



# Quasi-projective covers of right $S$ -acts

Mohammad Roueentan and Majid Ershad

**Abstract.** In this paper  $S$  is a monoid with a left zero and  $A_S$  (or  $A$ ) is a unitary right  $S$ -act. It is shown that a monoid  $S$  is right perfect (semiperfect) if and only if every (finitely generated) strongly flat right  $S$ -act is quasi-projective. Also it is shown that if every right  $S$ -act has a unique zero element, then the existence of a quasi-projective cover for each right act implies that every right act has a projective cover.

## 1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Let  $S$  be a monoid. For right  $S$ -acts  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $A$  is called  $B$ -projective or projective relative to  $B$  if for every right  $S$ -act  $C$ , every homomorphism  $f : A \rightarrow C$  can be lifted with respect to every epimorphism  $g : B \rightarrow C$ , that is there exists a homomorphism  $h : A \rightarrow B$  such that  $f = gh$ .  $A_S$  is called projective if it is projective relative to every right  $S$ -act. Also  $A$  is called *quasi-projective* if  $A$  is  $A$ -projective and is called *weakly-projective* if  $A$  is projective relative to  $S_S$  ([1, 7]). There are quite a few papers describing projective acts and their generalizations. Some other generalizations of projectivity are principal weak projectivity, Rees weak projectivity and principal Rees weak projectivity, see [6]. Quasi-projective acts have been studied by Ahsan and Saifullah [1]. Also the concept of weakly-projective acts have been introduced by Knauer and Olthmanns [7]. In this paper we study the concept of quasi-projective cover. Recall that over a monoid  $S$ , an  $S$ -act  $A$  has a projective cover  $P$  if there is an epimorphism  $f : P \rightarrow A$

---

*Keywords:* Projective, quasi-projective, perfect, semiperfect, cover.  
*Mathematics Subject Classification* [2010]: 20M30, 20M50.

such that,  $P$  is projective and  $f|_C : C \rightarrow A$  is not epimorphism for every subact  $C$  of  $P$  (see [5]). Similar to projective cover (as above) we can define quasi-projective cover, noting that  $P$  has to be quasi-projective in this case. Monoids which have a projective cover for each right act are called right perfect monoids. For more details concerning covers of acts, see [2, 3, 4, 8]. In [2], Fountain proved that a monoid  $S$  is right perfect if and only if every strongly flat right  $S$ -act is projective. From this point of view, we prove that for a monoid  $S$  to be right perfect it is enough to show that every strongly flat right  $S$ -act is quasi-projective (see Theorem 2.5). Also we give a characterization for monoids for which every cyclic strongly flat act is projective. To give the main result, we focus our attention on right  $S$ -acts which have a unique zero. It is shown that if each right  $S$ -act has a quasi-projective cover, then  $S$  is right perfect.

Modifying the proof of Lemma 1 of [1], we can deduce the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.1.** ([1]) *Let  $S$  be a monoid with a left zero and  $\varphi : A_S \rightarrow B_S$  be an  $S$ -epimorphism. If  $A_S \sqcup B_S$  is quasi-projective, then  $B$  is a retract of  $A$ .*

By the above lemma it is easy to see that over a monoid  $S$  with a left zero an  $S$ -act (a finitely generated  $S$ -act)  $A_S$  is projective if and only if there exists an epimorphism  $g : P \rightarrow A$  such that  $P$  is a (finitely generated) projective right  $S$ -act and  $P_S \sqcup A_S$  is quasi-projective. This fact implies the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Suppose  $S$  is a monoid with a left zero and  $X$  is a property of acts which is preserved under coproduct and is weaker than projectivity (such as strongly flatness, flatness and etc.), then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *Every (finitely generated) right  $S$ -act with property  $X$  is quasi-projective.*
- (ii) *Every (finitely generated) right  $S$ -act with property  $X$  is projective.*

By Theorem 4.10.5 of [5] and Theorem 1.2, the following result holds.

