Z as:

$$(\omega_\lambda^{Q^Z}(\langle p_j \rangle_{j \in n_\lambda}))(z) = \omega_\lambda^Q(\langle p_j(z) \rangle_{j \in n_\lambda}), \text{ for every } \langle p_j \rangle_{j \in n_\lambda} \in (Q^Z)^{n_\lambda} \text{ and every } z \in Z.$$

In particular $(Q^Z, (\omega_\lambda^{Q^Z})_{\lambda \in K})$ is an Ω -algebra.

From now onwards, the Ω -algebra $(Q, (\omega_\lambda^Q)_{\lambda \in K})$ and its underlying set, both will be denoted by Q .

Definition 2.5. Let Z be a set. A subset η of Q^Z is called a Q -topology on Z if η is a subalgebra of the Ω -algebra $(Q^Z, (\omega_\lambda^{Q^Z})_{\lambda \in K})$. A pair (Z, η) , where Z is a set and η is a Q -topology on Z , is called a Q -topological space. Let (Z, η) and (X, τ) be Q -topological spaces and $h : Z \rightarrow X$ be a function. Then we say that $h : (Z, \eta) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ is Q -continuous if $\alpha \circ h \in \eta$, for every $\alpha \in \tau$.

$Q\text{-TOP}$ will denote the category of Q -topological spaces and Q -continuous maps. $Q\text{-TOP}$ is a construct via the obvious forgetful functor $|-| : Q\text{-TOP} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ (\mathbf{Set} is the category of sets and maps).

We mention here that $Q\text{-TOP}$ is a topological category over \mathbf{Set} (cf. [9], Remark 2.2) and hence $Q\text{-TOP}$ is complete and as a result of this the category $Q\text{-TOP}$ has pullbacks (cf. [1]).

Definition 2.6. A Q -topological space (X, τ) is called T_0 if for every $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that $x_1 \neq x_2$, there exists $\alpha \in \tau$ such that $\alpha(x_1) \neq \alpha(x_2)$.

$Q\text{-TOP}_0$ will denote the full subcategory of $Q\text{-TOP}$ consisting of T_0 - Q -topological spaces. It can be easily seen that $Q\text{-TOP}_0$ is an isomorphism closed subcategory of $Q\text{-TOP}$.

Definition 2.7. A Q -topological space (X, τ) is said to be *stratified* if $\underline{q} \in \tau$, for every $q \in Q$, where $\underline{q} : X \rightarrow Q$ is defined as $\underline{q}(x) = q$, for every $x \in X$.

Definition 2.8. [1] Let \mathbf{C} be a concrete category over \mathbf{X} and $|-| : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ be the corresponding faithful functor.

1. A \mathbf{C} -morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ is called *initial* provided that for any \mathbf{C} -object D , an \mathbf{X} -morphism $g : |D| \rightarrow |A|$ is a \mathbf{C} -morphism whenever $f \circ g : |D| \rightarrow |B|$ is a \mathbf{C} -morphism.
2. An initial morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ that has a monomorphic underlying \mathbf{X} -morphism is called an *embedding*.
3. A \mathbf{C} -morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ is called *final* provided that for any \mathbf{C} -object D , an \mathbf{X} -morphism $g : |B| \rightarrow |D|$ is a \mathbf{C} -morphism whenever $g \circ f : |A| \rightarrow |D|$ is a \mathbf{C} -morphism.

Remark 2.9. Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be Q -topological spaces and let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a Q -continuous map. Then

1. $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is initial in $Q\text{-TOP}$ if and only if $\tau = \{\beta \circ f \mid \beta \in \sigma\}$.
2. $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$ if and only if $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is initial and f is one-one.
3. $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is final in $Q\text{-TOP}$ if and only if $\sigma = \{\nu \in Q^Y \mid \nu \circ f \in \tau\}$.

From now onwards, injective, essential, injective hull in $Q\text{-TOP}$ ($Q\text{-TOP}_0$) and in any comma category $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$ ($Q\text{-TOP}_0/(Z, \eta)$) will denote respectively \mathcal{H} -injective, \mathcal{H} -essential and \mathcal{H} -injective hull for \mathcal{H} the class of embeddings in $Q\text{-TOP}$ ($Q\text{-TOP}_0$).

3 T_0 -reflection

Let (X, τ) be a Q -topological space. Singh and Srivastava [10] defined a relation \sim on X as, for every $x_1, x_2 \in X, x_1 \sim x_2$ if $\alpha(x_1) = \alpha(x_2)$, for every $\alpha \in \tau$. Then it can be easily proved that \sim is an equivalence relation on X . Let $\tilde{X} = X/\sim$, the set of equivalence classes, and let $q_X : X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ be defined as, $q_X(x) = [x]$, for every $x \in X$, where $[x]$ is the equivalence class of x . Let $\tilde{\tau} = \{\beta \in Q^{\tilde{X}} \mid \beta \circ q_X \in \tau\}$. Then $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau})$ is a T_0 - Q -topological space. It can also be easily verified that for a given T_0 - Q -topological space (Z, η) and a Q -continuous map $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$, there exists a unique Q -continuous map $f' : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ such that $f' \circ q_X = f$. Hence $q_X : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau})$ is a $Q\text{-TOP}_0$ -reflection for (X, τ) and as a result of this $Q\text{-TOP}_0$ is a reflective subcategory of $Q\text{-TOP}$ (cf. Theorem 4.1 in [10]). Consequently, we have the reflector (cf. Proposition 4.22 and Definition 4.23 in [1]) $R : Q\text{-TOP} \rightarrow Q\text{-TOP}_0$ give by $R((X, \tau)) = (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau})$ and if $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is a Q -continuous map, then $R(f) = \tilde{f}$, where $\tilde{f} : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}) \rightarrow (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$ is the unique Q -continuous map such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (X, \tau) & \xrightarrow{f} & (Y, \sigma) \\
 q_X \downarrow & & \downarrow q_Y \\
 (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})
 \end{array} \tag{3.1}$$

Thus corresponding to each object $((X, \tau), f)$ of the category $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$, we have the object $((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f})$ of the category $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$. $((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f})$ is called the T_0 -reflection of $((X, \tau), f)$.

We mention here that if (X, τ) is a T_0 - Q -topological space, then $q_X : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau})$ is an isomorphism in $Q\text{-TOP}$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let (X, τ) be a Q -topological space. Then $q_X : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau})$ is initial and final in $Q\text{-TOP}$.*

Proof. By the definition of $\tilde{\tau}$, it follows that $q_X : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau})$ is final. Now let $\alpha \in \tau$. Define $\beta : \tilde{X} \rightarrow Q$ as $\beta([x]) = \alpha(x)$. Then it can be easily proved that β is well defined and $\beta \circ q_X = \alpha$. Thus $\beta \circ q_X \in \tau$ and this implies that $\beta \in \tilde{\tau}$. Thus $\alpha = \beta \circ q_X$, where $\beta \in \tilde{\tau}$. Therefore $q_X : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau})$ is initial in $Q\text{-TOP}$. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be Q -topological spaces. A Q -continuous map $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$ if and only if f is one-one and $\tilde{f} : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}) \rightarrow (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$.*

Proof. Suppose first that the map $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Then f is one-one and $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is initial in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Now we have to prove that $\tilde{f} : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}) \rightarrow (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Let $\tilde{f}([x_1]) = \tilde{f}([x_2]) \Rightarrow (\tilde{f} \circ q_X)(x_1) = (\tilde{f} \circ q_X)(x_2) \Rightarrow (q_Y \circ f)(x_1) = (q_Y \circ f)(x_2) \Rightarrow [f(x_1)] = [f(x_2)] \Rightarrow u(f(x_1)) = u(f(x_2))$, for every $u \in \sigma \Rightarrow (u \circ f)(x_1) = (u \circ f)(x_2)$, for every $u \in \sigma \Rightarrow [x_1] = [x_2]$ (as $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is initial, so $\tau = \{u \circ f \mid u \in \sigma\} \Rightarrow \tilde{f}$ is one-one. Now let $\beta \in \tilde{\tau}$, then $\beta \circ q_X \in \tau$ and so $\beta \circ q_X = u \circ f$, for some $u \in \sigma$. Also $u = v \circ q_Y$, for some $v \in \tilde{\sigma}$. Thus $\beta \circ q_X = u \circ f = v \circ q_Y \circ f = v \circ (q_Y \circ f) = v \circ (\tilde{f} \circ q_X) = (v \circ \tilde{f}) \circ q_X \Rightarrow \beta = v \circ \tilde{f}$ (as q_X is onto). Hence $\tilde{f} : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}) \rightarrow (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$ is initial in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Therefore $\tilde{f} : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}) \rightarrow (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$.