**Corollary 1.3.** *Over a monoid  $S$  with a left zero the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *Every principally weakly flat right  $S$ -act is quasi-projective.*

- (ii) Every weakly flat right  $S$ -act is quasi-projective.
- (iii) Every flat right  $S$ -act is quasi-projective.
- (iv) Every flat right  $S$ -act is projective.
- (v)  $S = \{1\}$

From Theorem 4.11.8 of [5] and Theorem 1.2, we can deduce the following Corollary.

**Corollary 1.4.** *Suppose  $S$  is a monoid with a left zero, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) All finitely generated right  $S$ -acts which satisfy Condition (P) are quasi-projective.
- (ii) Every right reversible submonoid of  $S$  contains a left zero.

Recall that a right ideal  $K$  of a monoid  $S$  satisfies Condition (LU) if for every  $x \in K$ ,  $x \in Kx$  ([5]).

**Proposition 1.5.** *Let  $S$  be a commutative monoid, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) All quasi-projective acts over  $S$  are flat.
- (ii) All quasi-projective acts over  $S$  are weakly flat.
- (iii) All quasi-projective acts over  $S$  are principally weakly flat.
- (iv)  $S$  is a regular monoid.

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii), (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) are obvious.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). It is easy to see that over commutative monoids every cyclic act is quasi-projective. Thus for every  $s \in S$ ,  $\frac{S}{sS}$  is quasi-projective and so is principally weakly flat by assumption. Hence  $sS$  satisfies Condition (LU) and so  $s$  is regular.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i). It is well known that over a commutative regular monoid  $S$ , every act is flat. □

## 2 Semiperfect and perfect monoids with a left zero

Recall that a monoid  $S$  is right semiperfect if all cyclic strongly flat right  $S$ -acts are projective ([9]). In this section we give a new characterization of semiperfect and perfect monoids with a left zero. We present some results that we need in the sequel.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $B_S$  be an  $A_S$ -projective  $S$ -act. If  $C_S$  is either an  $S$ -homomorphic image or an  $S$ -subact of  $A_S$ , then  $B_S$  is  $C_S$ -projective.*

*Proof.* Clearly, if  $C_S$  is a homomorphic image of  $A_S$ , then the result holds. Thus suppose  $C_S$  is a subact of  $A_S$  and consider an  $S$ -epimorphism  $f : C \rightarrow \bar{C}$  and an  $S$ -homomorphism  $g : B \rightarrow \bar{C}$  where  $\bar{C}$  is a right  $S$ -act. Let  $\rho = \ker f \cup \Delta_A$  where  $\Delta_A$  is the diagonal relation on  $A$ . Clearly  $\bar{C} \simeq C/\ker f$ . Thus if  $\pi : A \rightarrow A/\rho$  is the natural epimorphism, then  $\pi$  is an extension of  $f$ . Since  $B$  is  $A$ -projective, there exists  $h : B \rightarrow A$  such that  $\pi \circ h = g$ . It is easy to see that  $h(B) \subseteq C$  and so  $h$  is an  $S$ -homomorphism from  $B$  to  $C$ , which proves that  $B$  is  $C$ -projective.  $\square$

One can easily see the following result.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Suppose  $S$  is a monoid and  $A_S$  is a right  $S$ -act, then:*

- (i) *If  $A$  is a cyclic right  $S$ -act, then  $A$  is projective if and only if  $A$  is weakly-projective.*
- (ii) *If  $S$  contains a left zero and  $A = \coprod_{i \in I} A_i$  is weakly-projective, then  $A_i$  is weakly-projective for every  $i \in I$ .*

**Lemma 2.3.** *Suppose  $S$  is a monoid with a left zero. If every finitely generated (strongly flat) right  $S$ -act has a quasi-projective cover, then every finitely generated (strongly flat) right  $S$ -act has a projective cover.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.1 of [4] (Proposition 3.13.14 of [3] and Proposition 1.6 of [4]), it is sufficient to show that every cyclic (strongly flat) right  $S$ -act has a projective cover. Let  $M = mS$  be a cyclic (strongly flat) right  $S$ -act and  $\varphi : F \rightarrow M$  be an epimorphism such that  $F$  is a free  $S$ -act. Note that  $F$  can be regarded as a cyclic right  $S$ -act, because if  $F = \coprod_{i \in I} a_i S$  and  $m = \varphi(a_j t)$  for some  $t \in S$  and  $j \in I$ , then  $\varphi|_{a_j S} : a_j S \rightarrow mS$  is an

epimorphism. Thus if  $F$  is not cyclic we can consider the new epimorphism replace  $\varphi$ . Clearly,  $F_S \sqcup M_S$  is finitely generated (strongly flat) and has a quasi-projective cover  $Q$  with an epimorphism  $\psi : Q \rightarrow F_S \sqcup M_S$ . Since  $F$  is cyclic,  $Q$  is finitely generated with two generators. If  $F = aS$ , then there exist  $p, q \in Q$  such that  $\psi(p) = m, \psi(q) = a$  and  $Q = pS \sqcup qS$ . Thus  $\pi_F \circ \psi : Q \rightarrow F$  is an epimorphism. Since  $F$  is projective, there exists a homomorphism  $h : F \rightarrow Q$  such that  $\pi_F \circ \psi \circ h = 1_F$  and hence  $h$  is a coretraction. Since  $F_S \simeq S_S$  and  $h$  is a monomorphism,  $S_S$  is a subact of  $Q$ . Thus by Proposition 2.1,  $Q$  is weakly-projective and by Lemma 2.2(i), it is projective. Clearly  $pS$  is the projective cover of  $M$ .  $\square$

By the following theorem, we show that for a monoid  $S$  with a left zero to be semiperfect it is enough to show that every finitely generated strongly flat right  $S$ -act has a quasi-projective cover.

**Theorem 2.4.** *For a monoid  $S$  with a left zero the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $S$  is right semiperfect.
- (ii) Every finitely generated strongly flat right  $S$ -act has a quasi-projective cover.
- (iii) Every finitely generated strongly flat right  $S$ -act has a weakly-projective cover.
- (iv) Every cyclic strongly flat right  $S$ -act has a weakly-projective cover.
- (v) Every left collapsible submonoid of  $S$  contains a left zero (Condition (K)).

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii), (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). By Proposition 3.13.14 of [5] are clear. (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) is clear. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). By Lemma 2.3, every finitely generated strongly flat right  $S$ -act  $A_S$ , has a projective cover and so it is projective by Proposition 1.7 of [4]. (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i). If  $A = aS$  is a strongly flat right  $S$ -act, then every cover of  $A$  is cyclic. Now the result follows by Proposition 1.7 of [4] and Lemma 2.2(i). The equivalence of (i) and (v) follows by Theorem 4.11.2 of [5].  $\square$

Recall that a monoid  $S$  satisfies Condition(A) if every right  $S$ -act satisfies the ascending chain condition for its cyclic subacts ([5]). Fountain in [2], proved that a monoid  $S$  is right perfect if and only if every strongly

flat right  $S$ -act is projective. The next theorem improves this result by the notion of quasi-projectivity.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $S$  be a monoid with a left zero. The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $S$  is right perfect.
- (ii) Every strongly flat right  $S$ -act is quasi-projective.
- (iii)  $S$  satisfies Condition (A) and every finitely generated strongly flat right  $S$ -act has a quasi-projective cover.
- (iv)  $S$  satisfies Condition (A) and every cyclic strongly flat right  $S$ -act has a weakly-projective cover.