Conversely, suppose that f is one-one and $\tilde{f} : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}) \rightarrow (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$. We have to show that $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Since f is one-one, it is sufficient to show that $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is initial. Let $\alpha \in \tau$, then $\alpha = \beta \circ q_X$, for some $\beta \in \tilde{\tau}$. Then since $\tilde{f} : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}) \rightarrow (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$ is initial and $\beta \in \tilde{\tau}$, $\beta = v \circ \tilde{f}$, for some $v \in \tilde{\sigma}$. So $\alpha = \beta \circ q_X = v \circ \tilde{f} \circ q_X = v \circ (\tilde{f} \circ q_X) = v \circ (q_Y \circ f) = (v \circ q_Y) \circ f = u \circ f$, where $u = v \circ q_Y \in \sigma$. Thus $\alpha = u \circ f$, where $u \in \sigma$. Hence $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is initial in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Therefore $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$. □

In view of Proposition 8.14 in [1], we have the following result:

Proposition 3.3. *Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be Q -topological spaces and let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an initial map in $Q\text{-TOP}$ such that f is bijective. Then $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is an isomorphism in $Q\text{-TOP}$.*

Proposition 3.4. [1] *In any category, monomorphisms, regular monomorphisms and retractions are pullback stable.*

Proposition 3.5. *Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be Q -topological spaces and let $q : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau})$ be a Q -continuous map. Let $p : (W, \theta) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a pullback of $q_X : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau})$ along $q : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau})$ in the category $Q\text{-TOP}$*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (W, \theta) & \xrightarrow{p} & (Y, \sigma) \\
 g \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\
 (X, \tau) & \xrightarrow{q_X} & (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau})
 \end{array} \tag{3.2}$$

Then $\tilde{p} : (\tilde{W}, \tilde{\theta}) \rightarrow (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$ is an isomorphism in $Q\text{-TOP}$.

Proof. First we will prove that the map $p : (W, \theta) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is initial in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Let (Z, η) be a Q -topological space and let $f : Z \rightarrow W$ be a map such that $p \circ f : (Z, \eta) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is Q -continuous. Then, $q_X \circ (g \circ f) = q \circ (p \circ f)$. So $q_X \circ (g \circ f) : (Z, \eta) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau})$ is Q -continuous, but since $q_X : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau})$ is initial in $Q\text{-TOP}$, $g \circ f : (Z, \eta) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ is Q -continuous. Now since the diagram 3.2 is a pullback, there exists a unique Q -continuous map $h : (Z, \eta) \rightarrow (W, \theta)$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (Z, \eta) & & & & \\
 \downarrow h & \searrow^{p \circ f} & & & \\
 (W, \theta) & \xrightarrow{p} & (Y, \sigma) & & \\
 \downarrow g & & \downarrow q & & \\
 (X, \tau) & \xrightarrow{q_X} & (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}) & &
 \end{array} \tag{3.3}$$

Now if $h \neq f$, then if we consider the diagram 3.3 in the category **Set**, then in **Set** we have two maps $f, h : Z \rightarrow W$ for which the diagram 3.3 commutes, but this will be a contradiction because the diagram 3.2, if considered in the category **Set**, is a pullback square in **Set** also. Thus $h = f$ and hence $f : (Z, \eta) \rightarrow (W, \theta)$ is Q -continuous. Therefore $p : (W, \theta) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is initial in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Now consider the following commutative diagram in $Q\text{-TOP}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (W, \theta) & \xrightarrow{p} & (Y, \sigma) \\
 q_W \downarrow & & \downarrow q_Y \\
 (\tilde{W}, \tilde{\theta}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} & (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})
 \end{array} \tag{3.4}$$

Since $p : (W, \theta) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is initial in $Q\text{-TOP}$, as in the proof of Proposition 3.2, we can prove that $\tilde{p} : (\tilde{W}, \tilde{\theta}) \rightarrow (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$ is initial in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Now since q_X is onto, i.e. q_X is a retraction in **Set** and since the diagram 3.2, if considered in the category **Set**, is a pullback square in **Set** also, by Proposition 3.4, p is a retraction in **Set**, i.e. p is onto. Since $q_Y \circ p = \tilde{p} \circ q_W$ and both p and q_Y are onto, $\tilde{p} \circ q_W$ is onto. This implies that \tilde{p} is onto. Next, we will prove that \tilde{p} is one-one. Let $\tilde{p}([w_1]) = \tilde{p}([w_2]) \Rightarrow (\tilde{p} \circ q_W)(w_1) = (\tilde{p} \circ q_W)(w_2) \Rightarrow$

$(q_Y \circ p)(w_1) = (q_Y \circ p)(w_2) \Rightarrow [p(w_1)] = [p(w_2)] \Rightarrow u(p(w_1)) = u(p(w_2))$, for every $u \in \sigma \Rightarrow (u \circ p)(w_1) = (u \circ p)(w_2)$, for every $u \in \sigma \Rightarrow [w_1] = [w_2]$ (since $\theta = \{u \circ p \mid u \in \sigma\}$ as $p : (W, \theta) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is initial in $Q\text{-TOP}$). This implies that \tilde{p} is one-one. Thus $\tilde{p} : (\tilde{W}, \tilde{\theta}) \rightarrow (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$ is initial in $Q\text{-TOP}$ and \tilde{p} is bijective. Therefore by Proposition 3.3, $\tilde{p} : (\tilde{W}, \tilde{\theta}) \rightarrow (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$ is an isomorphism in $Q\text{-TOP}$. \square

4 A characterization of injective objects in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$

Proposition 4.1. *Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be Q -topological spaces and let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an initial map in $Q\text{-TOP}$ such that f is onto, then $((X, \tau), f)$ is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$.*

Proof. Let following be a commutative square in $Q\text{-TOP}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (W, \theta) & \xrightarrow{l} & (X, \tau) \\ h \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ (Z, \eta) & \xrightarrow{g} & (Y, \sigma) \end{array} \quad (4.1)$$

where $h : (W, \theta) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$.

Now since in the category \mathbf{Set}/Y , injective objects are surjective maps over Y , there exists a function $k : Z \rightarrow X$ such that $k \circ h = l$ and $f \circ k = g$. Now let $\alpha \in \tau$, then $\alpha = \beta \circ f$, for some $\beta \in \sigma$ as $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is initial in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Then $\alpha \circ k = \beta \circ f \circ k = \beta \circ (f \circ k) = \beta \circ g \in \eta$ as $g : (Z, \eta) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is Q -continuous. Thus $k : (Z, \eta) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ is Q -continuous. Hence we have a Q -continuous map $k : (Z, \eta) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ such that $k \circ h = l$ and $f \circ k = g$. Therefore $((X, \tau), f)$ is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$. \square

Corollary 4.2. *Let (X, τ) be a Q -topological space, then $((X, \tau), q_X)$ is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}/(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau})$.*

Proof. It immediately follows from Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 4.1. \square

Proposition 4.3. *Let $((X, \tau), f)$ be injective in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$ and $((Y, \sigma), g)$ be injective in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Z, \eta)$. Then $((X, \tau), g \circ f)$ is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Z, \eta)$.*

Proof. It easily follows from the definition of injective objects in comma categories. \square

The following Lemma 4.4 can be easily verified:

Lemma 4.4. *Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be T_0 - Q -topological spaces and let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a Q -continuous map. Then $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$ if and only if it is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}_0$.*

Proposition 4.5. *Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be T_0 - Q -topological spaces and let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a Q -continuous map. Then $((X, \tau), f)$ is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$ if and only if it is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(Y, \sigma)$.*

Proof. Suppose first that $((X, \tau), f)$ is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$. Let following be a commutative diagram in $Q\text{-TOP}_0$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (A, \tau_A) & \xrightarrow{l} & (X, \tau) \\ h \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ (B, \tau_B) & \xrightarrow{k} & (Y, \sigma) \end{array} \tag{4.2}$$

where $h : (A, \tau_A) \rightarrow (B, \tau_B)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}_0$.

Then by Lemma 4.4, $h : (A, \tau_A) \rightarrow (B, \tau_B)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$ and then since $((X, \tau), f)$ is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$, there exists a Q -continuous map $s : (B, \tau_B) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ such that $s \circ h = l$ and $f \circ s = k$. Therefore $((X, \tau), f)$ is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(Y, \sigma)$.

Conversely, assume that $((X, \tau), f)$ is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(Y, \sigma)$. Let following be a commutative diagram in $Q\text{-TOP}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (W, \theta) & \xrightarrow{g} & (X, \tau) \\ h \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ (Z, \eta) & \xrightarrow{k} & (Y, \sigma) \end{array} \tag{4.3}$$

where $h : (W, \theta) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$.