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is clear. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Suppose every strongly flat right  $S$ -act is quasi-projective. Then by Theorem 1.2, every strongly flat right  $S$ -act is projective. Thus  $S$  is right perfect by Theorem 1.8 of [4]. The equivalences of (i) and (iii), and also (i) and (iv) follow by Theorem 4.11.6 of [5] and Theorem 2.4.  $\square$

Now we state the main result.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Suppose  $S$  is a monoid with a left zero and every right  $S$ -act has only one zero element. If every right  $S$ -act has a quasi-projective cover, then  $S$  is right perfect.*

*Proof.* We show that every right  $S$ -act has a projective cover. Suppose  $M_S$  is a right  $S$ -act and  $\phi : F \rightarrow M$  is an epimorphism such that  $F_S$  is a free  $S$ -act. Let  $F' = F - \{\theta_F\}$  and  $M' = M - \{\theta_M\}$  and  $B = F' \sqcup M' \sqcup \theta$ , where  $\theta$  is the one-element right  $S$ -act. Then  $B$  is a right  $S$ -act by the right  $S$ -action,  $\theta.s = \theta$  and

$$b.s = \begin{cases} \theta, & \text{if } bs = \theta_F \text{ or } \theta_M; \\ bs, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

for every  $s \in S$  and  $b \in F' \sqcup M'$ .

Suppose  $Q$  is a quasi-projective cover of  $F' \sqcup M' \sqcup \theta$  with an epimorphism

$\pi : Q \rightarrow F' \sqcup M' \sqcup \theta$ . Now define  $q : F' \sqcup M' \sqcup \theta \rightarrow F' \sqcup \theta$  by

$$q(x) = \begin{cases} x, & x \in F' \sqcup \theta; \\ \theta, & x \in M'. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Clearly  $q$  is a homomorphism. Now consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F' \sqcup \theta & \\ & \downarrow 1_{F' \sqcup \theta} & \\ Q & \xrightarrow{\pi} F' \sqcup M' \xrightarrow{q} & F' \sqcup \theta \end{array}$$

Since  $F' \sqcup \theta \simeq F$  is projective, there exists  $i : F' \sqcup \theta \rightarrow Q$  such that  $q \circ \pi \circ i = 1_{F' \sqcup \theta}$ . Thus  $i$  is a monomorphism and we can regard  $F$  as a subact of  $Q$ . Let  $K = \{x \in Q : q(\pi(x)) = \theta_F\}$  and  $K' = K - \{\theta_Q\}$ . Clearly  $K' \sqcup i(F' \sqcup \theta)$  is a subact of  $Q$ . We show that  $\pi_1 = \pi|_{K' \sqcup i(F' \sqcup \theta)} : K' \sqcup i(F' \sqcup \theta) \rightarrow F' \sqcup M' \sqcup \theta$  is an epimorphism. For this we show that  $\pi(i(x)) = x$ , for every  $x \in F' \sqcup \theta$ . Suppose  $x \in F' \sqcup \theta$ . If  $x = \theta$ , then clearly  $\pi(i(\theta)) = \theta$ . Suppose  $x \in F'$  and let  $z = \pi(i(x))$ . Then  $q(z) = q(\pi(i(x))) = x$ . Thus  $q(z) = x \in F'$ . By the definition of  $q$ ,  $q(z) = z$ , i.e.,  $z = x$ . Thus  $\pi(i(x)) = x$  for every  $x \in F' \sqcup \theta$ . Thus  $\pi_1$  is an epimorphism and since  $\pi$  is coessential,  $Q = K' \sqcup i(F' \sqcup \theta) \simeq K' \sqcup F' \sqcup \theta$ . Now let  $\pi_2 = \pi|_{K' \sqcup \theta} : (K' \sqcup \theta) \simeq K \rightarrow (M' \sqcup \theta) \simeq M$ . Since  $\pi$  is coessential  $\pi_2$  is a coessential epimorphism. Since  $F$  is a projective  $S$ -act, there exists  $\phi' : F \rightarrow K$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F & \\ & \phi' \swarrow & \downarrow Q \\ & K & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} M \end{array}$$

is commutative and  $\pi_2 \circ \phi' = \phi$ . Thus  $\pi_2(\phi'(F)) = \phi(F) = M$  and, since  $\pi_2$  is coessential,  $\phi'$  is an epimorphism. Now define  $q' : F' \sqcup K' \sqcup \theta \rightarrow K' \sqcup \theta$  by

$$q'(x) = \begin{cases} x, & x \in K' \sqcup \theta; \\ \theta, & x \in F', \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

and  $q'' : F' \sqcup K' \sqcup \theta \rightarrow F' \sqcup \theta$  by

$$q''(x) = \begin{cases} x, & x \in F' \sqcup \theta; \\ \theta, & x \in K'. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Clearly  $q'$  and  $q''$  are homomorphism. Now consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} F' \sqcup K' \sqcup \theta \\ \downarrow q' \\ K' \sqcup \theta \\ \downarrow 1_{K' \sqcup \theta} \end{array}$$

$$F' \sqcup K' \sqcup \theta \xrightarrow{q''} (F' \sqcup \theta) \simeq F \xrightarrow{\phi'} (K' \sqcup \theta) \simeq K$$

Since  $F' \sqcup K' \sqcup \theta \simeq Q$  is quasi-projective, there exists

$h : F' \sqcup K' \sqcup \theta \rightarrow F' \sqcup K' \sqcup \theta$  such that  $\phi' \circ q'' \circ h = 1_{K' \sqcup \theta} \circ q'$ . If  $j : K' \sqcup \theta \rightarrow F' \sqcup K' \sqcup \theta$  is the canonical injection, then  $q' \circ j = 1_{K' \sqcup \theta}$  and so  $\phi' \circ q'' \circ h \circ j = 1_{K' \sqcup \theta}$ . Thus  $K \simeq K' \sqcup \theta$  is a retract of  $F' \sqcup \theta \simeq F$  and so is projective. Hence  $K$  is the projective cover of  $M$ .  $\square$

## Acknowledgement

The authors thank the anonymous referees for remarks which have helped in the revision of the first version of this paper.

## References

- [1] J. Ahsan and K. Saifullah, *Completely quasi-projective monoids*, Semigroup Forum 38 (1989), 123-126.
- [2] J. Fountain, *Perfect semigroups*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 20(3) (1976), 87-93.
- [3] J. Isbell, *Perfect monoids*, Semigroup Forum 2 (1971), 95-118.
- [4] R. Khosravi, M. Ershad, and M. Sedaghatjoo, *Strongly flat and condition (P) covers of acts over monoids*, Comm. Algebra 38(12) (2010), 4520-4530.
- [5] M. Kilp, U. Knauer, and A. Mikhalev, "Monoids, Acts and Categories, With Application to Wreath Products and Graphs"; Berlin, New York, 2000.



- 
- [6] U. Knauer and H. Oltmanns, *On Rees weakly projective right acts*, J. Math. Sci. 139(4) (2006), 6715-6722.
  - [7] U. Knauer and H. Oltmanns, *Weak projectivities for  $S$ -acts*, Proceeding of the Conference on General Algebra and Discrete Math. (postsdam), Aachen (1999), 143-159.
  - [8] M. Mahmoudi and J. Renshaw, *On covers of cyclic acts over monoids*, Semigroup Forum 77 (2008), 325-338.
  - [9] J. Wei, *On a question of Kilp and Knauer*, Comm. Algebra 32(6) (2004), 2269-2272.

**Mohammad Roueintan**, *Department of Mathematics, College of science, Shiraz University, Shiraz 71454, Iran.*

*Email: m.roeintan@yahoo.com*

**Majid Ershad**, *Department of Mathematics, College of science, Shiraz University, Shiraz 71454, Iran.*

*Email: ershad@shirazu.ac.ir*