We note that since (X, τ) and (Y, σ) are T_0 - Q -topological spaces, $q_X : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau})$ and $q_Y : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$ are isomorphisms in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Now we will first prove that $((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f})$ is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$. Let following be a commutative diagram in $Q\text{-TOP}_0$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (N, \tau_N) & \xrightarrow{l} & (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}) \\
 m \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{f} \\
 (M, \tau_M) & \xrightarrow{n} & (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})
 \end{array} \tag{4.4}$$

where $m : (M, \tau_M) \rightarrow (N, \tau_N)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}_0$. Now since $((X, \tau), f)$ is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(Y, \sigma)$, there exists a Q -continuous map $s : (M, \tau_M) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (N, \tau_N) & \xrightarrow{l} & (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}) & \xrightarrow{q_X^{-1}} & (X, \tau) \\
 m \downarrow & & \nearrow s & & \downarrow f \\
 (M, \tau_M) & \xrightarrow{n} & (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma}) & \xrightarrow{q_Y^{-1}} & (Y, \sigma)
 \end{array} \tag{4.5}$$

Thus we have a Q -continuous map $q_X \circ s : (M, \tau_M) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ and it can be easily verified that the following is a commutative diagram in $Q\text{-TOP}_0$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (N, \tau_N) & \xrightarrow{l} & (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}) \\
 m \downarrow & \nearrow q_X \circ s & \downarrow \tilde{f} \\
 (M, \tau_M) & \xrightarrow{n} & (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})
 \end{array} \tag{4.6}$$

Thus $((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f})$ is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$. Now since $h : (W, \theta) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$, by Proposition 3.2, $\tilde{h} : (\tilde{W}, \tilde{\theta}) \rightarrow (\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta})$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$ and then by Lemma 4.4, $\tilde{h} : (\tilde{W}, \tilde{\theta}) \rightarrow (\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta})$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}_0$. Then since $((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f})$ is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$, there exists a Q -continuous map $s' : (\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta}) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau})$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\tilde{W}, \tilde{\theta}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} & (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}) \\
 \tilde{h} \downarrow & \nearrow s' & \downarrow \tilde{f} \\
 (\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{k}} & (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})
 \end{array} \tag{4.7}$$

Let $p = q_X^{-1} \circ s' \circ q_Z$. Then $p \circ h = q_X^{-1} \circ s' \circ q_Z \circ h = q_X^{-1} \circ s' \circ (q_Z \circ h) = q_X^{-1} \circ s' \circ (\tilde{h} \circ q_W) = q_X^{-1} \circ (s' \circ \tilde{h}) \circ q_W = q_X^{-1} \circ \tilde{g} \circ q_W = q_X^{-1} \circ (\tilde{g} \circ q_W) = q_X^{-1} \circ (q_X \circ g) = g$ and $f \circ p = f \circ q_X^{-1} \circ s' \circ q_Z = (f \circ q_X^{-1}) \circ s' \circ q_Z = (q_Y^{-1} \circ \tilde{f}) \circ s' \circ q_Z =$

$q_Y^{-1} \circ (\tilde{f} \circ s') \circ q_Z = q_Y^{-1} \circ \tilde{k} \circ q_Z = q_Y^{-1} \circ (\tilde{k} \circ q_Z) = q_Y^{-1} \circ (q_Y \circ k) = k$. Thus we have a Q -continuous map $p : (Z, \eta) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ such that $p \circ h = g$ and $f \circ p = k$. Therefore $((X, \tau), f)$ is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$. \square

Proposition 4.6. *Let (X, τ) be a Q -topological space and (Y, σ) be a stratified Q -topological space. If $((X, \tau), f)$ is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$, then $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is a retraction in $Q\text{-TOP}$. In particular, for any $x \in X$ there exists a section $s_x : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ of $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ with $s_x(f(x)) = x$.*

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram in $Q\text{-TOP}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\{x\}, \delta) & \xrightarrow{i_x} & (X, \tau) \\
 f_x \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\
 (Y, \sigma) & \xrightarrow{id_Y} & (Y, \sigma)
 \end{array} \tag{4.8}$$

where $\delta = \{q \mid q \in Q\}$, $i_x : \{x\} \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion map and $f_x : \{x\} \rightarrow Y$ is defined as $f_x(\overline{x}) = f(x)$.

It can be easily seen that $f_x : (\{x\}, \delta) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Then since $((X, \tau), f)$ is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$, there exists a Q -continuous map $s_x : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ such that $s_x \circ f_x = i_x$ and $f \circ s_x = id_Y$. Therefore $s_x(f(x)) = x$ and $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is a retraction in $Q\text{-TOP}$. \square

Let (X, τ) be a Q -topological space and let $x \in X$. Consider the subset $\{x' \in X \mid x' \sim x\}$ of X (note that \sim is the equivalence relation on X defined in the starting of the section 3). Clearly this subset gives the equivalence class $[x]$ of x . We will denote this subset of X by C_x where it is considered as a subset of X and it will be denoted by $[x]$ where it is considered as an element of \tilde{X} , to avoid confusions.

Proposition 4.7. *Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be Q -topological spaces and let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a Q -continuous map. Then $f(C_x) \subseteq C_{f(x)}$, for every $x \in X$.*

Proof. Let $y \in f(C_x)$, then $y = f(x')$, for some $x' \in C_x$. Now let $\beta \in \sigma$. Then since $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is Q -continuous, $\beta \circ f \in \tau$ and then since $x' \sim x$, $(\beta \circ f)(x') = (\beta \circ f)(x) \Rightarrow \beta(f(x')) = \beta(f(x))$. Thus $f(x') \sim f(x)$. This implies that $y = f(x') \in C_{f(x)}$. Therefore $f(C_x) \subseteq C_{f(x)}$. \square

Proposition 4.8. [1] *Every retract of an injective object is injective.*

Proposition 4.9. *Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be Q -topological spaces. If $((X, \tau), f)$ is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$, then $((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f})$ is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$.*

Proof. We know that in the category **Set**, retractions are precisely onto maps and since q_X is onto, q_X is a retraction in **Set**. So there exists a map $g : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ such that $q_X \circ g = id_{\tilde{X}}$. This implies that $(q_X \circ g)([x]) = [x]$, for every $x \in X \Rightarrow [g([x])] = [x]$, for every $x \in X \Rightarrow \alpha(g[x]) = \alpha(x)$, for every $\alpha \in \tau$ and for every $x \in X$. Now we will show that $g : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ is Q -continuous. Let $\alpha \in \tau$. Then $((\alpha \circ g) \circ q_X)(x) = \alpha(g([x])) = \alpha(x)$, for every $x \in X$. Thus $(\alpha \circ g) \circ q_X = \alpha \in \tau$. Hence $\alpha \circ g \in \tilde{\tau}$. So $g : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ is Q -continuous. Now $q_Y \circ f \circ g = (q_Y \circ f) \circ g = (\tilde{f} \circ q_X) \circ g = \tilde{f} \circ (q_X \circ g) = \tilde{f} \circ id_{\tilde{X}} = \tilde{f}$. Thus we have morphisms $g : ((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f}) \rightarrow ((X, \tau), q_Y \circ f)$ and $q_X : ((X, \tau), q_Y \circ f) \rightarrow ((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f})$ in $Q\text{-TOP}/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$ such that $q_X \circ g = id_{\tilde{X}}$. Thus $((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f})$ is a retract of $((X, \tau), q_Y \circ f)$ in $Q\text{-TOP}/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$. By Proposition 4.3 and Corollary 4.2, $((X, \tau), q_Y \circ f)$ is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$ and then by Proposition 4.8, $((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f})$ is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$. Therefore by Proposition 4.5, $((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f})$ is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$. □

The following Theorem 4.10 is concerned with the extension of Theorem 2.6 of [5], in the category $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$.

Theorem 4.10. *Let (X, τ) be a Q -topological space and (Y, σ) be a stratified Q -topological space. Then $((X, \tau), f)$ is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$ if and only if*

1. $f(C_x) = C_{f(x)}$, for every $x \in X$.
2. Its T_0 -reflection $((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f})$ is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$.

Proof. Let $((X, \tau), f)$ be injective in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$.

(1) Let $x \in X$. Then by Proposition 4.7, $f(C_x) \subseteq C_{f(x)}$. Now we have to show that $C_{f(x)} \subseteq f(C_x)$. By Proposition 4.6, there exists a section $s_x : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ of $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ such that $s_x(f(x)) = x$ and $f \circ s_x = id_Y$. Then since by Proposition 4.7, $s_x(C_{f(x)}) \subseteq C_{(s_x \circ f)(x)}$, $s_x(C_{f(x)}) \subseteq C_x$ (as $s_x(f(x)) = x$). Then $f(s_x(C_{f(x)})) \subseteq f(C_x) \Rightarrow (f \circ s_x)(C_{f(x)}) \subseteq f(C_x) \Rightarrow id_Y(C_{f(x)}) \subseteq f(C_x) \Rightarrow C_{f(x)} \subseteq f(C_x)$. Therefore $f(C_x) = C_{f(x)}$.

(2) Follows from Proposition 4.9.

Conversely, assume that (1) and (2) hold. Let following be a commutative diagram in $Q\text{-TOP}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (W, \theta) & \xrightarrow{m} & (X, \tau) \\
 h \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\
 (Z, \eta) & \xrightarrow{n} & (Y, \sigma)
 \end{array} \tag{4.9}$$

where $h : (W, \theta) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ is an embedding in $\mathcal{Q}\text{-TOP}$.

Now since $((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f})$ is injective in $\mathcal{Q}\text{-TOP}_0/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$, by Proposition 4.5, $((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f})$ is injective in $\mathcal{Q}\text{-TOP}/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$. So there exists a \mathcal{Q} -continuous map $s : (Z, \eta) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{f})$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (W, \theta) & \xrightarrow{m} & (X, \tau) & \xrightarrow{q_X} & (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}) \\
 h \downarrow & & \searrow s & & \downarrow \tilde{f} \\
 (Z, \eta) & \xrightarrow{n} & (Y, \sigma) & \xrightarrow{q_Y} & (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})
 \end{array} \tag{4.10}$$

Let $\tilde{X} = \{[x_j] \mid j \in J\}$. Now let $w \in m^{-1}(C_{x_j})$, then $(s \circ h)(w) = (q_X \circ m)(w) = [m(w)] = [x_j]$ (as $m(w) \in C_{x_j}$, $[m(w)] = [x_j]$). So $h(w) \in s^{-1}(\{[x_j]\})$. Thus for each $j \in J$, we have a map $h_j : m^{-1}(C_{x_j}) \rightarrow s^{-1}(\{[x_j]\})$ defined as $h_j(w) = h(w)$, for every $w \in m^{-1}(C_{x_j})$. Next let $z \in s^{-1}(\{[x_j]\})$, then $(q_Y \circ n)(z) = (\tilde{f} \circ s)(z) = \tilde{f}(s(z)) = \tilde{f}([x_j]) = (\tilde{f} \circ q_X)(x_j) = (q_Y \circ f)(x_j)$. This implies that $[n(z)] = [f(x_j)]$. So $n(z) \sim f(x_j)$ and hence $n(z) \in C_{f(x_j)}$. Thus for each $j \in J$, we have a map $n_j : s^{-1}(\{[x_j]\}) \rightarrow C_{f(x_j)}$ defined as $n_j(z) = n(z)$, for every $z \in s^{-1}(\{[x_j]\})$. Now consider the following commutative diagram in category **Set**:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 m^{-1}(C_{x_j}) & \xrightarrow{m_j} & C_{x_j} \\
 h_j \downarrow & & \downarrow f_j \\
 s^{-1}(\{[x_j]\}) & \xrightarrow{n_j} & C_{f(x_j)}
 \end{array} \tag{4.11}$$

where $f_j : C_{x_j} \rightarrow C_{f(x_j)}$ is defined as $f_j(x) = f(x)$, for every $x \in C_{x_j}$ and $m_j : m^{-1}(C_{x_j}) \rightarrow C_{x_j}$ is defined as $m_j(w) = m(w)$, for every $w \in m^{-1}(C_{x_j})$. Now by (1), $f_j : C_{x_j} \rightarrow C_{f(x_j)}$ is onto and so (C_{x_j}, f_j) is injective in the category **Set**/ $C_{f(x_j)}$. Also since h is one-one, h_j is one-one. So there exists a map $g_j : s^{-1}(\{[x_j]\}) \rightarrow C_{x_j}$ such that $g_j \circ h_j = m_j$ and $f_j \circ g_j = n_j$. Thus for each $j \in J$, we have a map $g_j : s^{-1}(\{[x_j]\}) \rightarrow C_{x_j}$ such that $g_j \circ h_j = m_j$ and

$f_j \circ g_j = n_j$. Note that since $\tilde{X} = \bigcup_{j \in J} \{[x_j]\}$, $Z = \bigcup_{j \in J} s^{-1}(\{[x_j]\})$. Thus we can define a map $g : Z \rightarrow X$ as $g(z) = g_j(z)$, if $z \in s^{-1}(\{[x_j]\})$. Now we have to prove that $f \circ g = n$, $g \circ h = m$ and $g : (Z, \eta) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ is Q -continuous. Let $z \in Z$, then there exists a unique $j \in J$ such that $z \in s^{-1}(\{[x_j]\})$. Then $(f \circ g)(z) = f(g(z)) = f(g_j(z)) = f_j(g_j(z)) = (f_j \circ g_j)(z) = n_j(z) = n(z)$. This implies that $f \circ g = n$. Now consider $(g \circ h)(w) = g(h(w)) = g_j(h(w))$, if $h(w) \in s^{-1}(\{[x_j]\})$. Now if $h(w) \in s^{-1}(\{[x_j]\})$, then $(s \circ h)(w) = [x_j] \Rightarrow (q_X \circ m)(w) = [x_j] \Rightarrow [m(w)] = [x_j] \Rightarrow m(w) \sim x_j \Rightarrow m(w) \in C_{x_j} \Rightarrow w \in m^{-1}(C_{x_j})$ and so $h(w) = h_j(w)$. Thus if $h(w) \in s^{-1}(\{[x_j]\})$, then $(g \circ h)(w) = g_j(h(w)) = g_j(h_j(w)) = (g_j \circ h_j)(w) = m_j(w) = m(w)$. Thus $g \circ h = m$. Now we show that $g : (Z, \eta) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ is Q -continuous. Let $\alpha \in \tau$, then $\alpha = \beta \circ q_X$, for some $\beta \in \tilde{\tau}$. Now let $z \in Z$, then there exists a unique $j \in J$ such that $z \in s^{-1}(\{[x_j]\})$. Now consider $(\alpha \circ g)(z) = (\beta \circ q_X \circ g)(z) = (\beta \circ q_X)(g(z)) = (\beta \circ q_X)(g_j(z)) = \beta([g_j(z)]) = \beta([x_j])$ (since $g_j(z) \in C_{x_j}$, $[g_j(z)] = [x_j]$). Thus $(\alpha \circ g)(z) = \beta([x_j]) = \beta(s(z)) = (\beta \circ s)(z)$. Thus $\alpha \circ g = \beta \circ s \in \eta$ as $s : (Z, \eta) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau})$ is Q -continuous. Hence $g : (Z, \eta) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ is Q -continuous. Therefore $((X, \tau), f)$ is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$. \square

5 Existence of injective hulls in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$

Proposition 5.1. *Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be T_0 - Q -topological spaces. Then $((X, \tau), f)$ has an injective hull in $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(Y, \sigma)$ if and only if it has an injective hull in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$ and in this case injective hulls coincide.*

Proof. Suppose first that $((X, \tau), f)$ has an injective hull $j : ((X, \tau), f) \rightarrow ((Z, \eta), g)$ in $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(Y, \sigma)$. Then $((Z, \eta), g)$ is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(Y, \sigma)$ and then by Proposition 4.5, $((Z, \eta), g)$ is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$. Furthermore, $j : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}_0$ and then by Lemma 4.4, $j : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Now we have to prove that $j : ((X, \tau), f) \rightarrow ((Z, \eta), g)$ is essential in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$. We note that since $j : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$, by Proposition 3.2, $\tilde{j} : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}) \rightarrow (\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta})$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$ and hence $\tilde{j} : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}) \rightarrow (\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta})$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}_0$ by Lemma 4.4. Now we will first prove that $\tilde{j} : ((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f}) \rightarrow ((\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta}), \tilde{g})$ is essential in $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$. Let $h : ((\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta}), \tilde{g}) \rightarrow ((A, \tau_A), m)$ be a morphism in $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$ such that $h \circ \tilde{j} : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}) \rightarrow (A, \tau_A)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}_0$. Since composition of embeddings is an embed-

ding, $h \circ \tilde{j} \circ q_X : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (A, \tau_A)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}_0$ and then since $h \circ \tilde{j} \circ q_X = (h \circ q_Z) \circ j$, $(h \circ q_Z) \circ j : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (A, \tau_A)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}_0$. Thus we have a morphism $h \circ q_Z : ((Z, \eta), g) \rightarrow ((A, \tau_A), q_Y^{-1} \circ m)$ in $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(Y, \sigma)$ such that $(h \circ q_Z) \circ j : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (A, \tau_A)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}_0$. Then since $j : ((X, \tau), f) \rightarrow ((Z, \eta), g)$ is essential in $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(Y, \sigma)$, $h \circ q_Z : (Z, \eta) \rightarrow (A, \tau_A)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}_0$. Now since $(Z, \eta) \in Q\text{-TOP}_0$, $q_Z : (Z, \eta) \rightarrow (\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta})$ is an isomorphism in $Q\text{-TOP}_0$ and so it is essential in $Q\text{-TOP}_0$ and then since $h \circ q_Z : (Z, \eta) \rightarrow (A, \tau_A)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}_0$, $h : (\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta}) \rightarrow (A, \tau_A)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}_0$. Thus $\tilde{j} : ((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f}) \rightarrow ((\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta}), \tilde{g})$ is essential in $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$. Next let $k : ((Z, \eta), g) \rightarrow ((W, \theta), l)$ be a morphism in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$ such that $k \circ j : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (W, \theta)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Then by Proposition 3.2, $\tilde{k} \circ \tilde{j} : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}) \rightarrow (\tilde{W}, \tilde{\theta})$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$ and then by Lemma 4.4, it is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}_0$. Thus we have a morphism $\tilde{k} : ((\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta}), \tilde{g}) \rightarrow ((\tilde{W}, \tilde{\theta}), \tilde{l})$ in $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$ such that $\tilde{k} \circ \tilde{j} : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}) \rightarrow (\tilde{W}, \tilde{\theta})$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}_0$. Then since $\tilde{j} : ((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f}) \rightarrow ((\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta}), \tilde{g})$ is essential in $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$, $\tilde{k} : (\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta}) \rightarrow (\tilde{W}, \tilde{l})$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}_0$ and hence it is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$ by Lemma 4.4. Now we will prove that k is one-one. Let $k(z_1) = k(z_2) \Rightarrow (q_W \circ k)(z_1) = (q_W \circ k)(z_2) \Rightarrow (\tilde{k} \circ q_Z)(z_1) = (\tilde{k} \circ q_Z)(z_2) \Rightarrow z_1 = z_2$ (since $\tilde{k} \circ q_Z$ is one-one as both \tilde{k} and q_Z are one-one). Hence k is one-one. Thus by Proposition 3.2, $k : (Z, \eta) \rightarrow (W, \theta)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Hence $j : ((X, \tau), f) \rightarrow ((Z, \eta), g)$ is essential in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$. Therefore $j : ((X, \tau), f) \rightarrow ((Z, \eta), g)$ is an injective hull of $((X, \tau), f)$ in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$.

Conversely, let $((X, \tau), f)$ have an injective hull $j : ((X, \tau), f) \rightarrow ((Z, \eta), g)$ in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$. Now since $(X, \tau) \in Q\text{-TOP}_0$, $q_X : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau})$ is an isomorphism in $Q\text{-TOP}$ and hence $q_X : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau})$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Also since $j : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$, by Proposition 3.2, $\tilde{j} : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}) \rightarrow (\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta})$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Thus $\tilde{j} \circ q_X : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta})$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$ and since $\tilde{j} \circ q_X = q_Z \circ j$, $q_Z \circ j : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta})$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Thus we have a morphism $q_Z : ((Z, \eta), g) \rightarrow ((\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta}), q_Y^{-1} \circ \tilde{g})$ in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$ such that $q_Z \circ j : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta})$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$ and then since $j : ((X, \tau), f) \rightarrow ((Z, \eta), g)$ is essential in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$, $q_Z : (Z, \eta) \rightarrow (\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta})$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Hence $q_Z : (Z, \eta) \rightarrow (\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta})$ is initial in $Q\text{-TOP}$ and q_Z is bijective and thus by Proposition 3.3, $q_Z : (Z, \eta) \rightarrow (\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta})$ is an isomorphism in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Now since $Q\text{-TOP}_0$ is an isomorphism closed subcategory of $Q\text{-TOP}$, $(Z, \eta) \in Q\text{-}$

TOP₀. Thus $j : ((X, \tau), f) \rightarrow ((Z, \eta), g)$ is a morphism in $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(Y, \sigma)$. Now since $j : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$, by Lemma 4.4, $j : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}_0$. It can also be easily verified that $j : ((X, \tau), f) \rightarrow ((Z, \eta), g)$ is essential in $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(Y, \sigma)$. We also note that since $((Z, \eta), g)$ is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$ by Proposition 4.5, it is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(Y, \sigma)$. Therefore $j : ((X, \tau), f) \rightarrow ((Z, \eta), g)$ is an injective hull of $((X, \tau), f)$ in $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(Y, \sigma)$. \square

Definition 5.2. [12] Let $m : U \rightarrow B$ and $e : A \rightarrow U$ be morphisms in a category **C**. Then a *pullback complement* of the pair (m, e) in the category **C** is a pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{e} & U \\ \bar{m} \downarrow & & \downarrow m \\ P & \xrightarrow{\bar{e}} & B \end{array} \tag{5.1}$$

such that, given any pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{d} & U \\ k \downarrow & & \downarrow m \\ Y & \xrightarrow{g} & B \end{array} \tag{5.2}$$

and a morphism $h : X \rightarrow A$ with $e \circ h = d$, there is a unique morphism $h' : Y \rightarrow P$ with $\bar{e} \circ h' = g$ and $h' \circ k = \bar{m} \circ h$.

In the category **Set**, pullback complement of the pair (m, e) , where $m : Z \rightarrow Y$ is one-one and $e : X \rightarrow Z$ is a map, always exists and given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{e} & Z \\ \bar{m} \downarrow & & \downarrow m \\ (Y \setminus m(Z)) + X & \xrightarrow{\bar{e}} & Y \end{array} \tag{5.3}$$

where $(Y \setminus m(Z)) + X = \{(y, 1) \mid y \in Y \setminus m(Z)\} \cup \{(x, 2) \mid x \in X\}$, $\bar{m} : X \rightarrow (Y \setminus m(Z)) + X$ is defined as $\bar{m}(x) = (x, 2)$ and $\bar{e} : (Y \setminus m(Z)) + X \rightarrow Y$ is defined as $\bar{e}(y, 1) = y$, $\bar{e}(x, 2) = (m \circ e)(x)$ (cf. [5, 12]). It can also be easily verified that if e is onto, then \bar{e} is onto.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $m : (Z, \eta) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$ and let $e : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ be initial in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Then there exists a pullback complement of (m, e) in $Q\text{-TOP}$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X, \tau) & \xrightarrow{e} & (Z, \eta) \\ \bar{m} \downarrow & & \downarrow m \\ (W, \theta) & \xrightarrow{\bar{e}} & (Y, \sigma) \end{array} \quad (5.4)$$

where $\bar{e} : (W, \theta) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is initial in $Q\text{-TOP}$.

Proof. Let us consider the pullback complement of the pair (m, e) in **Set** given by the following:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{e} & Z \\ \bar{m} \downarrow & & \downarrow m \\ W & \xrightarrow{\bar{e}} & Y \end{array} \quad (5.5)$$

where $W = (Y \setminus m(Z)) + X$, $\bar{m} : X \rightarrow (Y \setminus m(Z)) + X$ is defined as $\bar{m}(x) = (x, 2)$ and $\bar{e} : (Y \setminus m(Z)) + X \rightarrow Y$ is defined as $\bar{e}(y, 1) = y$, $\bar{e}(x, 2) = (m \circ e)(x)$. Now let $\theta = \{\beta \circ \bar{e} \mid \beta \in \sigma\}$. Let $\beta \circ \bar{e} \in \theta$, where $\beta \in \sigma$. Then $\beta \circ \bar{e} \circ \bar{m} = \beta \circ m \circ e = \beta \circ (m \circ e) \in \tau$ (as $m \circ e : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is Q -continuous). Thus $\bar{m} : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (W, \theta)$ is Q -continuous. Next let following be a commutative diagram in $Q\text{-TOP}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\hat{W}, \hat{\theta}) & \xrightarrow{\hat{e}} & (Z, \eta) \\ \hat{m} \downarrow & & \downarrow m \\ (W, \theta) & \xrightarrow{\bar{e}} & (Y, \sigma) \end{array} \quad (5.6)$$

Since the diagram 5.5 is a pullback square in **Set**, there exists a unique map $f : \hat{W} \rightarrow X$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \hat{W} & \xrightarrow{\hat{e}} & Z \\
 \downarrow f & \searrow e & \downarrow m \\
 X & \xrightarrow{e} & Z \\
 \downarrow \hat{m} & & \downarrow m \\
 W & \xrightarrow{\bar{e}} & Y
 \end{array}
 \tag{5.7}$$

Now we will show that $f : (\hat{W}, \hat{\theta}) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ is Q -continuous. Let $\beta \circ e \in \tau$, where $\beta \in \eta$. Then $\beta \circ e \circ f = \beta \circ \hat{e} \in \hat{\theta}$ (as $\hat{e} : (\hat{W}, \hat{\theta}) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ is Q -continuous). Thus $f : (\hat{W}, \hat{\theta}) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ is Q -continuous. Hence the diagram 5.4 is a pullback square in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Now let following be a pullback square in $Q\text{-TOP}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (A, \tau_A) & \xrightarrow{d} & (Z, \eta) \\
 k \downarrow & & \downarrow m \\
 (B, \tau_B) & \xrightarrow{g} & (Y, \sigma)
 \end{array}
 \tag{5.8}$$

and let $h : (A, \tau_A) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ be a Q -continuous map such that $e \circ h = d$. Then if we consider the diagram 5.8 in **Set**, then it is a pullback square in **Set** also and since the diagram 5.5 is a pullback complement diagram in **Set**, there exists a unique map $h' : B \rightarrow W$ such that $\bar{e} \circ h' = g$ and $h' \circ k = \bar{m} \circ h$. So now it is sufficient to show that $h' : (B, \tau_B) \rightarrow (W, \theta)$ is Q -continuous. Let $\beta \circ \bar{e} \in \theta$, where $\beta \in \sigma$. Then $\beta \circ \bar{e} \circ h' = \beta \circ g \in \tau_B$ (as $g : (B, \tau_B) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is Q -continuous). Thus $h' : (B, \tau_B) \rightarrow (W, \theta)$ is Q -continuous. Therefore the diagram 5.4 gives a pullback complement of (m, e) in $Q\text{-TOP}$. \square

Proposition 5.4. [4] Let C be a category and let following be a pullback square in C

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 W & \xrightarrow{p} & X \\
 q \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\
 Z & \xrightarrow{g} & Y
 \end{array}
 \tag{5.9}$$

If (X, f) is injective in C/Y , then (W, q) is injective in C/Z .

The following Theorem 5.5 is concerned with the extension of Theorem 2.11 of [5], in the category $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$.

Theorem 5.5. *Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be Q -topological spaces and let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a Q -continuous map. Then following statements are equivalent:*

1. $((X, \tau), f)$ has an injective hull in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$.
2. $((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f})$ has an injective hull in $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$.
3. $((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f})$ has an injective hull in $Q\text{-TOP}/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let $((X, \tau), f)$ have an injective hull $j : ((X, \tau), f) \rightarrow ((Z, \eta), g)$ in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$. We will show that $\tilde{j} : ((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f}) \rightarrow ((\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta}), \tilde{g})$ is an injective hull of $((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f})$ in $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$. Now since $((Z, \eta), g)$ is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$, by Proposition 4.9 $((\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta}), \tilde{g})$ is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$. Next since $j : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$, $\tilde{j} : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}) \rightarrow (\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta})$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$ and then by Lemma 4.4, $\tilde{j} : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}) \rightarrow (\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta})$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}_0$. Next let $k : ((\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta}), \tilde{g}) \rightarrow ((W, \theta), l)$ be a morphism in $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$ such that $k \circ \tilde{j} : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}) \rightarrow (W, \theta)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}_0$. We have to prove that $k : (\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta}) \rightarrow (W, \theta)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}_0$. Consider the Q -topology $\{\alpha \circ p_1 \mid \alpha \in \theta\}$ on $W \times Z$, where $p_1 : W \times Z \rightarrow W$ is the first projection map. Let $T = W \times Z$ and $\rho = \{\alpha \circ p_1 \mid \alpha \in \theta\}$. Now we will prove that $(\tilde{T}, \tilde{\rho})$ and (W, θ) are isomorphic. Define a map $h : \tilde{T} \rightarrow W$ as $h([(w, z)]) = w$. Let $[(w_1, z_1)] = [(w_2, z_2)] \Rightarrow (\alpha \circ p_1)(w_1, z_1) = (\alpha \circ p_1)(w_2, z_2)$, for every $\alpha \in \theta \Rightarrow \alpha(w_1) = \alpha(w_2)$, for every $\alpha \in \theta \Rightarrow w_1 = w_2$ (since $(W, \theta) \in Q\text{-TOP}_0$ and if $w_1 \neq w_2$, then there exists $\alpha \in \theta$ such that $\alpha(w_1) \neq \alpha(w_2)$). Thus the map h is well-defined. Now let $h([(w_1, z_1)]) = h([(w_2, z_2)]) \Rightarrow w_1 = w_2 \Rightarrow \alpha(w_1) = \alpha(w_2)$, for every $\alpha \in \theta \Rightarrow (\alpha \circ p_1)(w_1, z_1) = (\alpha \circ p_1)(w_2, z_2)$, for every $\alpha \in \theta \Rightarrow [(w_1, z_1)] = [(w_2, z_2)]$. Thus h is one-one and hence h is bijective. Now let $\alpha \in \theta$. Then $(\alpha \circ h \circ q_{W \times Z})(w, z) = \alpha(w) = (\alpha \circ p_1)(w, z) \Rightarrow \alpha \circ h \circ q_{W \times Z} = \alpha \circ p_1 \in \rho \Rightarrow \alpha \circ h \in \tilde{\rho}$. Thus $h : (\tilde{T}, \tilde{\rho}) \rightarrow (W, \theta)$ is Q -continuous. Now let $\beta \in \tilde{\rho}$, then $\beta \circ q_{W \times Z} \in \rho$ and so $\beta \circ q_{W \times Z} = \alpha \circ p_1$, for some $\alpha \in \theta$. Then $(\beta \circ q_{W \times Z})(w, z) = (\alpha \circ p_1)(w, z) = \alpha(w) = \alpha(h([(w, z)])) = (\alpha \circ h)([(w, z)]) = (\alpha \circ h \circ q_{W \times Z})(w, z) \Rightarrow \beta \circ q_{W \times Z} = \alpha \circ h \circ q_{W \times Z}$ and so $\beta = \alpha \circ h$ (as $q_{W \times Z}$ is onto). Thus $h : (\tilde{T}, \tilde{\rho}) \rightarrow (W, \theta)$ is initial in $Q\text{-TOP}$ and also h is bijective. Hence by Proposition 3.3, $h : (\tilde{T}, \tilde{\rho}) \rightarrow (W, \theta)$ is an isomorphism in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Now it can be easily verified that the map $k' = (k \circ q_Z, id_Z) : (Z, \eta) \rightarrow (W \times Z, \rho)$ is Q -continuous and the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(Z, \eta) & \xrightarrow{k'} & (W \times Z, \rho) \\
g \downarrow & & \downarrow l \circ h \circ q_{W \times Z} \\
(Y, \sigma) & \xrightarrow{q_Y} & (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})
\end{array} \tag{5.10}$$

Now since q_Y is onto, (Y, q_Y) is injective in the category \mathbf{Set}/\tilde{Y} and since k' is one-one, there exists a map $m : W \times Z \rightarrow Y$ such that $m \circ k' = g$ and $q_Y \circ m = l \circ h \circ q_{W \times Z}$. Let $v \circ q_Y \in \sigma$, where $v \in \tilde{\sigma}$, then $v \circ q_Y \circ m = v \circ l \circ h \circ q_{W \times Z} \in \rho$ (as $l \circ h \circ q_{W \times Z} : (W \times Z, \rho) \rightarrow (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$ is Q -continuous). Thus $m : (W \times Z, \rho) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is Q -continuous. Hence $((W \times Z, \rho), m) \in Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$. Now it can be easily verified that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(Z, \eta) & \xrightarrow{k'} & (W \times Z, \rho) \\
q_Z \downarrow & & \downarrow q_{W \times Z} \\
(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta}) & \xrightarrow{h^{-1} \circ k} & (\tilde{T}, \tilde{\rho})
\end{array} \tag{5.11}$$

Thus $\tilde{k}' = h^{-1} \circ k$. Let $p = k' \circ j$. Then $\tilde{p} = \tilde{k}' \circ \tilde{j} = (h^{-1} \circ k) \circ \tilde{j} = h^{-1} \circ (k \circ \tilde{j})$. Now since $h^{-1} : (W, \theta) \rightarrow (\tilde{T}, \tilde{\rho})$ is an isomorphism in $Q\text{-TOP}$, it is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$. We know that composition of embeddings is an embedding and since $\tilde{p} = h^{-1} \circ (k \circ \tilde{j})$, $\tilde{p} : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}) \rightarrow (\tilde{T}, \tilde{\rho})$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Also $p = k' \circ j$ is one-one. Thus by Proposition 3.2, $p = k' \circ j : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (W \times Z, \rho)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Thus $k' : ((Z, \eta), g) \rightarrow ((W \times Z, \rho), m)$ is a morphism in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$ such that $k' \circ j : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (W \times Z, \rho)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$ and then since $j : ((X, \tau), f) \rightarrow ((Z, \eta), g)$ is essential in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$, $k' : (Z, \eta) \rightarrow (W \times Z, \rho)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Then by Proposition 3.2, $\tilde{k}' : (\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta}) \rightarrow (\tilde{T}, \tilde{\rho})$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Now since $\tilde{k}' = h^{-1} \circ k$, so $h^{-1} \circ k : (\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta}) \rightarrow (\tilde{T}, \tilde{\rho})$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$. This implies that $k : (\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta}) \rightarrow (W, \theta)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$ and then by Lemma 4.4, $k : (\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta}) \rightarrow (W, \theta)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}_0$. Thus $\tilde{j} : ((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f}) \rightarrow ((\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta}), \tilde{g})$ is essential in $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$. Therefore $\tilde{j} : ((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f}) \rightarrow ((\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta}), \tilde{g})$ is an injective hull of $((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f})$ in $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Follows from Proposition 5.1.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let $j : ((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f}) \rightarrow ((Z, \eta), g)$ be an injective hull of $((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f})$ in $Q\text{-TOP}/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$. Then by Proposition 5.1, $j : ((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f}) \rightarrow ((Z, \eta), g)$ is an injective hull of $((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f})$ in $Q\text{-TOP}_0/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$. Thus clearly $(Z, \eta) \in Q\text{-TOP}_0$.

Now let $q : (W, \theta) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ be a pullback of $q_Y : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$ along $g : (Z, \eta) \rightarrow (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$ in $Q\text{-TOP}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (W, \theta) & \xrightarrow{q} & (Z, \eta) \\
 p \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\
 (Y, \sigma) & \xrightarrow{q_Y} & (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})
 \end{array} \tag{5.12}$$

We note that by Proposition 3.5, $\tilde{q} : (\tilde{W}, \tilde{\theta}) \rightarrow (\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta})$ is an isomorphism in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Now since $g \circ j \circ q_X = (g \circ j) \circ q_X = \tilde{f} \circ q_X = q_Y \circ f$ and the diagram 5.12 is a pullback, there exists a unique Q -continuous map $l : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (W, \theta)$ for which the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (X, \tau) & & \xrightarrow{f} & & (Y, \sigma) \\
 \downarrow q_X & \searrow l & & \xrightarrow{p} & \downarrow q_Y \\
 & (W, \theta) & & & (Y, \sigma) \\
 & \downarrow q & & & \downarrow q_Y \\
 (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}) & \searrow j & & \xrightarrow{g} & (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma}) \\
 & (Z, \eta) & & & (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})
 \end{array} \tag{5.13}$$

Now since $q_X : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau})$ and $j : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ both are initial in $Q\text{-TOP}$ and composition of initial maps is initial, $j \circ q_X : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ is initial in $Q\text{-TOP}$ and since $j \circ q_X = q \circ l$, $q \circ l : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ is initial in $Q\text{-TOP}$ and then it can be easily proved that $l : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (W, \theta)$ is initial in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Now let $e : X \rightarrow l(X)$ defined as $e(x) = l(x)$ and let $m : l(X) \rightarrow W$ be the inclusion map. Next if we take the Q -topology $\theta' = \{\beta \circ m \mid \beta \in \theta\}$ on $l(X)$, then we have a factorization of $l : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (W, \theta)$ given by $l = m \circ e$ such that $e : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (l(X), \theta')$ is onto and $m : (l(X), \theta') \rightarrow (W, \theta)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Then since $l : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (W, \theta)$ is initial in $Q\text{-TOP}$ and $l = m \circ e$, $e : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (l(X), \theta')$ is initial in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Thus by Proposition 5.3, there exists a pullback complement of (m, e) in $Q\text{-TOP}$ given by:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (X, \tau) & \xrightarrow{e} & (l(X), \theta') \\
 \bar{m} \downarrow & & \downarrow m \\
 (E, \tau_E) & \xrightarrow{\bar{e}} & (W, \theta)
 \end{array} \tag{5.14}$$

where $E = (W \setminus m(l(X))) + X$, $\bar{m} : X \rightarrow E$ is defined as $\bar{m}(x) = (x, 2)$, $\bar{e} : E \rightarrow W$ is defined as $\bar{e}(w, 1) = w$, $\bar{e}(x, 2) = (m \circ e)(x)$ and $\bar{e} : (E, \tau_E) \rightarrow (W, \theta)$ is initial in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Also since e is onto, \bar{e} is onto. Now since \bar{e} is onto and $\bar{e} : (E, \tau_E) \rightarrow (W, \theta)$ is initial in $Q\text{-TOP}$, as in the proof of the Proposition 3.5, we can prove that \bar{e} is bijective and $\bar{e} : (\tilde{E}, \tilde{\tau}_E) \rightarrow (\tilde{W}, \tilde{\theta})$ is initial in $Q\text{-TOP}$ and hence by Proposition 3.3, $\bar{e} : (\tilde{E}, \tilde{\tau}_E) \rightarrow (\tilde{W}, \tilde{\theta})$ is an isomorphism in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Also by Proposition 4.1, $((E, \tau_E), \bar{e})$ is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}/(W, \theta)$. Now since $((Z, \eta), g)$ is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$ and the diagram 5.12 is a pullback, by Proposition 5.4, $((W, \theta), p)$ is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$. Thus by Proposition 4.3, $((E, \tau_E), p \circ \bar{e})$ is injective in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$. Now since $p \circ \bar{e} \circ \bar{m} = p \circ l = f$, $\bar{m} : ((X, \tau), f) \rightarrow ((E, \tau_E), p \circ \bar{e})$ is a morphism in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$. Now we will prove that $\bar{m} : ((X, \tau), f) \rightarrow ((E, \tau_E), p \circ \bar{e})$ is an injective hull of $((X, \tau), f)$ in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$. We know that regular monomorphisms in $Q\text{-TOP}$ are precisely embeddings in $Q\text{-TOP}$ and since the diagram 5.14 is a pullback and $m : (l(X), \theta') \rightarrow (W, \theta)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$, by Proposition 3.4, $\bar{m} : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (E, \tau_E)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Next let $k : ((E, \tau_E), p \circ \bar{e}) \rightarrow ((G, \tau_G), h)$ be a morphism in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$ such that $k \circ \bar{m} : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (G, \tau_G)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$. We have to show that $k : (E, \tau_E) \rightarrow (G, \tau_G)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$. To prove this, in view of Proposition 3.2 it is sufficient to prove that $\tilde{k} : (\tilde{E}, \tilde{\tau}_E) \rightarrow (\tilde{G}, \tilde{\tau}_G)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$ and k is one-one. Now since $k \circ \bar{m} : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (G, \tau_G)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$, by Proposition 3.2, $\tilde{k} \circ \bar{m} : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}) \rightarrow (\tilde{G}, \tilde{\tau}_G)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Now $(\tilde{q})^{-1} \circ q_Z \circ j \circ q_X = (\tilde{q})^{-1} \circ q_Z \circ (j \circ q_X) = (\tilde{q})^{-1} \circ q_Z \circ (q \circ l) = (\tilde{q})^{-1} \circ (q_Z \circ q) \circ l = (\tilde{q})^{-1} \circ (\tilde{q} \circ q_W) \circ l = q_W \circ l$. Thus the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (X, \tau) & \xrightarrow{l} & (W, \theta) \\
 q_X \downarrow & & \downarrow q_W \\
 (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{q})^{-1} \circ q_Z \circ j} & (\tilde{W}, \tilde{\theta})
 \end{array} \tag{5.15}$$

and hence $\tilde{l} = (\tilde{q})^{-1} \circ q_Z \circ j$. We also have $g \circ q_Z^{-1} \circ \tilde{q} \circ q_W = g \circ q_Z^{-1} \circ (\tilde{q} \circ q_W) = g \circ q_Z^{-1} \circ (q_Z \circ q) = g \circ q = q_Y \circ p$. Thus the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (W, \theta) & \xrightarrow{p} & (Y, \sigma) \\
 q_W \downarrow & & \downarrow q_Y \\
 (\tilde{W}, \tilde{\theta}) & \xrightarrow{g \circ q_Z^{-1} \circ \tilde{q}} & (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})
 \end{array} \tag{5.16}$$

and so $\tilde{p} = g \circ q_Z^{-1} \circ \tilde{q}$. Now since $(Z, \eta) \in Q\text{-TOP}_0$, $q_Z : (Z, \eta) \rightarrow (\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta})$ is an isomorphism in $Q\text{-TOP}$ and since $(\tilde{q})^{-1} : (\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\eta}) \rightarrow (\tilde{W}, \tilde{\theta})$ is also an isomorphism in $Q\text{-TOP}$, $(\tilde{q})^{-1} \circ q_Z : (Z, \eta) \rightarrow (\tilde{W}, \tilde{\theta})$ is an isomorphism in $Q\text{-TOP}$ and so it is an essential embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$ and then it can be easily seen that $(\tilde{q})^{-1} \circ q_Z : ((Z, \eta), g) \rightarrow ((\tilde{W}, \tilde{\theta}), \tilde{p})$ is a morphism in $Q\text{-TOP}/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$ which is essential in $Q\text{-TOP}/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$. Thus $\tilde{l} = (\tilde{q})^{-1} \circ q_Z \circ j : ((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f}) \rightarrow ((\tilde{W}, \tilde{\theta}), \tilde{p})$ is essential in $Q\text{-TOP}/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$. Now since $l = \tilde{e} \circ \tilde{m}$, $\tilde{l} = \tilde{e} \circ \tilde{m}$ and so $\tilde{m} = (\tilde{e})^{-1} \circ \tilde{l}$. Now since $(\tilde{e})^{-1} : (\tilde{W}, \tilde{\theta}) \rightarrow (\tilde{E}, \tilde{\tau}_E)$ is an isomorphism in $Q\text{-TOP}$, it is an essential embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Thus we have a morphism $(\tilde{e})^{-1} : ((\tilde{W}, \tilde{\theta}), \tilde{p}) \rightarrow ((\tilde{E}, \tilde{\tau}_E), \tilde{p} \circ \tilde{e})$ in $Q\text{-TOP}/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$ which is essential in $Q\text{-TOP}/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$. Then since $\tilde{m} = (\tilde{e})^{-1} \circ \tilde{l}$, $\tilde{m} : ((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f}) \rightarrow ((\tilde{E}, \tilde{\tau}_E), \tilde{p} \circ \tilde{e})$ is essential in $Q\text{-TOP}/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$. Now since $\tilde{k} : ((\tilde{E}, \tilde{\tau}_E), \tilde{p} \circ \tilde{e}) \rightarrow ((\tilde{G}, \tilde{\tau}_G), \tilde{h})$ is a morphism in $Q\text{-TOP}/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$ such that $\tilde{k} \circ \tilde{m} : ((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f}) \rightarrow ((\tilde{G}, \tilde{\tau}_G), \tilde{h})$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$, and $\tilde{m} : ((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\tau}), \tilde{f}) \rightarrow ((\tilde{E}, \tilde{\tau}_E), \tilde{p} \circ \tilde{e})$ is essential in $Q\text{-TOP}/(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})$, $\tilde{k} : (\tilde{E}, \tilde{\tau}_E) \rightarrow (\tilde{G}, \tilde{\tau}_G)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$. Thus by Proposition 3.2, to prove that $k : (E, \tau_E) \rightarrow (G, \tau_G)$ is an embedding in $Q\text{-TOP}$, now it is sufficient to prove that k is one-one. Let (T, τ_T) be a Q -topological space and let $\alpha, \beta : (T, \tau_T) \rightarrow (E, \tau_E)$ be Q -continuous maps such that $k \circ \alpha = k \circ \beta$. This implies that $q_G \circ k \circ \alpha = q_G \circ k \circ \beta \Rightarrow (q_G \circ k) \circ \alpha = (q_G \circ k) \circ \beta \Rightarrow (\tilde{k} \circ q_E) \circ \alpha = (\tilde{k} \circ q_E) \circ \beta \Rightarrow \tilde{k} \circ (q_E \circ \alpha) = \tilde{k} \circ (q_E \circ \beta)$ and since \tilde{k} is one-one, $q_E \circ \alpha = q_E \circ \beta$. Now since $g \circ q \circ \tilde{e} \circ \alpha = q_Y \circ p \circ \tilde{e} \circ \alpha = q_Y \circ h \circ k \circ \alpha$ and the diagram 5.12 is a pullback, there exists a unique Q -continuous map from (T, τ_T) to (W, θ) making the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (T, \tau_T) & \xrightarrow{q \circ \bar{e} \circ \alpha} & (Z, \eta) \\
 \downarrow h \circ k \circ \alpha & \searrow \text{dotted} & \downarrow q \\
 (W, \theta) & \xrightarrow{q} & (Z, \eta) \\
 \downarrow p & & \downarrow g \\
 (Y, \sigma) & \xrightarrow{q_Y} & (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\sigma})
 \end{array} \tag{5.17}$$

Now we have $p \circ \bar{e} \circ \beta = (p \circ \bar{e}) \circ \beta = (h \circ k) \circ \beta = h \circ (k \circ \beta) = h \circ k \circ \alpha$ and $q \circ \bar{e} \circ \beta = q_Z^{-1} \circ \tilde{q} \circ q_W \circ \bar{e} \circ \beta = q_Z^{-1} \circ \tilde{q} \circ (q_W \circ \bar{e}) \circ \beta = q_Z^{-1} \circ \tilde{q} \circ (\tilde{e} \circ q_E) \circ \beta = q_Z^{-1} \circ \tilde{q} \circ \tilde{e} \circ (q_E \circ \beta) = q_Z^{-1} \circ \tilde{q} \circ \tilde{e} \circ (q_E \circ \alpha) = q_Z^{-1} \circ \tilde{q} \circ (\tilde{e} \circ q_E) \circ \alpha = q_Z^{-1} \circ \tilde{q} \circ (q_W \circ \bar{e}) \circ \alpha = (q_Z^{-1} \circ \tilde{q} \circ q_W) \circ \bar{e} \circ \alpha = q \circ \bar{e} \circ \alpha$. Also we have $p \circ \bar{e} \circ \alpha = h \circ k \circ \alpha$. Thus here we have two Q -continuous maps $\bar{e} \circ \alpha, \bar{e} \circ \beta : (T, \tau_T) \rightarrow (W, \theta)$ making the diagram 5.17 commutative. So $\bar{e} \circ \alpha = \bar{e} \circ \beta$. Now consider a pullback of $\bar{e} \circ \alpha = \bar{e} \circ \beta : (T, \tau_T) \rightarrow (W, \theta)$ along $m : (l(X), \theta') \rightarrow (W, \theta)$ in $Q\text{-TOP}$ given by the following:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (T', \tau_{T'}) & \xrightarrow{t_1} & (l(X), \theta') \\
 \downarrow t_2 & & \downarrow m \\
 (T, \tau_T) & \xrightarrow[\beta]{\alpha} & (E, \tau_E) \xrightarrow{\bar{e}} (W, \theta)
 \end{array} \tag{5.18}$$

Now since the diagram 5.14 is a pullback square, there exists a unique Q -continuous map $l_1 : (T', \tau_{T'}) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ for which the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (T', \tau_{T'}) & \xrightarrow{t_1} & (l(X), \theta') \\
 \downarrow l_1 & \searrow & \downarrow e \\
 (X, \tau) & \xrightarrow{e} & (l(X), \theta') \\
 \downarrow \alpha \circ t_2 & & \downarrow m \\
 (E, \tau_E) & \xrightarrow{\bar{e}} & (W, \theta)
 \end{array} \tag{5.19}$$

Similarly we have a unique Q -continuous map $l_2 : (T', \tau_{T'}) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ for which the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (T', \tau_{T'}) & \xrightarrow{t_1} & (l(X), \theta') \\
 \downarrow l_2 & & \downarrow e \\
 (X, \tau) & \xrightarrow{e} & (l(X), \theta') \\
 \downarrow \beta \circ t_2 & & \downarrow m \\
 (E, \tau_E) & \xrightarrow{\bar{e}} & (W, \theta)
 \end{array}
 \quad (5.20)$$

Then we have $\beta \circ t_2 = \bar{m} \circ l_2 \Rightarrow k \circ \beta \circ t_2 = k \circ \bar{m} \circ l_2 \Rightarrow k \circ \alpha \circ t_2 = k \circ \bar{m} \circ l_2$ and $\bar{m} \circ l_1 = \alpha \circ t_2 \Rightarrow k \circ \bar{m} \circ l_1 = k \circ \alpha \circ t_2$. Thus $k \circ \bar{m} \circ l_1 = k \circ \bar{m} \circ l_2$ and since $k \circ \bar{m}$ is one-one, $l_1 = l_2$. Let $l_1 = l_2 = l_0$. Thus the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & t_1 & & \\
 & & \curvearrowright & & \\
 (T', \tau_{T'}) & \xrightarrow{l_0} & (X, \tau) & \xrightarrow{e} & (l(X), \theta') \\
 \downarrow t_2 & & \downarrow \bar{m} & & \downarrow m \\
 (T, \tau_T) & \xrightarrow[\beta]{\alpha} & (E, \tau_E) & \xrightarrow{\bar{e}} & (W, \theta)
 \end{array}
 \quad (5.21)$$

Now since the diagram 5.14 is a pullback complement diagram, with respect to the pullback diagram 5.18 and Q -continuous map $l_0 : (T', \tau_{T'}) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$, there exists a unique Q -continuous map from (T, τ_T) to (E, τ_E) making the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (T', \tau_{T'}) & \xrightarrow{l_0} & (X, \tau) \\
 \downarrow t_2 & & \downarrow \bar{m} \\
 (T, \tau_T) & \cdots \cdots \cdots \rightarrow & (E, \tau_E) \\
 & \searrow \bar{e} \circ \alpha & \downarrow \bar{e} \\
 & & (W, \theta)
 \end{array}
 \quad (5.22)$$

But here we have two Q -continuous maps $\alpha, \beta : (T, \tau_T) \rightarrow (E, \tau_E)$ making the diagram 5.22 commutative. So $\alpha = \beta$ and hence k is one-one. Therefore $\bar{m} : ((X, \tau), f) \rightarrow ((E, \tau_E), p \circ \bar{e})$ is an injective hull of $((X, \tau), f)$ in $Q\text{-TOP}/(Y, \sigma)$. \square

Acknowledgement

The first author Harshita Tiwari gratefully acknowledges the financial support in the form of INSPIRE fellowship (offer letter no. DST/INSPIRE Fellowship/2017/IF170407), given by Department of Science and Technology, New Delhi, India.

References

- [1] Adamek, J., Herrlich, H., and Strecker, G., "Abstract and Concrete Categories", Wiley-Interscience, 1990.
- [2] Adamek, J., Herrlich, H., Rosicky, J., and Tholen, W., *Weak factorization systems and topological functors*, Appl. Categ. Struct. 10 (2002), 237-249.
- [3] Adamek, J., Herrlich, H., Rosicky, J., and Tholen, W., *Injective hulls are not natural*, Appl. Categ. Struct. 48 (2002), 379-388.
- [4] Cagliari, F. and Mantovani, S., *Injective topological fibre spaces*, Topology Appl. 125 (2002), 525-532.
- [5] Cagliari, F. and Mantovani, S., *T_0 -reflection and injective hulls of fibre spaces*, Topology Appl. 132 (2003), 129-138.
- [6] Cagliari, F. and Mantovani, S., *Injective hulls of T_0 -topological fibre spaces*, Appl. Categ. Struct. 11 (2003), 377-390.
- [7] Chang, C. L., *Fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 24 (1968), 182-190.
- [8] Goguen, J., *The fuzzy Tychonoff theorem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 43 (1973), 734-742.
- [9] Singh, S.K. and Srivastava, A.K., *A characterization of the category $Q\text{-TOP}$* , Fuzzy Sets Syst. 227 (2013), 46-50.
- [10] Singh, S.K. and Srivastava, A.K., *On T_0 -objects in $Q\text{-TOP}$* , Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 12 (2016), 597-604.
- [11] Solovyov, S.A., *Sobriety and spatiality in varieties of algebras*, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 159 (2008), 2567-2585.
- [12] Tholen, W., *Exponentiable monomorphisms*, Quaest. Math. 9 (1986), 443-458.
- [13] Tholen, W., *Essential weak factorization systems*, Contrib. Gen. Algebra 13 (2001), 321-333.
- [14] Wyler, O., *Injective spaces and essential extensions in TOP* , Gen. Topology Appl. 7 (1977), 247-249.

Harshita Tiwari Department of Mathematical Sciences, Indian Institute of Technology,
Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221005, India.
Email: harshitatiwari.rs.mat17@itbhu.ac.in

Rekha Srivastava Department of Mathematical Sciences, Indian Institute of Technology,
Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221005, India.
Email: rsrivastava.apm@itbhu.ac.in

